Approximate Solution for Nonlinear Oscillation of a Mass Attached to a Stretched Elastic Wire by Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method
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ABSTRACT
A precise solution of a mathematical model of a mass connected to an elastic wire is being given in this work. The Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method is applied to solve this conventional model. Also, comparison with other numerical methodologies and its exact solution will be given for distinct amplitude of oscillations and compliance can be observed. Results suggest that this technique is useful for solving non-linear oscillatory system quite easily. The solution procedure confirm that this method can be easily extended to other kinds of non-linear oscillators.

Keywords
Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method; Non-linear Oscillatory System; Small and Large Amplitude; Highest Degree of Accuracy.

1. INTRODUCTION
Consider, a mass connected to a strained elastic wire then the non-dimensional equation of its motion be \[ u''(t) + u(t) - \frac{\lambda u(t)}{\sqrt{1 - u^2(t)}} = 0; \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \] (1)
with \( u(0) = A \) and \( u'(0) = 0 \). Consider this an example of an irrational elastic item placed in a conservative nonlinear oscillatory system. The vacillation of this system is between \([-A, A]\) i.e. symmetric bounds, and its angular frequency along with its corresponding periodic solution depends upon the amplitude \( A \).

This problem has been addressed by many a scientist. Nonetheless, deriving an analytical solution for it in a detailed form seems improbable except in some unique situations, such as [1] by combining Newton’s and harmonic balance technique derived the approximated analytical solution for non dimensional equation (1). Ergo, one has to choose numerical methods or approximate approaches to obtain its solution. In [3] a good approximation to Eq.(1) is shown by using parameter-expansion method presented by He, [4] calculated another approximate solution of Eq.(1) using VIM and energy balance method. Whereas, [5, 6] used homotopy perturbation and energy balance method to approximate the oscillator (1). Geng [7] studied the behavior of a nonlinear oscillator of a mass connected to a strained elastic wire by Piecewise Variational Iteration Method on a massive region, but [7] analyzed that by using standard Variational Iteration Method (VIM) better approximation can be obtained in a fairly small region.

In [8] a new technique by Marinca and Herisanu is being introduced i.e. Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM). Difference between OHAM and HAM is that OHAM is more flexible and has implicit convergence criteria. Several studies [9–17] have proved the efficiency, wide spread application and reliability of this method and have used it to obtain solutions of current important problems in the fields of science and engineering. Work presented in this paper signify that OHAM is the most reliable technique to determine the approximated solution of cases like Eq.(1). In this work, to show the accuracy and efficiency of OHAM some numerical examples have been solved with distinct amplitudes. The error analysis of the example confirms the convergence and stability of this technique.

This paper has four sections. Section 2 is about the basic concept of OHAM. In Section 3, OHAM is applied to the mathematical model of non-linear oscillator of a mass connected to a strained elastic wire. Section 4 contains the conclusion and discussion of paper.

2. BASIC PRINCIPLES OF OPTIMAL HOMOTOPY ASYMPTOTIC METHOD
Consider \( L_{LN} \) be the linear function operator, \( N_{DN} \) be the non-linear function operator, \( C \) be the boundary operator, \( f(x) \) be the known function and \( u(x) \) be the unknown function then the non-linear oscillatory differential equation becomes
By expanding same powers of \( g \), we can replace Eq. (5) in Eq. (3) and compare the coefficients of \( M \) function, \( g \) increases from zero to one, also for \( g = 0 \), \( y(x) \) is obtained from Eq. (3)

\[
L_{IN}(y(x)) + f(x) + N_{ON}(y(x)) = 0; \quad C\left(y, \frac{dy}{dx}\right) = 0 \quad (2)
\]

On applying OHAM Eq. (2) becomes

\[
(1-g)[L_{IN}(y(x,g)) + f(x)] = M(g)[L_{IN}(y(x,g)) + f(x) + N_{ON}(y(x,g))]
\]

and

\[
C\left(y, \frac{dy}{dx}\right) = 0
\]

where \( g \in [0, 1] \) is the implanted parameter, \( y(x,g) \) is an unknown function, \( M(0) = 0 \) and \( M(g) \) be a non-zero auxiliary function for \( g \neq 0 \). The solution \( y(x,g) \) varies from \( y(x) \) to the solution \( y(x) \), as \( g \) increases from zero to one, also for \( g = 0 \), \( y(x) \) is obtained from Eq. (3)

By placing Eq. (5) in Eq. (3) and comparing the coefficients of \( y(x) \) and \( y_k(x) \) are obtained i.e.

\[
L_{IN}(y(x)) = c_1(N_{ON}(x); y(x)); \quad C\left(y, \frac{dy}{dx}\right) = 0 \quad (4)
\]

Let \( M(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i g^i \), where \( c_i \) are constants. Consider the solution of Eq. (3) in the form

\[
y(x; g, c_i) = y_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k(x, c_i) g^k; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad (5)
\]

By replacing Eq. (5) in Eq. (3) and comparing the coefficients of same powers of \( g \), the governing equations of \( y(x) \) and \( y_k(x) \) are obtained i.e.

\[
L_{IN}(y_k(x)) = c_k(N_{ON}(x); y(x)); \quad C\left(y_k, \frac{dy_k}{dx}\right) = 0 \quad (6)
\]

By expanding \( N_{ON}(y(x; g, c_i)) \), for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) in the form of series with respect to \( g \) becomes

\[
(N_{ON})_m(y(x); y_1(x), y_2(x), \ldots, y_m(x)) \quad (7)
\]

As \( y(x; g, c_i) \) obtained in Eq. (5). It has to be enunciate for \( k \geq 0 \), \( y_k \) are conducted by linear Eqs. (4) and (6), having linear boundary conditions provided in the original problem, that can be solved quickly. Convergence of Eq. (5) confide in the auxiliary constant \( c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots \). Therefore, if \( 5 \) converges at \( g = 1 \), it becomes

\[
y(x, c_i) = y_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k(x, c_i)
\]

In general terms, the approximate solution of Eq. (1) is obtained as

\[
y^m(x, c_i) = y(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} y_k(x, c_i); \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots m \quad (8)
\]

By putting Eq. (8) into Eq. (2), the following residual is obtained for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m \)

\[
R_{es}(x, c_i) = L_{IN}(y^m(x, c_i)) + f(x) + N_{ON}(y^m(x, c_i)) \quad (9)
\]

\( y^m(x, c_i) \) becomes the exact solution only if \( R_{es}(x, c_i) = 0 \). Mostly, these type of cases don’t show up for non-linear problems but if such case arise, it can be minimized by least square or Galerkin Method and find values of \( c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_m \) and constant for various values of amplitude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( A )</th>
<th>( \Omega )</th>
<th>( c_1 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.115888</td>
<td>0.115888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.948887</td>
<td>0.120648</td>
<td>0.120648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.993738</td>
<td>0.098365</td>
<td>0.098365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.999366</td>
<td>0.093508</td>
<td>0.093508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.708427</td>
<td>0.210263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.786663</td>
<td>0.253672</td>
<td>0.253672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.968277</td>
<td>0.108473</td>
<td>0.108473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.996826</td>
<td>0.094908</td>
<td>0.094908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.502795</td>
<td>0.430277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.654292</td>
<td>0.521535</td>
<td>0.521535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.952011</td>
<td>0.115744</td>
<td>0.115744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.995234</td>
<td>0.095798</td>
<td>0.095798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.231408</td>
<td>2.501293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.524071</td>
<td>1.244578</td>
<td>1.244578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.93879</td>
<td>0.122188</td>
<td>0.122188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.993961</td>
<td>0.096521</td>
<td>0.096521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. APPLICATION OF OPTIMAL HOMOTOPY ASYMPTOTIC ON NONLINEAR OSCILLATOR METHOD

Eq. (1) shows the non-dimensional differential equation of a mass connected to a strained elastic wire having irrational elastic term. On considering a scalar time \( \tau = \frac{t}{\Omega} = \Omega t \), where \( \Omega \) is the unknown parameter which represents frequency that will be calculated later in this study. Under the transformation

\[
t = \Omega t; \quad y(t) = Au(\tau)
\]

Then original Eq. (1) becomes

\[
\Omega^2 u'(\tau) + u(\tau) - \frac{\lambda u(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-A^2u^2(\tau)}} = 0
\]
Now, using Eq. (8), the solution becomes:

\[
(1 - q)L_{1N}[\phi(\tau, g)] = M(\tau, g)\left[\Omega^2 \phi''(\tau, g) + \phi(\tau, g) - \frac{\lambda \phi(\tau, g)}{\sqrt{1 - A^2 \phi'(\tau, g)}}\right] = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

and the linear operator given as

\[
L_{1N} \left( \phi(\tau, g) \right) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\tau, g)}{\partial \tau^2} + \phi(\tau, g) \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Now \( \phi(\tau, 0) = u_1(\tau); \phi(\tau, 1) = u(\tau) \) are the initial conditions, \( u_1(\tau) \) is the approximated initial value of \( y(\tau) \). Therefore, \( \phi(\tau, g) \) fluctuates from \( u_1(\tau) \) to \( u(\tau) \) as the embedding parameter \( g \) increase from 0 \( \rightarrow \) 1. Explicating \( \phi(\tau, g) \) in the form of series with respect to the parameter \( g \), one can easily obtain \( \phi(\tau, g) = u_1(\tau) + g u_1(\tau) + \ldots \). Let the auxiliary function be:

\[
M(\tau, g) = c_1 g \cos \tau
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The zeroth order problem with initial conditions is written as

\[
g^0 : u_0^0(\tau) + u_0(\tau) = 0; \quad u(0) = 1 \ and \ u'(0) = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

which has a solution \( u_0(x) = \cos x \). The first order problem is

\[
g^1 : u_0^1(\tau) + u_1(\tau) = c_1 \cos \tau \left[ \Omega^2 u_0^1(\tau) + u_0(\tau) \right] - \frac{\lambda u_0(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - A^2 u_0^2(\tau)}}; \quad u(0) = 0 \ and \ u'(0) = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

solution of Eq.(18) is

\[
u_1(x; \Omega, c_1) = \frac{c_1}{12 A^3} \left[ A \left( -6A(\Omega^2 - 1) + 2A(\Omega^2 - 1) \cos 2x - 3\sqrt{2}\lambda \sqrt{2 + A^2(1 + \cos 2x)} \right) + \cos x \left( -4A^3 + 6\lambda A \sqrt{1 + A^2} + 4A^3 \Omega^2 - \lambda \ln 8 - 6\lambda \ln(A + \sqrt{1 + A^2}) + 6\lambda \ln(2A \cos x + \sqrt{2 + A^2(1 + \cos 2x)} - 6\lambda(A^2 - 1) \sin x \left( \frac{\tan^{-1} \sqrt{2A \sin x}}{\sqrt{2 + A^2(1 + \cos 2x)}} \right) \right) \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Now, using Eq. (8), the solution becomes:

\[
u(x; \Omega, c_1) = u_0(x) + u_1(x; \Omega, c_1)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The values of \( \Omega \) and \( c_1 \) are calculated with the method of least squares, as mentioned in Eqs.(9-11). These values are presented in Table (1) for corresponding values of amplitude \( \lambda \).

For comparison purposed, the approximate periods \( (T_{VIM}, T_{EBM}, T_{OHAM}) \) with exact one \( (T_{EXACT}) \) are tabulated in Table (2) for a large and small value of amplitude \( \lambda \).

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, the approximated solutions obtained through Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Perturbation Method are in complete agreement with exact solution and other approximated solutions obtained through several numerical methods. Instead of using other techniques, this method can be a better option to solve such nonlinear oscillator problems.
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Table 2. Comparison between OHAM with exact solution and solution obtained by other methods for different values of $\lambda$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.621232</td>
<td>6.621737</td>
<td>6.62168</td>
<td>6.62168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.517854</td>
<td>6.535726</td>
<td>6.53727</td>
<td>6.537508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.314678</td>
<td>6.320056</td>
<td>6.32278</td>
<td>6.322938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.286328</td>
<td>6.282868</td>
<td>6.28717</td>
<td>6.287183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.863794</td>
<td>8.869817</td>
<td>8.86921</td>
<td>8.869257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.814722</td>
<td>7.972828</td>
<td>7.98715</td>
<td>7.992133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.445572</td>
<td>6.474308</td>
<td>6.48904</td>
<td>6.490208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.298952</td>
<td>6.301664</td>
<td>6.30319</td>
<td>6.303281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12.87335</td>
<td>12.49906</td>
<td>12.4965</td>
<td>12.49673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.531632</td>
<td>6.576648</td>
<td>6.59991</td>
<td>6.602092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.30688</td>
<td>6.31097</td>
<td>6.31327</td>
<td>6.313403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26.86123</td>
<td>27.18491</td>
<td>27.1524</td>
<td>27.15678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.96676</td>
<td>11.87373</td>
<td>11.9892</td>
<td>12.07527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.603018</td>
<td>6.662118</td>
<td>6.69285</td>
<td>6.696116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.313246</td>
<td>6.31844</td>
<td>6.32137</td>
<td>6.321529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


