

# An Efficient Identity based Multi-Proxy Multi-Signcryption Scheme from Bilinear Pairings

Tej Singh

Department of Mathematics,  
IMS Engineering College  
Ghaziabad, India

Rashid Ali

Department of Mathematics,  
Krishna Engineering College  
Ghaziabad, India

Musharraf Ali

Department of Mathematics  
G.F. College  
Shahjahanpur, India

## ABSTRACT

Signcryption is a cryptography primitive that fulfills both the functions of digital signature and encryption and guarantees non-repudiation, confidentiality and integrity in a more efficient way. In this paper, we propose an efficient and secure identity based multi-proxy multi signcryption scheme from bilinear pairings. In this scheme a group of proxy signcrypters could authorize by a group of original signcrypters. Then multi proxy multi signcryption could generate by the cooperation of all signcrypters in the proxy group.

## Keywords

Bilinear Pairings, Identity-based Cryptography, Signcryption, Proxy Signature, Multi Proxy Multi Signcryption

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1984, Shamir [1] firstly proposed the idea of ID based cryptography. The distinguishing property of identity-based cryptography is that a user's public key can be any binary string, such as an email address that can identify the user. Several practical ID-based signature schemes have been devised since 1984 [2], [3], but a satisfying ID-based encryption scheme only appeared in 2001 [4]. In 1996, Mambo et al. [5] first introduced the concept of a proxy signature. In 2001, Hwang et al. [6.] first proposed the concept of multi-proxy multi-signature scheme. In 2005, Li et al. [7] proposed an ID-based a multi-proxy multi-signature scheme.

Confidentiality, integrity, non-repudiation and authentication are the important requirements for many cryptographic applications. A traditional approach to achieve these requirements is to sign-then encrypt the message. Signcryption first proposed by Zheng [8], is a cryptographic primitive that fulfills both the function of digital signature and public key encryption simultaneously, at a cost lower than required by the traditional signature-then-encryption approach. Several ID-based signcryption schemes were also proposed in [9]-[11]. However, none of the existing signcryption schemes is ID-based multi-proxy multi signcryption scheme from pairing. In 2005, Liu Jun Bao and Xiao Guo-Zhen [12] proposed multi-proxy multi-signcryption scheme from pairing. However this scheme is not ID based. In 2007, Lal and Singh [13] proposed ID-based multi-proxy multi-signcryption scheme from pairing. In 2009, Xiaoyen et al. [14] proposed an improved ID-based multi-proxy multi signcryption scheme.

In this paper we propose an efficient ID based multi proxy multi signcryption scheme from pairing. As compared to Xiaoyen et al. [14] scheme, the propose scheme is much more efficient; only need 4 pairing computations, while Xiaoyen et al. [14] scheme needs 8 pairing computations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Basic definitions and properties of bilinear pairings are given in section 2 and proposed scheme is given in section 3. The security of the scheme is discussed in section 4. Finally, the conclusions are given in section 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARY WORKS

In this section, we briefly describe the basic definition and properties of the bilinear pairing.

Let  $G_1$  be a cyclic additive group generated by  $P$ , whose order is a prime  $q$ , and  $G_2$  be a cyclic multiplicative group of the same order  $q$ . Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $Z_q^*$ . A bilinear pairings is a map  $e : G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with the following properties:

- Bilinearity:  $e(aP, bQ) = e(P, Q)^{ab}$ .
- Non-degeneracy: There exists  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $e(P, Q) \neq 1$ .
- Computability: There is an efficient algorithm to compute  $e(P, Q)$  for all  $P, Q \in G_1$ .

The security of our scheme described here relies on the hardness of the following problems:

**Definition 1:** Given two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of the same prime order  $q$ , a bilinear map  $e : G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  and generator  $P$  of  $G_1$

the Decisional Bilinear Diffie-Hellman problem (DBDHP) in

$(G_1, G_2, e)$  is to decide whether  $h = e(P, P)^{abc}$  given

$(P, aP, bP, cP)$  and an element  $h \in G_2$ .

**Definition 2:** Given two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of the same prime

order  $q$ , a bilinear map  $e : G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  and a generator  $P$  of

$G_1$ , the Computational Bilinear Diffie-Hellman problem

(CBDHP) in  $(G_1, G_2, e)$  is to compute  $h = e(P, P)^{abc}$  given  $(P, aP, bP, cP)$ .

No algorithm is known to be able to solve any of them so far, through DBDHP is no harder than CBDHP.

### 3. PROPOSED SCHEME

Proposed scheme involves four roles: the Private Key Generator (PKG), a set of original signcrypters  $D = \{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n\}$  with identity  $ID_{O_1}, ID_{O_2}, \dots, ID_{O_n}$  a set of proxy signcrypter  $L = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l\}$  with identity  $ID_{P_1}, ID_{P_2}, \dots, ID_{P_l}$  and the

message recipient Bob with identity  $ID_B$ .

#### 3.1. Set Up

Given a security parameter, the PKG chooses group  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of prime order  $q$ , a generator  $P$  of  $G_1$ , a bilinear map  $e : G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  and hash functions  $H_1 : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow Z_q^*$ ,

$H_2 : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow G_1, H_3 : G_2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  Then PKG chooses a master key  $s \in_R Z_q^*$  and computes  $P_{Pub} = sP$ . It also chooses a secure symmetric cryptosystem  $(E, D)$ . The system's public parameters are  $P = (G_1, G_2, n, e, P, P_{Pub}, H_1, H_2, H_3, E, D)$  and keeps the master key  $s$  secret.

#### 3.2. Extract

Given a user  $U$ 's identity  $ID_U \in \{0,1\}^*$  the PKG computes user's public key  $Q_U = H_2(ID_U)$  and private key  $S_U = sQ_U$ .

#### 3.3. Generation of proxy key

For delegation of signcrypting capability to a group of proxy signcrypters group  $L$ , each original signcrypters  $O_i$  follows the following steps to generate the signed warrant  $m_W$  and each proxy signcrypter  $P_j$  computes his proxy key  $S_{pj}$  and proxy public Key  $Q_{pj}$ . The warrant  $m_W$  specifies the delegation period, identity information of the original signcrypters, what kind of messages is delegated and identity information of the the proxy signcrypter, etc.

Each original signcrypter  $O_i$  computes  $S_{O_i} = S_{ID_{O_i}} H_1(m_W)$  and broadcasts  $S_{O_i}$  to each proxy signcrypter.

- When receiving  $S_{O_i}$  each proxy signcrypter group  $P_j$  verifies the correctness of  $S_{O_i}$  by the equation 
$$e(P, S_{O_i}) = e(P_{Pub}, Q_{ID_{O_i}})^{H_1(m_W)} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
- After verification of equation, the proxy signcrypter group  $P_j$  computes  $S_O = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{O_i}$  and his proxy signcrypting key  $S_{pj}$  as 
$$S_{pj} = S_O + S_{ID_{pj}} H_1(m_W).$$

#### 3.4. Multi Proxy Multi Signcryption Generation

Suppose the proxy group  $L$  wants to send a delegated message  $m$  on the behalf of the original group  $D$  to Bob. Each proxy signcrypter say  $P_j$  generates the partial signature and an appointed clerk  $C$ , who is one of the proxy signcrypters,

combines the partial proxy signatures to generate the final multi-proxy multi signcryption

- Each  $P_j$  selects an integer  $x_j \in_R Z_q^*$  and compute  $k_j = e(P, Q_{ID_B})^{x_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$  and broadcasts  $k_j$  to the other  $l-1$  co-signcrypters.
- Each  $P_j$  now computes  $k = H_3(\prod_{j=1}^l k_j),$   
 $c = E_k(m), \quad r_p = H_1(c || k),$   
 $u_{pj} = x_j P - r_p S_{pj}$  and sends  $u_{pj}$  to the clerk  $C$ .
- Then clerk computes  $u_p = \sum_{j=1}^l u_{pj}$  and sends  $(m_W, c, r_p, u_p)$  to unsigncrypter Bob.

#### 3.5. Unsigncryption

After receiving  $(m_W, S, c, r_p, u_p)$ , Bob computes

$$k = H_2(e(u_p, Q_{ID_B}) e(\sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ID_{O_i}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p H_1(m_W)} e(\sum_{j=1}^l Q_{ID_{P_j}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p H_1(m_W)})$$

Third party accept the proxy signature if and only if  $r_p = H_1(c || k)$  and recover the message  $m = D_k(c)$ .

### 4. ANALYSIS OF THE SCHEME

In this section first we present the proof of correctness and then we discuss security and efficiency analysis of proposed scheme.

#### 4.1. Proof of Correctness

The consistency of our scheme can be verified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k &= H_2(\prod_{j=1}^l k_j) = H_2(\prod_{j=1}^l e(P, Q_{ID_B})^{x_j}) \\ &= H_2(e(\sum_{j=1}^l (u_{pj} + r_p S_{pj}), Q_{ID_B})) \\ &= H_2(e(u_p, Q_{ID_B}) e(\sum_{i=1}^n S_{O_i} + S_{ID_{pj}}, Q_{ID_B})^{r_p H_1(m_W)}) \\ &= H_2(e(u_p, Q_{ID_B}) e(\sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ID_{O_i}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p H_1(m_W)} e(\sum_{j=1}^l Q_{ID_{P_j}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p H_1(m_W)}) \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2. Security Analysis

In the following we discuss the security requirements of the proposed scheme.

1. **Verifiability:** From the unsigncryption phase, the receiver can be convinced that the proxy sender has the original sender's signature on the warrant  $m_W$ . The warrant  $w$  also contains the identity information of the original sender, proxy sender and the limit of delegated signcrypting capacity etc. So

the receiver can be convinced of the original sender's agreement on the signcrypted message.

2. **Strong Unforgeability:** As for multi-proxy multi-signcryption, there are mainly four kinds of attackers: any third party, who do not participate the issue of the multi-proxy multi-signcryption; some proxy signcrypter, who play an active in signcryption process; the original signcrypter and the signcryption owner. Because the multi-proxy

multi signcryption  $u_p = \sum_{j=1}^l u_{pj}$  contains secret

key information  $S_{ID_{pj}}$  of each proxy signcrypter  $P_j$  in the proxy multi-signcryption key generation phase, without secret key information  $S_{ID_{pj}}$  of  $P_j$ ,

any third party, some proxy signcrypter, the signcryption owner and the original signcrypters cannot generate a valid multi-proxy multi-signcryption scheme by themselves.

3. **Strong Identifiably:** It contains the warrant  $m_W$  in a valid multi proxy multi signcryption text and any one can determine the identity of the corresponding proxy sender from the warrant  $m_W$ .

4. **Non-repudiation:** In our scheme, each proxy signcrypter  $P_j$  cannot repudiate his participation on multi-proxy multi-signcryption while illegal attacker cannot claim that he is proxy signcrypter,

because  $u_p = \sum_{j=1}^l u_{pj}$  contains secret key

information  $S_{ID_{pj}}$  of each proxy signcrypter  $P_j$ , at the same time, warrant  $m_W$  also contains identity information of  $P_j$ , in addition

$$k = H_2(e(u_p, Q_{ID_B})e(\sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ID_{O_i}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p} H_1(m_w) \cdot e(\sum_{j=1}^l Q_{ID_{pj}}, S_{ID_B})^{r_p} H_1(m_w))$$

contains  $Q_{ID_{pj}}$  and  $m_W$ .

5. **Confidentiality:** Except the receiver, anyone else can't extract the plaintext  $m$  from multi signcryption text  $(m_W, c, r_p, u_p)$ . For getting the message  $m$ , the attacker has to decrypt the cipher text  $c$  directly. To do so, the attacker has to obtain the key  $k$  but the secret key  $k$  contains secret key information  $S_{ID_B}$  of Bob, only bob can compute  $k$  and recover  $m$ .
6. **Prevention of Misuses:** In our multi-proxy multi-signcryption scheme, using the warrant  $m_W$ , we have determined the limit of the delegated signcrypting capacity in the warrant and the proxy sender can't signcrypt the message that have been authorized by the original sender.

### 4.3. Efficiency Analysis

In this section, we compare the efficiency of proposed scheme with Xiaoyen et al. [14] scheme from computation overhead. Here we denote E by an exponentiation in  $G_2$ , M by multiplication and P by a computation of pairing.

**Table 1. Comparisons of our scheme with Xiaoyen et al. scheme**

Algorithm	Xiaoyen et al. scheme	Our Scheme
Signcryption	2E+2M+2P	1E+2M+1P
Unsigncryption	2E+2M+6P	2E+2M+3P

As shown in table 1 our scheme needs only 4 pairing computations, while Xiaoyen et al. scheme needs 8 pairing computations. We know that the computation of the pairing is most time consuming. So our scheme is more efficient.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed an efficient ID-based multi-proxy multi-signcryption scheme from pairing. In terms of computational point of view, our scheme needs only 4 pairing computations, while Xiaoyen et al. [14] scheme needs 8 pairing computations. So the proposed scheme is much more efficient than Xiaoyen et al. scheme.

### 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author of the manuscript acknowledge Professor Sunder Lal, Ex Vice Chancellor Jaunpur University, India for valuable discussion. Tej Singh is the corresponding author.

### 7. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Shamir, Identity-based cryptosystems and signature schemes, Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO'84, LNCS 196, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp 47-53
- [2] A. Fiat, A. Shamir, How to prove yourself: practical solutions to identification and signature problems, Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO'86, LNCS 263, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, pp. 186–194.
- [3] L. Guillou, J.J. Quisquater, A “paradoxical” identity-based signature scheme resulting from zero-knowledge, Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO'88, LNCS 16 403, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, pp. 216–231.
- [4] D. Boneh, M. Franklin, Identity-based encryption from the Weil pairing, Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2001, LNCS 2139, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, pp. 213–229.
- [5] M. Mambo, K. Usuda and E. Okamoto: Proxy signature: delegation of the power to sign messages. IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, E79-A:9, pp. 1338-1353(1996)
- [6] S.J. Hwang, C.C. Chen.: A New Multi-Proxy Multi-Signature Scheme. 2001 National Computer Symposium: Information Security, Taipei, Taiwan, pp. F019-F026 (2001)
- [7] X. Li and K.H. Chen: Multi-proxy Signature and Proxy Multi- signature Scheme from bilinear pairing. Applied mathematics and Computation. 2005, 169(1): 437-445.
- [8] Y. Zheng: Digital signcryption or how to achieve cost (signature & encryption) < cost (signature) + cost (encryption). CRYPTO'97, LNCS #1924, Springer-Verlag, pp. 165-179, (1997).

- [9] J. Malone-Lee: Identity based Signcryption. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/098, Available from: <http://eprint.iacr.org/2002/098> (2002).
- [10] B. Libert and J. Quisquater: A new Identity Based Signcryption Scheme from Pairings. IEEE Information Theory Workshop, pp. 155-158, Paris, France, (2003).
- [11] L. Chen, J. Malone-Lee, Improved identity-based signcryption, Public Key Cryptography—PKC 2005, LNCS 3386, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, pp. 362–379.
- [12] J.B. Liu and G.Z. Xiao: Multi-Proxy Multi-Signcryption Scheme from Pairings. Publishing at [arxiv.org/abs/cs.CR/0509030](http://arxiv.org/abs/cs.CR/0509030) (2005).
- [13] Sunder Lal, Tej Singh: New ID-Based Multi-Proxy Multi-Signcryption scheme from Pairings. Publishing at [arxiv.org/abs/cs.CR/0509030](http://arxiv.org/abs/cs.CR/0509030) (2007).
- [14] Zhou Xiaoyan, Wu Yan, Du Weifeng and Gao Yan: An Improved ID- Based Multi-proxy Multi –Signcryption Scheme. IEEE second international symposium on electronic commerce and security, 466-469 (2009).