Data Mining Techniques for Diagnostic Support of Glaucoma using Stratus OCT and Perimetric Data
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ABSTRACT
People suffer from so many diseases in today’s era. It has become imperative to find either solutions to these diseases or detect them during early stages so that they can be prevented or cured. Glaucoma is one of the eye disorders and is one of the leading causes of blindness. It is a disease that gradually degenerates the eye vessels causing vision loss in the patient. This paper discusses the data mining techniques like Decision Tree, Linear Regression and Support Vector Machine that have been used for diagnosis of glaucoma in the retinal image. Parameters obtained from Perimetry and Stratus Optic Coherence Test (OCT) have been fed to each technique to find out their performance in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. The researcher has compared results obtained from the Decision Tree, Linear Regression and Support Vector Machine (SVM) and found that Decision Tree and Linear Regression Model performs much better than SVM for diagnosis of Glaucoma giving accuracy of 99.17%, 92.56% and 70.25% respectively. The specificity of Linear Regression and SVM is 97.56% and 96.34% respectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Glaucoma is an eye disorder which causes increase in Intra Ocular Pressure (IOP). Increase in IOP damages optic nerve that transmits images to the brain. If not detected in the early stages, glaucoma leads to vision loss in the patient. It may also cause permanent vision loss within few years. After cataracts, Glaucoma is considered to be the second most reason for causing sudden vision loss in the patients, thus its detection and diagnosis becomes essential during the early stage to prevent further loss in vision. In India, according to the Glaucoma society 12.8% of people suffer blindnees due to glaucoma. In most cases glaucoma goes undiagnosed in our country. It is estimated that glaucoma affects 12 million people and by 2020, expected number may increase to 16 million. It is evaluated statistically that one in every eight person who is above the age of 40 is either suffering from glaucoma or is at risk of the disease [33].

The reason why a person may suffer from glaucoma is because of the deterioration of optic nerve fibers and astrocytes and high IOP. The thickness of Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer (RNFL) goes on gradually decreasing due to deterioration in optic nerve fibre. Also, this deterioration changes Optic Nerve Head (ONH) and thereby decreasing the functional capability of retina. The degeneration of astrocytes and axons leads to the increase in the width of the cup which leads to the thinning of the neuroretinal rim. [27]. Diagnosis of glaucoma is dependent on various findings such as IOP (if IOP > 21 mm Hg [32], it is considered as a suspicious case for glaucoma), optical nerve cupping and visual field loss. Detection and diagnosis of Glaucoma is performed through various tests such as Tonometry, Ophthalmoscopy, Perimetry, OCT, Gionoscopy and Pachymetry[34].

The organization of this paper is as follows: section II gives the detail on data acquisition, section III describes the Research Background, section IV provides data mining techniques applied for glaucoma diagnosis. Finally section V discusses our result and section VI presents our discussion.

2. DATA ACQUISITION
Perimetry and OCT data for the patients have been obtained from Sudhalkar Eye hospital, Vadodara, Gujarat. All the patients are in age group of 20 to 60 years. Total 194 retinal data set of Perimetry and OCT reports of the patient have been used in this work for training and testing purpose. The data set has been approved for research work by the ethic committee consisting of senior doctors.

3. RESEARCH BACKGROUND
IOP and CDR are the parameters that are considered for suspicious case of glaucoma. IOP is not considered to be an accurate factor for glaucoma diagnosis as elevation in the pressure could be due to number of reasons like access production of aqueous fluid in eye, certain medications, injury in eye, etc. Glaucoma is currently diagnosed by considering CDR. CDR is calculated as shown below:

$$\text{CDR} = \frac{\text{area of optic cup}}{\text{area of the optic disc}}$$

(1)
As shown in the above figure, fig 1 b) the optic cup progresses as the cup becomes larger in comparison to the optic cup as shown in the fig 1 a) and Optic disc keeps on thinning gradually as Optic cup enlarges. Generally if CDR is greater than 0.3 then it is considered to be a positive case of glaucoma [1].

Kinjan Chauhan et al, have developed an algorithm (CDR_ALGO) for measuring CDR. They have used OTSU histogram and morphological operations to segment disc and cup from the retinal images. They have achieved 94% accuracy in diagnosis of Glaucoma using CDR [6].

S. Chandrika et al, have used CDR for detection of glaucoma using k- means clustering and Fuzzy c-means clustering technique. In their research paper, if CDR exceeds 0.6 value the patient is diagnosed for glaucoma and is referred to ophthalmologists for further tests [3].

Zhuo Zhang et al, proposed a convex hull based ellipse optimization algorithm which efficiently detects neuro-retinal optical cup. Their algorithm have given better CDR calculation result compare to ARGALI system, thus accurately diagnosing the glaucoma. The evaluation has been carried out on a large scale involving 15 thousand patients from Australia and Singapore [12].

Jyotika Pruthi et al, have used CDR for segmenting cup and disc from retinal images. Diagnosis of Glaucoma have been carried out using SVM, ANFIS and Back Propagation Neural Network . They have obtained accuracy for SVM, ANFIS and Neural Network as 98.12%, 97.77%, and 97.35% respectively [9].

Muthu Rama Krishnan Mookiah et al, have used SVM linear, polynomial order 1, 2, 3 and Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernels for diagnosis of Glaucoma .Higher Order Spectra (HOS) and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) features have been used for segmentation of disc and cup. They have developed Glaucoma Risk Index (GRI) to diagnose Glaucoma and normal eyes. They have achieved an accuracy of 95%, sensitivity and specificity of 93.33% and 96.67% respectively [22].

Geetha Ramani et al, have proposed image analysis and data mining techniques to classify the retinal images as normal, diabetic retinopathy or glaucoma affected [11].

Syed SR. Abidi have proposed a framework combining image processing and data mining techniques for diagnosis of glaucoma. Their framework analyzes optic nerve images for both diagnosis and monitoring of glaucoma [24].

Bowel et al, have used retinal tomography images and have used forward and backward feature selection methods for training Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP), SVM and linear discriminant functions for diagnosis of glaucoma [7].

Park et al, have used SVM classifiers for selecting features from optic disc usind correlation analysis and forward wrapper model [10]. Swindale et al, have used a wrapper model for feature selection in order to train SVM classifiers [8].

4. METHODOLOGY

In this section the researcher have discussed the data mining techniques, viz. Decision Tree, Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Linear Regression that have been applied for diagnosis of glaucoma.

4.1 Data Mining Technique

In recent years, application of classifier to support medical diagnosis have increased tremendously. Data mining process is an interactive and iterative process. Mining problems can be classified into three categories: identifying classifications, finding sequential patterns, and discovering associations. Data mining is a powerful knowledge discovery technique, but there are constraints such as it is dependent on application, various applications require different mining techniques, and data must be of a certain size and format. In medical branch, data mining techniques such as rule-based learning systems, neural networks, Decision Trees, Bayesian networks, logistic regression etc., have been used with great success.

Machine learning classifiers such as Linear Discriminate Analysis (LDA), SVM and recursive partitioning and regression tree (RPART) have been used comprehensively for diagnosis of glaucoma. Trained classifiers can be used to predict the diagnosis of new cases. The researcher have used Decision Trees, Linear Regression and SVM (LibSVM with linear kernel) for diagnosis of glaucoma.

Decision Tree learning uses a predictive model which maps observations about an item to conclusions for the item's target value. It is one of the predictive modeling approaches used in statistics, data mining and machine learning. A Decision Tree is a tree-like graph or model. It is more like an inverted tree because it has its root at the top and it grows downwards. In decision tree, classification model is created to predicts the value of a target attribute often called as label value in rapid miner, based on several input attributes of the dataset. Decision Tree is split using attributes like information gain, gain ratio, gini_index and accuracy. Information gain is biased towards selecting large values, whereas gain ratio adjusts the information for each attribute to obtain the uniformity of the attribute values. So gain ratio has been used for splitting and the value is set to 0.1. In order to reduce the size of tree, minimal gain has to be increased. The depth of a tree varies depending upon size and nature of the data set. This parameter is used to restrict the size of the Decision Tree. Thus the depth of the tree is set to 20, which is a default value in rapid miner.

Support Vector Machines (SVM) are a new class of learning algorithms that are able to solve a variety of classification and regression (model fitting) problems. SVM is a powerful method for both classification and regression. It exploits statistical learning theory to minimize the generalization error when training a classifier. SVM has inbuilt kernels linear, polynomial, radial basis function(rbf). SVM have generalized well in face recognition, 26 text categorizations, recognition of handwritten digits and breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis The linear kernel is generally used to classify linear seperable data. In medical diagnosis, where there are large number of attributes and data set is less, linear kernel performs better compared to rbf.

Regression is a technique used for numerical prediction. It is a statistical measure that attempts to determine the strength of the relationship between one dependent variable (i.e. the label attribute) and a series of other changing variables known as independent variables (regular attributes). Just like Classification is used for predicting categorical labels, Regression is used for predicting a continuous value. Linear regression attempts to model the relationship between a scalar variable and one or more explanatory variables by fitting a linear equation to observed data.
4.2 Pre-processing of Dataset
The field of data mining addresses the question of how best to use this data to discover new knowledge and improve the process of decision making. Pre-processing of the data is a very important stage in any data mining process. Pre-processing of raw data consists of data cleaning, data integration, data transformation and data reduction. Data cleaning consists of removal of noisy data, identify outliers and correct data inconsistency. To address this issue the researcher have used missing value operator and discretization using frequency. Discretization are of two types supervised and unsupervised. In unsupervised discretization class variables are not used, whereas, in supervised discretization it uses the values of the class variables. Missing values are filled by predicting value as dependent class variable. Discretization using frequency has been used in our process, for removal of noisy data in Decision Tree and have used fill in missing values for SVM and Linear Regression.

The dataset consists of parameters-CDR_CAL (CDR calculated by the algorithm (CDR_ALGO) proposed by Kinjan et al. [6]), CDR_OCT (CDR obtained from OCT test), PER_OD_I, PER_OD_S, PER_OS_I, PER_OS_S (Perimetric data from superior, inferior region of right and left retinal image obtained from the perimetry test performed on the patients), OCT_OD_I, OCT_OD_S, OCT_OS_I, OCT_OS_S (OCT data from superior, inferior region of right and left retinal images obtained from the OCT test performed on the patients) as explained in table 1.

The models developed for Decision tree. Linear Regression and SVM have been validated using X-validation operator of Rapid miner, which is used 10 fold cross validation and sampling has been set to stratified sampling. The 10-fold cross validation will divide the data set into 10 subsets of equal size leaving one example set as test data. This process is repeated until all the subsets are used once as a test data set. Stratified sampling is used as it ensures that subsets are created randomly and class distribution is same as data set inputted. X-validation operator is a nested validation operator, thus trained data set is than pass on to the testing data set where model is applied on test data using Apply Model operator and Performance of the model can be calculated using Performance operator. The performance operator measures accuracy of a model and creates confusion matrix. As medical data have more attributes compared to size of data set, which leads to overfitting in the classifier. To address this issue, researcher have applied scaling by using normalize operator available in rapid miner tool.

Table 1 shows the description of the parameters used for diagnosis of Glaucomatous and Normal eyes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDR_CAL</td>
<td>CDR calculated from algorithm(CDR_ALGO)</td>
<td>Numeric, Range (0 to 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR_OCT</td>
<td>CDR obtained from OCT</td>
<td>Numeric, Range (0 to 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER_OS_S</td>
<td>Perimetry Left Eye Superior value</td>
<td>Numeric, Range (0 to 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER_OS_I</td>
<td>Perimetry Left Eye Inferior value</td>
<td>Numeric, Range (0 to 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PER_OD_I       | Perimetry Right Eye Inferior value               | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |
| PER_OD_S       | Perimetry Right Eye Superior value               | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |
| OCT_OS_S       | OCT Left Eye Superior value                      | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |
| OCT_OS_I       | OCT Left Eye Inferior value                      | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |
| OCT_OD_I       | OCT Right Eye Inferior value                     | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |
| OCT_OD_S       | OCT Right Eye Superior value                     | Numeric, Range (0 to 4)  |

5. RESULTS
In this study, 11 parameters have been extracted from Perimetry and OCT tests conducted on the patients as well as calculated CDR from the algorithm developed by the authors [6] through image processing of the retinal images as described in table 1.

Total 194 retinal data set were considered for diagnosis of glaucoma.

Rapid Miner tool version 6.5.2 has been used for model development for Diagnosis of Glaucoma Progression Tool (DGP) as proposed by the authors [1]. The results obtained from Decision Tree, SVM (linear kernel) and Linear Regression are discussed in this section. The results are measured in terms of Accuracy, Precision, Specificity and Sensitivity. The confusion matrix obtained from all the three techniques using rapid miner tool, has also been discussed.

A Confusion matrix shows evaluation of correctly predicted and incorrectly predicted data made by classification model as compared to the actual data. Performance of the model constructed using classifier is measured using confusion matrix. Table 2 shows the model of confusion matrix.

### Table 2 Explanation of Confusion Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confusion Matrix</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the table, confusion matrix is calculated in terms of True Positive, True Negative values (a and d respectively), False Positive and False Negative (c and d respectively). The term Accuracy, Precision, Recall of Sensitivity, Specificity, True Positive and True Negative are defined as

- **Accuracy:** It is the total number of predictions that correctly diagnosed glaucoma.
- **Sensitivity:** It is the number of actual positive cases which are correctly diagnosed.
- **Specificity:** It is the number of actual negative cases which are correctly diagnosed.

Thus, Accuracy, Sensitivity and Specificity are measured as per the given formula:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{a+d}{a+b+c+d} \quad (1)
\]
Sensitivity = a/(a+d)  
Specificity = d/(d+c)  

The results obtained in our study for Decision Tree, SVM, Linear Regression are shown as below. The table 3, 4, 5 shows the confusion matrix for Decision Tree, SVM and Linear Regression respectively.

**Table 3 Confusion Matrix for Decision Tree with Accuracy 99.17%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>true positive</th>
<th>true negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pred. positive</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pred. negative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4 Confusion Matrix for SVM (Linear Kernel) with Accuracy 92.63%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>true positive</th>
<th>true negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pred. positive</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pred. negative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5 Confusion Matrix for Linear Regression with Accuracy 84.42%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>true positive</th>
<th>true negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pred. positive</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pred. negative</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table 6 shows Comparative Experimental results for the data mining techniques applied:

**Table 6 Comparative Experimental Results for Data Mining Techniques Applied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Mining Method</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>98.78</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Regression Model</td>
<td>84.42</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>89.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM -(LibSVM)-Kernel-linear</td>
<td>92.63</td>
<td>84.17</td>
<td>96.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1, gives a comparative graph of the performance of decision tree, Linear Regression and SVM (linear kernel) used in this research for diagnosis of the glaucoma. From the result it can be said that decision tree have given higher performance in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity as compared to others.

Figure 2 Performance comparison of decision tree, Linear regression, SVM

Comparative Study -of various data mining techniques used in this study has also been carried out. The following table 7 shows the summary of the various techniques studied.

**Table 7 Comparative Analysis of Data Mining for Glaucoma Diagnosis by other researchers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr No</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Nagaraj et al</td>
<td>Multifocal visual evoked potential</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Sensitivity -95% Specificity -94% Accuracy-94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bizios et al</td>
<td>Optic nerve head parameters</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Sensitivity -93% Specificity -94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cluster Algorithm</td>
<td>Sensitivity -95% Specificity -82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Nayak et al</td>
<td>Optic Disc parameters Blood vessel Parameters</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Sensitivity -100% Specificity -80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Balasubraman ian et al</td>
<td>Optic nerve head parameter</td>
<td>36 (progressive Glaucomatous eye)</td>
<td>Proper orthogonal decomposition</td>
<td>Area under ROC-0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Huang et al</td>
<td>Retinal nerve fibre thickness</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>Area under ROC-0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Area under ROC-0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Acharya et al</td>
<td>Higher order spectra and GLCM</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Random-forest</td>
<td>Accuracy-91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONCLUSION
The early detection of glaucoma can save the vision. In this paper, the researcher has presented performance analysis of various data mining techniques to diagnose glaucoma using perimetric and OCT data. Diagnosis of Glaucoma has been carried out using Decision Tree, Linear Regression and SVM classifier. All the data mining techniques were able to detect the glaucoma and normal classes with an accuracy of 99.17%, 84.42% and 92.63% respectively. Comparative study of all the three techniques shows that Decision Tree and SVM model have given better accuracy and precision as well as sensitivity and specificity as compared to SVM. The models can be further improved by using better features and more robust data mining techniques. This diagnostic tool will help aide the ophthalmologists in making better and faster decision while diagnosing glaucoma.
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