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ABSTRACT
Web application plays an important role in different fields like finance sector, business, shopping etc. There is no. of web application vulnerabilities such as SQL injection, Buffer overflow etc. Above these SQL Injection vulnerabilities are very harmful for web applications. In literature survey there are number of technique used to prevent SQLIA in application level, but not in database level. SQL injection attacks occur due to vulnerabilities in the design of queries where a malicious user can take advantage of input opportunities to insert code in the queries that modify the query-conditions resulting in unauthorized database access. In this paper we design an effective algorithm to prevent stored procedure from SQLIA in database level. Hirschberg’s algorithm is used to prevent the stored procedure, which reduces both time as well as space complexity. We also analyse several aspects which have been discussed further.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Most of the web applications contain security vulnerabilities which enable attackers to exploit them and launch attacks. Architectural approaches attempt to prevent malicious code execution by making certain pages of memory non-executable. This protection methodology is effective for many of circumvent the traditional attacks; however, attackers still manage to them. As a result of the attacks confidentiality, integrity and availability of information are lost. The cross site scripting attacks, SQL Injections attacks and Buffer Overflow are the major threat in the web application security through this input validation security issues. SQL Injection Attacks (SQLIA’s) constitute an important class of attacks in web application. By leveraging insufficient input validation, an attacker could obtain direct access to the database underlying an application. Recently the incident of SQLIA is so high that in year 2008 it increases by 134% and become a predominant type of web vulnerabilities. The vulnerability exploited by SQLIA is based on maliciously updating the actual query conditions that are dependent on user-inputs. SQL injection leads to violation of privacy, security and integrity of data stored in the database. The rest of this paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 introduces SQL injection attacks; Section 3 describes about SQLIA in stored procedure; Section 4 shows the evolution and recent scenario; Section 5 describes the proposed work and Section 6 describes Conclusion and outlook.

2. SQL INJECTION ATTACKS
With the recent rapid increase in interactive web applications that employ back-end database services, an SQL injection attack has become one of the most serious security threats. It attempts to modify the parameters of a Web-based application in order to alter the SQL statements that are parsed to retrieve data from the database. Attackers would send SQL to interact with RDBMS servers or modify existing SQL to retrieve unauthorized information without any authentication. The result of these attacks is often disastrous and can range from leaking of sensitive data to the destruction of database contents. There is very little emphasis on securing objects residing in the database layer such as stored procedure which are also vulnerable to SQLIA. Attacker injects malicious SQL codes through the web application and causes unexpected behaviour from the database. It has been estimated that at least 50% of the large e-commerce sites and about 75% of the medium to small sites are vulnerable to SQLIA. Consider the following, in order to login to the website, the user inputs his name and password, by clicking on the submit button the following SQL query is generated:

SELECT * FROM user_table WHERE user_id= 'sonal' and password= '2345'

if the user input the following user_id: ("or 1=1 -- ")

SELECT * FROM user_table WHERE user_id= " or 1=1 -- " and password = '2345'

This is always returns true results. (‘) called the quotation mark tells the parser that the username string is finished, ("or 1=1 -- ") called fragment appended to the statement which always true, (--) comment mark which tells the parser that the statement is finished and password will not be checked.

Stored procedure are very harmful against SQLIA because it is a set of one or more SQL statements that are together stored in database. A procedure is logically a grouped set of SQL statements that perform a specific task and stored in the data dictionary. Once it is modified, all clients automatically get the new version.

3. EVOLUTION & RECENT SCENARIO
Various SQL prevention techniques have been proposed are as follows:

- Ke Wei, M Muthuprasanna and S. Kothari [2], proposed the prevention technique in stored procedure, combines static application code analysis with runtime validation to eliminate the occurrence of SQLIA. The limitation of the given technique is that it uses session id which is used to separate the user inputs from the SQL statement that might be guessed by the attacker.

- R. Ezumalai, G. Aghila [2] proposed a signature based approach to block SQLIA. This technique is used to address security problems related to input validation.

(Preventer) prototype tool is based on dynamic tainting which marks and tracks at runtime.

- In Ankit Anchlia et al [4] proposed to test the applications that interact with the databases using SQL queries embedded in the code. There are automated ways to test the applications written in imperative and structured languages. However, the methodologies to test the applications with embedded SQL queries are still in the nascent phase.
- In 2010, Michelle Ruse, et al. [5] proposed a novel technique to identify the possibilities of such attacks. Technique is based on automatically developing a model for a SQL query such that the model captures the dependencies between various components (sub-queries) of the query.

4. PROPOSED WORK
New framework is proposed for stored procedure, describes three modules. Supervision module gets the input from the user and send it to the analyse module. Analyse module uses Hirschberg’s Algorithm for comparing the two strings of SQL statements from the specifications. The following figure 1 clearly gives the framework to prevent SQLIA in stored procedure. The following section gives the detail.

![Proposed Framework for preventing SQLIA](image)

**3.1 Input Module**
This module is used to do the supervision of the user input and send it to the analyse module. It incorporated between web server and web applications.

**3.2 Specification Module**
This module contains all the predefined keywords which are stored in database. It includes the predefined keywords and sends it to the analysis module for comparison.

**3.3 Interpretation Module**
Interpretation module is very important module in the given framework. It uses Hirschberg’s algorithm for comparison of two sequences. It is a divide and conquer version of Needleman–Wunsch algorithm. This algorithm is generally applicable for finding an optimal sequence alignment.

**3.4 Hotspot**
Hot spot is that line where it gets the input from the user and vulnerable in execution. This performs a simple scanning of the application code to identify hotspots. Consider the following stored procedure. This step identifies the hot spot and it divides the hot spot into tokens and it sends it to query validation phase.

**Table1: pseudo code for stored procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><code>CREATE PROCEDURE SQLIAloginNormal</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><code>@username VARCHAR(30)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><code>@password VARCHAR(50)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><code>AS</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><code>BEGIN</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><code>DECLARE @sql VARCHAR(400)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><code>SET @sql=SELECT * FROM users_table</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHERE user_id=&quot;@username&quot; AND Password=&quot;@password&quot;;`</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><code>PRINT @sql;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td><code>EXEC (@sql);</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><code>--select 5</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><code>END</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.5 Hirschberg’s Algorithm**
It is a dynamic programming algorithm that finds the least cost sequence alignment between two strings. This is a generally applicable for finding an optimal sequence alignment. If x and y are strings, where |x|= n and |y|= m, the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm finds an optimal alignment in O (nm) time, using O (nm) space. Hirschberg’s algorithm takes O (nm) time, but needs only O (min [m, n]) space.

\[
F(i, j) = \begin{cases} 
F[i-1, j-1] + t(x_i, y_j) & \text{if } (i = j) \\
F[i-1, j] + p_x & \text{if } (i < j) \\
F[i, j-1] + p_y & \text{if } (i > j)
\end{cases}
\]

F(i,j) = Max{F[i-1,j-1]+t(xi,yj), F(i,j-1)+px, F(i-1,j)+py)}

F(i,j) = 1 if(x i=yj) otherwise 0.t(xi, yj) - score for aligning the characters at positions i and j, p is the penalty for a gap. There are three paths in the scoring matrix for reaching a particular position i, j: (1) a diagonal move from position i-1, j-1 to position i, j with no gap penalties, (2) a move from any position in column j to i, j, with a gap penalty, or (3) a move from any position in row i to i, j with a gap penalty. But this system could not consider the gap penalty. It directly matches between two sequences. For two sequences a = a1 a2 ... an and b = b1 b2 ... bn, where Sij =S (ai a2 ... ai , bi b2 ... bj), then: Where Sij is the score at position i in sequence a and position j in sequence b, s (ai bj) is the score for aligning the characters at positions i and j, d is the penalty for a gap of length x in sequence a, and d is the penalty for a gap of length y in sequence b. Sij is a type of running best score as the algorithm moves of through every position in the matrix. Eventually, all of the matrix positions (all Sij values) are
filled. F(i, j) is a type of running best score as the algorithm moves through every position in the matrix. But in our approach, gap penalty has ignored. If X_i = Y_i, then plot “1” else “0” till all the character has been visited. The system maintains the table which contains keywords which are present in horizontal or vertical line and it will compare the incoming tokens with these predefined values using this algorithm for identity. In this SQL statement, this is a hot spot which has been an identified by the analyze module and it send to this table for detect and prevent SQLIA.

SQL = "SELECT * FROM user_table WHERE User_id = "Username" & " AND Password = "&Password&";"

As per the algorithm, it divides the token and checks the each token with the predefined tokens.

Select *from user_table where user_id= ""or 1=1 -- " and password=" anything;"

This analyzer module detects SQL Injection taken place and passes this token to prevent SQLIA. X strings are stored in horizontal line & Y strings are stored in vertical line. Being a divide and conquer methodology, it divide the problem in two sub problem. It compares one sub problems with predefined data and it compares another sub problem with another set to match comparison and it combines the sub problem solutions to main problem. It takes time complexity as O (nm) and it needs space complexity O (min(m, n)).

Table 3: Using Hirschberg’s Algorithm to find the similarities

5. CONCLUSION & OUTLOOK

SQLIA occur due to vulnerabilities in the design of queries where a malicious user can take advantage of input opportunities to insert code in the queries that modify the query-conditions resulting in unauthorized database access. In this paper we design an effective algorithm to prevent stored procedure from SQLIA in database level. Divide and Conquer strategy is used, which reduces both time as well as space complexity. We also analyze several aspects which have been discussed further.
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