

Fixed Point Theorems in Multiplicative Metric Spaces

Avinash Chandra Upadhyaya
Department of Mathematics
Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram
University of Science and Technology,
Murthal, Sonapat-131039,
Haryana (India).

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove fixed point theorems in multiplicative metric spaces.

General Terms

The set of positive real numbers \mathbb{R}_+ is not complete according to the usual metric \mathbb{R} , but it is complete in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces.

Keywords

Multiplicative metric spaces, fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION

The set of positive real numbers \mathbb{R}_+ is not complete according to the usual metric, \mathbb{R} . To overcome this problem, in 2008, Bashirov et. al. [2] introduced the concept of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

Definition 1.1.[2] Let X be a non-empty set. A multiplicative metric is a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $d(x, y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $x=y$;
- ii. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- iii. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \cdot d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (multiplicative triangle inequality). (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \cdot d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (multiplicative triangle inequality).

Example 1.1. [4] Let $d: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be defined as

$d(x, y) = a^{|x-y|}$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 1$. Then $d(x, y)$ is a multiplicative metric and (X, d) is called a multiplicative metric space. We call it usual multiplicative metric spaces.

Example 1.2.[4] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a mapping d_a on X by $d_a(x, y) = a^{d(x,y)}$ where a

> 1 is a real number and $d_a(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ a & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$

The metric $d_a(x, y)$ is called discrete multiplicative metric and X together with metric d_a i.e., (X, d_a) is known as a discrete multiplicative metric space.

For more detail on multiplicative metric topology one can refer to ([3]).

Definition 1.2.([3]) Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X said to be a

- (i) multiplicative convergent sequence to x , if for every multiplicative open ball
- (ii) $B_\epsilon(x) = \{y \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon > 1$, there exists a natural number N such that $x_n \in B_\epsilon(x)$ for all $n \geq N$, i. e, $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.(ii) multiplicative Cauchy sequence if for all $\epsilon > 1$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > N$ i. e, $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A multiplicative metric space is called complete if every multiplicative Cauchy sequence in X is multiplicative converging to $x \in X$.

In 2012, Özavşar and Çevikel [3] proved Banach-contraction principle mappings in the setting of multiplicative metric spaces akin to Banach-contraction principle mappings in metric spaces.

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a multiplicative contraction if there exists a real constant $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)^\lambda \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \text{ Then } f \text{ has a}$$

unique fixed point.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove a result for a map that satisfy the contractive type condition.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Suppose the mapping

$f: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous self- mapping satisfies the condition

$$(2.1) d(fx, fy) \leq [d(x, y)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x, fy)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(fx, y)]^{a_3} \cdot [d(fy, y)]^{a_4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 < 1$.

Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X defined as follows.

Let $x_0 \in X$. For this x_0 there exists x_1 such that $f(x_0) = x_1$. Again, for this x_1 there exists x_2 such that $f(x_1) = x_2$. Continue like this we get $f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$.

Consider (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \leq \\ & [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_{n-1}, fx_n)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(fx_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_3} \cdot [d(fx_n, x_n)]^{a_4} \\ & \leq [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})]^{a_2} \\ & [d(x_n, x_n)]^{a_3} \cdot [d(x_{n+1}, x_n)]^{a_4}. \end{aligned}$$

On simplification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\ & \leq [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1+a_2+a_3} \\ & [d(x_{n+1}, x_n)]^{a_2+a_3+a_4}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^h, \text{ where} \\ h &= \frac{a_1+a_2+a_3}{1-(a_2+a_3+a_4)} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^h$.

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^{h^2}.$$

Continue in a similar fashion, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_0, x_1)]^{h^n}$$

For $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \cdot \dots \\ & d(x_m, x_{m+1}) \\ & \leq d(x_0, x_1)^{h^{n-1}+h^{n-2}+\dots+h^m} \\ & \leq d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{h^m}{1-h}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. By the multiplicative completeness of X , there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we show that z is fixed point of f by assuming that f is continuous or f is not continuous.

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(fx_n, fx_{n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left\{ \max \{d(x_n, fx_n), d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), d(x_n, fx_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, fx_n), \frac{d(x_n, fx_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, fx_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})}\} \right\}^{a_1} \\ & \leq \max \{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1})}\}^{a_1} \\ & = [d(x_n, x_{n+1})^2 \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) f is continuous, since $x_n \rightarrow z$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and f is continuous so, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = fz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z$, i.e., z is a fixed point of f .

(ii) f is not continuous then

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, z) &\leq d(fx_n, fz) \cdot d(fx_n, z) \\ & \leq [d(z, x_n)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(x_n, fz)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(fx_n, z)]^{a_3} \cdot [d(fz, z)]^{a_4}. \\ d(fz, z) &\leq [d(z, fz)]^{a_2+a_4} \text{ gives } fz = z, \text{ i.e., } z \text{ is a fixed point of } f. \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness. Suppose z, w ($z \neq w$) be two fixed point of f , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, w) &= d(fz, fw) \leq \\ & [d(z, w)]^{a_1} \cdot [d(z, fw)]^{a_2} \cdot [d(fz, w)]^{a_3} \cdot [d(fw, w)]^{a_4} \\ d(z, w) &\leq [d(z, w)]^{a_1+a_2+a_3} \text{ this implies that } d(z, w) = 1 \text{ i.e., } z = w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f has a unique fixed point.

Cor. 2.1. On Putting $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$ in (2.1), we get Banach-contraction [3] in the sense of multiplicative metric spaces.

Now we prove a result for a map that satisfy the rational type contractive condition.

Theorem 2.2. Let f be a continuous self-mapping defined on a complete multiplicative metric space X , further f satisfies the following conditions

$$(2.2) d(fx, fy)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, fy)\}^{a_1} \\ & \leq d(y, fx) \cdot \frac{d(x, fx) \cdot d(y, fy) \cdot d(y, fx)}{d(x, y)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_1 < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , defined as follows:

$$\text{Let } x_0 \in X, f(x_0) = x_1, f(x_1) = x_2, \dots, f(x_n) = x_{n+1}.$$

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then x_n is a fixed point of f .

Taking $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Consider (2.2), we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^{2\alpha_1} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^{\alpha_1},$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^h, \text{ where } h = \frac{\alpha_1}{1-2\alpha_1} < 1.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^h,$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]^{h^2}.$$

Continue like this we get,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq [d(x_0, x_1)]^{h^n}$$

$$\text{For } n > m, d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \cdot \dots \cdot d(x_m, x_{m+1})$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1)^{h^{n-1} + h^{n-2} + \dots + h^m}$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1)^{\frac{h^m}{1-h}}. \text{ This implies}$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1 (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. By the multiplicative completeness of X , there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we show that z is fixed point of f .

Since f is continuous and $x_n \rightarrow z$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) so,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = fz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z,$

i.e., z is a fixed point of f .

Uniqueness follows easily.

3. REFERENCES

- [1] M.Abbas, B.Ali, Y. I .Suleiman, Common Fixed Points of Locally Contractive Mappings in Multiplicative Metric Spaces with Application, Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume ,2015 Article ID 218683.
- [2] A.E.Bashirov, E.M. Kurplnara ,and A. Ozyapici , Multiplicative calculus and its applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337, 36-48, 2008.
- [3] M.Ozavsar and A.C. Cevikel, Fixed point of multiplicative contraction mappings on multiplicative metric space, arXiv:1205.5131v1 [matn.GN],2012.
- [4] M.Sarwar and R. Badshah-e , Some unique fixed point Theorems in multiplicative metric space, arXiv:1410.3384v2 [matn.GM] ,2014.