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ABSTRACT
Wireless Sensor Networks are vulnerable to communication failures and security attacks. It is quite challenging to provide security to data aggregation. This paper proposes Authenticated Data Aggregation for Wireless Sensor Networks, where the nodes organize themselves into tiers around the sink. Message Authentication Code (MAC) is generated and transmitted along with the synopsis to ensure integrity. All nodes in the network store the same key that is used for rekeying operation during each round to generate MAC. Thus ADA ensures data freshness and integrity at a communication cost of O(1). Simulation results show that the proposed ADA protocol results in high security, low energy consumption and low communication cost compared to the state-of-the-art protocol.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) consists of a set of sensors that are severely constrained in resources such as energy, bandwidth, memory and computational capability. Each sensor node senses the physical environment, process the reading and communicates its observation to the Base Station either directly or through multi-hop communication. The highest energy consuming activity in a sensor node is transmission. Therefore as the number of transmissions increases, the network lifetime decreases.

In-network aggregation techniques were introduced that combines partial results at intermediate nodes by which there is a significant reduction in the number of messages communicated resulting in comparatively lesser energy consumption per node and increase the network lifetime. In-network aggregation can be either cluster-based or tree-based. In Cluster-based aggregation, the sensor nodes are grouped into clusters with cluster-head that performs in-network aggregation. In Tree-based aggregation an aggregation tree is constructed where non-leaf nodes in the aggregation tree perform in-network aggregation.

In the aggregation techniques mentioned above if the aggregator node fails, the data from entire cluster or subtree will become unavailable for aggregation. Multi-path communication based techniques were introduced in which a node can have more than one parent in the aggregation hierarchy. But multipath based communication results in message duplication where same data will be aggregated multiple times. In case of duplicate-sensitive aggregates, such as Count and Sum, the individual readings and partial results sent along the multiple paths results in overcounting. Two approaches were designed to address overcounting problem in multi-path aggregation, synopsis diffusion and summation sketch.

The mode of communication for sensor nodes is broadcast by nature and they are generally deployed in open environment. Due to this reason, WSNs are vulnerable to various types of security attacks. Many types of attacks can be launched on in-network aggregation such as compromising a node to affect aggregated results, impersonating a node, replaying an outdated message. Hence authenticating data and sender of data is important while performing aggregation.

Motivation: The cryptographic algorithms require higher computation capacity and require messages to be encrypted and decrypted at each end. On the other hand data aggregation functions are applied on the plain text. Hence securing the data aggregation process in an energy efficient manner is challenging.

Contribution: This paper proposes Authenticated Data Aggregation (ADA) combines the concept of adaptive rings with TDMA and pairwise verification with rekeying.

Organization: This paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews various data aggregation techniques. Section III describes the synopsis diffusion framework. Section IV defines the problem and describes the system model. Section V presents ADA. Section VI discusses the simulation results and performance analysis. Section VII concludes the paper.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY
2.1 Data Routing and Aggregation Techniques:
Intanagonwiwat et al., [1] have designed a stable Directed Diffusion for distributed sensor networks where a query is transformed to interest and then diffused to nodes in different regions. These nodes propagate the data in the opposite direction of interest. Handziski et al., [2] explored the effect of directed diffusion on sensor network with passive clustering that can significantly reduce the required energy while improving delay and delivery rate. Dargahi et al., [3]
enhanced the Directed Diffusion based on nodes’ credit resulting in energy efficiency, reliability and supports load distribution.

Considine et al., [4] investigated the use of approximate in-network aggregation for computing duplicate sensitive aggregates by combining duplicate-insensitive sketches with multipath-routing techniques. The sketches generated are compressed using run-length encoding and reduces the space requirement by 30%. Fan and Chen, [5], [6] proposed linear counting sketches for multipath routing based in-network aggregation. The Scalable Counting (SC) sketch and its variant adaptive scalable counting (ASC) sketch presented in [7] can produce duplicate-insensitive synopsis and at the same time suppress data transmissions insignificant to aggregate computation. This algorithm performs in-network aggregation with much less space requirement than [6].

Nath et al., [8], [9] presented synopsis diffusion, a general framework to overcome double-counting problem where best effort, multipath routing schemes called rings is used together with order and duplicate insensitive (ODI) synopsis. This implicit acknowledgement mechanism enables synopsis diffusion adapt to dynamic message loss condition. Different energy efficient routing techniques are presented in [10], [11], [12] and [13].

2.2 Secure data aggregation:
Garofalakis et al., [14] derived proof sketches which provide verifiable approximations for a broad class of distributed queries. It combines Flajolet-Martin (FM) sketches with and authentication manifests resulting in low false negative rate. The algorithm is robust as the adversary must compromise the aggregators near the root of the topology to get near the worst case bounds undetected.

Nath et al., [15] developed Secure Outsourced Aggregation (SECOA) for aggregation by untrusted third party service providers based on unified use of one way chain and support a wide range of aggregation functions. The proposed framework detects malicious aggregators without communicating with sensors and incurs low additional communication and computational overheads. Yang et al., [16] have designed a Secure Hop-by-hop Data Aggregation Protocol (SDAP) that uses a probabilistic grouping to partition the aggregation tree into subtrees of similar size. A commit-based hop by hop aggregation is performed to generate group aggregate and is verified by the base station. The protocol effectively defends against both count and value changing attacks.

Chen and Yu, [17] proposed Verifiable Minimum with Audit Trail (VMAT), which relies only on symmetric key cryptography. VMAT guarantees either the correct aggregation result or revokes some key held by the adversary.

Papadopoulos et al., [18] developed Secure In-network processing of Exact Sum queries (SIES) that provides both integrity and confidentiality through a combination of homomorphic encryption and secret sharing. The variance and standard deviation queries require larger plain texts and keys resulting in performance degradation.

3. BACKGROUND WORK
3.1 Introduction to Synopsis Diffusion
The nodes organize into adaptive rings around the sink as the query propagates through the network. It is named adaptive rings since each node creates their neighbor list during each query dissemination phase and hence in the neighbor list failed nodes are not added. A node that is i hops away from base station is considered to be in ring Li. A node in ring i has multiple parents in ring i-1 and multiple children in ring i+1. When all nodes in outermost ring have received the query, the second phase starts. The aggregation process starts from outermost ring. Each node X in the outermost ring computes synopsis which is a bit-vector generated using Probabilistic Counting with Stochastic Averaging (PCSA) algorithm proposed by Flajolet-Martin [22]. The synopsis generated using SynGen() function, LS[X] is then broadcasted.

When a node Y at level Li receives the synopsis from a node X in level Li-1, it performs aggregation by applying SynFuse() function as shown below:

FSY = LSY | FSX1 | FSX2 | ... | FSXc

Where FSY is the fused synopsis of the node Y, LSX is the synopsis generated at node Y corresponding to its data VX and c represents the number of children of node Y. The node Y then broadcasts the fused synopsis FSY. This process is repeated until all the aggregated synopses reach the base station. A node broadcasts its synopsis multiple times to provide better resilience against communication failure.

When base station receives synopsis from all its children, the base station applies synopsis fusion function on all received synopses. The final synopsis obtained is a bit-vector that is represented by the regular expression, 1^z[0, 1]^z where z is the index of leftmost (least significant) 0-bit in the final synopsis. Finally, the base station evaluates the synopsis for count query as 2^70.7735 and for Sum query as 2^7.

3.2 Secured Data Aggregation
Roy et al., [19] presented a data aggregation protocol for sum and count aggregates that secures the original synopsis diffusion protocol by sending Message Authentication Code (MAC)x to the base station with partial results computed at each level in the hierarchy. The base station can detect the presence of false subaggregates by verifying these MACs. In [20] a verification algorithm is presented to secure the synopsis diffusion technique that generates k MACs authenticating the each of k rightmost 1 bits in the fused synopsis of node X. Later they have proposed a two phase verification algorithm [21], in which a node transmits MAC for each of the ‘1’ bit it is contributing. Phase II of the algorithm is initiated only if the base station is not able to verify the index of least one ‘1’ bit it received in the final aggregated synopsis.

This approach incurs more communication overhead. In both the cases, a node X transmits MAC authenticating index of ith rightmost 1 bit which may be generated at X itself or may be received from any one of its children. The problem with this approach is that, since node X does not verify the MAC received from any of its children, it is possible that X may generate a genuine MAC for a falsified I bit and transmit it along with the synopsis and the attack remains undetected.

4. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND SYSTEM MODEL
4.1 Problem Definition
Given a sensor network G, with N sensor nodes and a query Q issued from the base station, compute duplicate sensitive aggregate corresponding to the query Q on demand, while removing contributions from the malicious nodes, M at a reduced communication and computation overhead.

Objectives:
1) Reduce malicious contribution.
2) Reduce communication cost and increase network lifetime.
4.2 System Model and Assumptions

4.2.1 Network Model:
The Sensor Network consists of N homogeneous sensors with a configuration similar to that of Micaz or Telos in their communication and computation capabilities. The sensor network is organized into 2D grid of size N X N in which sensor nodes are placed on grid points and base station is placed at center of the grid as shown in Figure 1. The sensor nodes send their data to the sink through multihop transmission. All the nodes in the network are assumed to be synchronized. Each node in the network has same initial energy \(E_{0}\). It is assumed that each node has exactly eight neighbors. The communication range \(R\) of each sensor node is chosen to be \(\sqrt{2}\) so as to have 8 neighbours.

![Fig 1: Network Deployment](image)

4.2.1.1 Adaptive tier
ADA uses extended version of adaptive rings topology called adaptive tier. This architecture allows a node at tier \(i\) to have parents in same tier in addition to parents in previous tier. The aggregation time is divided into \(n-1\) mini-slots, where \(n\) is the maximum number of neighbors a node can have in previous tier. All nodes whose \(id\) is odd transmit in odd numbered mini slots and all nodes whose \(id\) is even number transmit in even numbered slots. The nodes that have not yet transmitted their synopsis, i.e., with unexpired timer, aggregate the received data from neighbors in the same level before transmitting. The adaptive tier ensures that data of each node is aggregated by at least 3 neighbors and hence it is more resilient to failures than adaptive rings.

4.2.2 Attack Model
It is assumed the sink cannot be compromised whereas all other sensor nodes are assumed to be vulnerable to attacks. ADA algorithm tries to address mainly two types of attacks, replay attack and false data injection.

4.2.2.1 Replay attack
Replay attack affects data freshness. Here a compromised node retransmits a genuine synopsis packet that has been generated during one of the previous epochs in place of current synopsis packet.

4.2.2.2 False data injection
In this type of attack, a compromised node tries to introduce false contribution in its aggregated data. If the data sensed by sensors are transmitted as bit vector (such as synopsis or sketches), a compromised node may either inflate (where bit with value ‘0’ is changed to ‘1’) or deflate (bit with value ‘1’ is converted to ‘0’) either in its own synopsis or aggregated synopsis.

4.2.3 Security Model
Every node in the topology broadcasts its data to all its neighbors at previous level. Due to the broadcast nature of communication, establishing a pairwise key is not well suited for this type of communication. Because, if pairwise key is used, multiple unicast messages must be exchanged between each pair of neighbors to achieve multipath routing and results in increased communication overhead. So establishing pairwise key is not suitable solution to multipath routing.

A second option is to have a group key shared between node \(X\) and its parent. This again requires multiple unicast messages to be communicated between node \(X\) and its neighbors to agree upon a common key. This approach also ends up in increased communication overhead.

It is assumed that every node \(X\) is pre-loaded with one master key \(K\) which is generated at the base station.

If a node \(X\) wishes to compute MAC for synopsis either self-generated or received from its neighbors, it performs a re-keying operation to generate \(KX\) computed as follows:

\[ K_X = f_K(id,e) = (K \cdot id ) \oplus e \]

A new key is generated for every round synopsis is to be transmitted. This key, \(K_X\) is used for computing the Message Authentication Code. Only the master key, \(K\) is stored at all the sensor nodes. The key of each node \(K_X\) is generated in the MAC generation procedure. Even if an attacker gets the master key, a genuine MAC authenticating fake data cannot be generated.

5. THE ADA ALGORITHM
ADA aims at allowing the base station to obtain the approximate estimate of the aggregate while keeping the computational, communication and memory overhead minimal. For achieving this, ADA uses pull based architecture for data collection where the sink pulls data from the sensor nodes using a query. The algorithm comprises of three phases:

1) Query generation and propagation: Query propagates through network and nodes organize into adaptive tier
2) Synopsis generation and aggregation: Synopsis is generated and aggregated
3) Evaluation.

The generation of synopsis using primitive polynomials is presented in [22]. ADA can be used for both persistent as well as single shot queries.

5.1 Query generation and propagation
In this phase the Base Station generates two random integers: a random integer \(g\) and a prime number \(p\). These two random integers ensure data freshness and are used for exchanging pairwise keys. The base station generates a query packet containing the fields: \(< Q, g, p, t, e, T >\) where \(Q\) represents the type of query (count, sum or average), \(t\) represents time of query generation and \(e\) represents the interval after which subsequent aggregated data packets are expected. Base station is the only node at tier \(0\), hence when query packet is generated at the base station, it sets \(T\) to \(0\). When the query packet reaches a node \(X\) for the first time, it sets a timer for synopsis generation. The node \(X\) then increments the \(T\) field in the packet by \(1\) and sets its own tier to \(T+1\). The node also
stores all information related to the query locally. Then it replaces the id field in packet by its own id and rebroadcasts the packet. Here limited flooding technique is used to reduce the number of packets in transit. A node X rebroadcasts query packet QPj for query j, when of the two following conditions hold:

(i) QPj is received for first time at X
(ii) QPj is already received but the node X belongs to a lower tier, i.e., T of has been reduced to T-1. On receipt of query packet, each sensor node updates its active neighbor list. In addition the node sets a timer inversely proportional to its tier, i.e., timer of leaf nodes expire first and timer of nodes at tier1 expires last.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. List of notations used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id, X, Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS[i]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>len</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two random integers p and g allows a node X to differentiate query packet QPj, from the previous query packet QPj-1 corresponding to queries Qj and Qj-1 respectively and hence ensures data freshness. This process is repeated until the query packet reaches all nodes in the network. The resultant topology formed is the adaptive tier, presented in Section IV.

5.2 Synopsis Generation and Aggregation

5.2.1 Synopsis generation

When the timer for synopsis generation at node X expires, it generates reading VX corresponding to the type of query as discussed in section II and resets the data generation timer. Then node X generates its local synopsis. Primitive polynomials modulo 2 with coefficients 0 or 1, is used as an alternative to hash function to generate random bit positions, corresponding synGen() function is given in Function 1. The advantage of using primitive polynomials modulo 2 as hash function in comparison to PCSA based hash function is twofold: 1) Since it uses bitwise XOR and shift operations, computation cost is low 2) It does not require arrays for the computation in comparison to PCSA based hash function, which uses two arrays of size 64 and hence it incurs very low memory overhead. SynGen() function works differently for Sum and Count queries as shown in Function 1.

Function 1: Function to generate synopsis

**Function:** SynGen(Id, Vd, len)

Compute qtime as t + (e * round)

if Query = “Count” then

Set rseed to Id @ qtime

Initialize i to 0

while i < len do

Perform bitwise XOR on the bits of rseed that correspond to the selected polynomial of order len

Store the result in newbit

Perform 1 bit left shift on rseed

Reset rseed as rseed @ newbit

if newbit = 1 then

Set LS[i] to 1

Return LSd

else

Increment i by 1

else if Query = “Sum” then

Set n1 equal to the number of 1 bits in the reading. Vd

Set rseed to Id . Vi @ qtime

Initialize i to 0, j to 0

while i < n1 do

while j < len do

Perform bitwise XOR on the bits of rseed that correspond to the selected polynomial of order len

Store the result in newbit

Perform 1 bit left shift on rseed

Reset rseed as rseed @ newbit

if newbit = 1 then

Set LS[i] to 1

Return LSd

else

Increment j by 1

Increment i by 1

5.2.1.1 Count Query

Synopsis for count query is simple. As discussed above the hash() function implemented using primitive polynomials modulo 2 and CountSyn() function invokes hash() function repeatedly until it returns 1. If ith bit of local synopsis LSd is set to 1 as in original synopsis diffusion.

**Example:** Let Id = 960 and let the polynomial selected is 14x+5x+3x+x+1. When hash(id, len) is invoked for the first time, it performs bitwise XOR of 14th, 5th, 3rd, 1st and 0th bit. Since bits in all the corresponding positions are 0, the hash(id, len) returns 0 as result. In this case, a single left shift is performed on seed. We can see that on 6th invocation of hash(id, len), i.e., after 5 left shift operations, the function returns 1. Hence the synopsis generation function sets the fifth bit to 1.

5.2.1.2 Sum Query

To generate Synopsis for Sum query, node X executes the CountSyn() function b number of times and sets, where b is the number of 1 bits in reading measured by X. The local synopsis LSX has b bits set to 1. Let Vmax represent the
maximum value of count. Then the number of nodes contributing to ith bit of synopsis is equal to \(V_{\text{max}} / 2^i\). Let \(c\) represent the number of consecutive 1 bits in the synopsis, then \(c = z - 1\), where \(z\) is the index of least significant 0 bit. \(E(c) = \log_2(V_{\text{max}})\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 1: Authenticated Data Aggregation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input:</strong> Query from user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output:</strong> Aggregated result corresponding to the query (A_Q)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PHASE I: Query generation and propagation**
Generate random number \(g\) and prime \(p\) at Sink
Generate and broadcast query packet \(QPacket\), with fields \(\langle Q, g, p, t, e, T, Id\rangle\)
if \(QPacket\) is received by sensor node, \(X\) then
  if \(T < T_X\) or node \(X\) then
    Generate random number
    Store fields of \(QPacket\), in node \(X\)
    Set synopsis generation timer
    Set \(T_X\) to \(T + 1\)
    Increment \(T\) field of \(QPacket\), by 1
    Set \(Id\) field of \(QPacket\), to \(X\)
    Set aggregation timer \(\alpha\) \(\forall T\)
  Add \(X\) to active neighbour list

**PHASE II: Synopsis generation and aggregation**
if synopsis generation timer fires then
  Call SynGen(\(Id, V_X, \text{len}\)) \(LS_Y\)
  Initialize \(FS_X\) to \(LS_Y\)
  for each \(DPacket\) received do
    if \(T_X\) of \(DPacket\) received from \(Y \geq T_X\) of node \(X\) then
      Call SynGen(\(Id, V_y, \text{len}\)) to generate synopsis of \(Y\)
      if received synopsis of \(Y = \text{generated synopsis at} \ X\) then
        Generate MAC for left most 0 bit in the received synopsis
        if received MAC = generated MAC then
          Aggregate synopsis in the received \(DPacket\)
        else
          Drop the \(DPacket\)
      else
        Drop the \(DPacket\)
    if aggregation timer fires then
      Generate MAC for left most 0 bit
      Create \(DPacket\) containing fused synopsis
      Broadcast fused synopsis

**PHASE III: Evaluation**
Find index of least significant 0 bit, \(z\)
if \(Q = \text{"Count"}\) then
  Compute \(A_Q = 2^{z-1} \cdot 0.7335\)
if \(Q = \text{"Sum"}\) then
  Compute \(A_Q = 2^{z-1}\)

Return \(A_Q\)

5.2.2 Synopsis Aggregation
When any non-leaf node \(X\) at \(T_i\) receives a packet from its neighbor at level \(T_{i+1}\), it first generates the synopsis corresponding to the reading. If the received synopsis and generated synopsis match then, the node regenerates the MAC for the received synopsis using the MAC generation algorithm discussed above. If the generated MAC agrees with the received MAC, then \(X\) aggregates the data received from \(Y\) with its own as \(FS_X = FS_X | FS_Y\); where \(|\) indicates bitwise OR operation. When timer of \(X\) expires, \(X\) generates its fused synopsis and then generates a MAC authenticating the least significant 0 bit it is contributing. It then broadcasts its fused synopsis along with the MAC and its reading corresponding to query \(Q_1\) to \(P_e\). Evaluation phase is performed at the Sink node. When the sink node receives a data packet from a node at tier 1 say \(Y\), it generates a MAC authenticating the index of least significant 0 bit using the MAC generation procedure discussed above. It then verifies the received MACs with the generated ones and if they match then the synopsis in received packet is fused at the sink as mentioned in Data generation and aggregation above.

5.2.2.1 MAC generation
MAC generation procedure takes \(\langle id, V, K, FS_{id}, T, g, p, t, e\rangle\) as input. It first generates a key for this data collection round using the common key shared by all nodes using a function similar to Diffie-Hellman Key exchange protocol

\[
\begin{align*}
qtime &= t + (e \ast \text{round}) \mod p \text{ where } p \text{ and } g \text{ are random numbers transmitted along with query from sink.} \\
qtime &= t + (e \ast \text{round}) \mod p \\
\end{align*}
\]

The reason for generating the MAC for the least significant 0 bit that is the final value of synopsis depends on the expected index of the least significant ‘0’ bit \(E(Z)\). If an inflation attack is launched at any bit position (index) \(i\), it does not affect the value of final approximate computed at base station as long as \(i < Z\).

5.3 Evaluation
The evaluation phase is similar to the synEval() function given under section 3.1. Once the synopsis of all eight neighbors are aggregated, the sink evaluates the result of count query as \(2^7/0.7735\), where \(z\) is the index of least significant 0 bit. The result of average query is evaluated as \(\text{sum/\text{count}}\).

6. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS
This section presents a detailed analysis of the simulation results performed on NS2 simulator. The basic network size used consists of 900 sensor nodes placed in a grid topology. The sink is placed at the center of the grid as shown in Figure 3. The node density is 4 nodes / m². During each data collection round every sensor generates its reading, which is a random uniform integer within range 0 to 250. Various parameters considered for simulation include:

1) **Network Size**: The simulations are performed by varying the network size from 10x10 to 50x50.

2) **Average Energy Dissipated per node**: This parameter tells how much energy is consumed in micro Joules during each data collection round. The energy consumption unit is
micro Joules because of the dense deployment and lower transmission power.

3) **Average Packet Size**: Average packet size is represented in bytes and is a measure of communication cost, because communication cost is proportional to the size of packet sent.

4) **Root Mean Square Error**: Is a measure of deviation of computed result at sink from expected value and is computed using the formula:

\[
\text{RMSError} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (V_i - V)^2}
\]

where \(V_i\) is the value of result computed at the sink during round \(i\) and \(V\) is the value of expected result at the sink. The closer the value of RMS error to 0, the accurate is the computed aggregate.

### 6.1 Basic Comparison

Performance of ADA is compared with that of Synopsis Diffusion algorithm (referred as SynDiff) presented by Nath et al., in [9] and two phase verification algorithm (referred as SDA-2PV) proposed by Roy et al., [21] which computes the exact aggregate even in presence of falsified subaggregate attack. SDA-2PV, tries to provide security to original synopsis diffusion algorithm SynDiff where the nodes run SynDiff and SDA-2PV simultaneously. In SDA-2PV and SynDiff a node first generates a synopsis using original Synopsis Diffusion algorithm described in [9], where a node \(X\) computes \(m\) synopsis representing its reading \(V_x\) during each data collection round.

### 6.2 Communication Cost

The cost of communication increases with the size of data transmitted. The main aim of ADA algorithm is to keep the communication cost to a minimum. The Figure 2 shows a comparison of number of bytes set per node during each data collection round and the network size. Both SynDiff[9] and SDA-2PV[21] uses adaptive rings topology where the synopsis is retransmitted multiple times. In the simulation we have restricted the number of retransmissions to 2. The SDA-2PV incurs highest communication cost due to the two reasons: 1) It uses adaptive rings and therefore the synopsis is retransmitted and 2) it generates \(k\) 4 byte MACs for each of the \(k\) one bit in the synopsis.

### 6.3 Energy Consumption per Data Collection Round

The main source of energy loss in sensor nodes is data communication. More precisely transmission consumes more energy in comparison with reception. Figure 3 shows average energy expended in transmission without any attack. The average energy dissipation of ADA is least among the three algorithms due to adaptive tier. The adaptive tier uses TDMA where nodes adjacent nodes transmit their data in alternate time slots. But in adaptive rings, all the nodes in same ring transmit multiple times simultaneously to provide resilience to communication failure. This retransmission increase the communication cost and hence the energy consumed. SynDiff consumes least energy in comparison to ADA and SDA-2PV. The smaller the size of synopsis packet, lesser is the energy consumption. The energy consumed is uniform throughout its operation.

### 6.4 Impact of Inflation Attack on Final Aggregate Computed
Figure 4 shows the impact of the percentage of compromised nodes over the Root Mean Square (RMS) error. As the number of compromised nodes increases, the RMS Error increases. The lower the value of RMS Error, the better is the performance of the algorithm. Out of the three algorithms, Syndiff is most susceptible to inflation attack. In ADA since the MAC send by each node are verified by its parent node, RMS Error is less when compared to SynDiff. But as the percentage of compromised nodes increases the performance deteriorates. When compared to SDA-2PV, the ADA provides almost equal security at lesser communication cost by performing a double verification at each node.

6.5 Impact of Compromised Nodes on Number of Bytes Sent per Node

To analyse the impact of compromised nodes on communication overhead, the average number of bytes sent was analysed per node during each data collection round as shown in Figure. 5. The average number of bytes sent per node in ADA and SynDiff are constant and does not increase with increase in number of compromised nodes. In case of SDA-2PV, for each 1 bit the node is contributing, Index of the 1 bit and MAC authenticating the 1 bit is transmitted. Hence when inflation attack is launched the number of 1 bits transmitted increases and hence the number of Indices and MACs resulting in an overall increase in average number of bytes sent per node.

![Fig 5: Impact of Compromised Nodes over Number of Bytes Sent](image)

7. CONCLUSIONS

The synopsis diffusion framework is robust to communication failure. ADA uses a modified version of synopsis diffusion framework called adaptive tier that utilizes combines TDMA with adaptive rings [9], [21]. It can withstand node compromises to a great extent by using both synopsis verification and Message Authentication Code to ensure data integrity. It incurs low communication and computation overhead and low energy consumption resulting in enhanced lifetime of the WSNs.
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