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ABSTRACT
World Wide Web presents challenging aspects or task for mining web data stream. Currently processing of useful data from web data stream is getting complex because when we considering the large volume of web log data it does not provide well-structured data. Two major challenge involved in web usage mining are processing the raw data to provide a (very close to the truth or true number) picture of how site is being used, and filtering the result of different data mining set of computer instructions in order to present only rules and patterns. In this work we develop decision tree algorithm, which is efficient mining method to mine log files and extract knowledge from web data stream and generated training rules and Pattern which are helpful to find out different information related to log file.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Web mining may defined as revelation and analysis of subsidiary information from the World Wide Web. Predicated on the different accentuation and different ways to obtain information, web mining can be divided into two major components: Web Contents Mining and Web Utilization Mining. Web Contents Mining can be defined as the automatic search and retrieval of information and subsidiary things/valuable supplies available from millions of sites and on-line (computer files full of information) though search engines / web spiders. Whereas; Web Utilization Mining can be described as the revelation and analysis of utilize access patterns, through the mining of log files and connected data from a particular Web site.

Web usage mining, from the data mining aspect, is the task of applying data mining techniques to discover usage patterns from Web data in order to understand and better serve the needs of users navigating on the Web every data mining task, the process of Web usage mining also consists of three main steps: (i) preprocessing, (ii) pattern discovery and (iii) pattern analysis. In this work pattern discovery means applying the introduced frequent pattern discovery methods to the log data. For this reason the data have to be converted in the preprocessing phase such that the output of the conversion can be used as the input of the algorithms. Pattern analysis means understanding the results obtained by the algorithms and drawing conclusions.
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Figure 1 Web Usage Mining Process

Figure 1 demonstrates the procedure of Web utilization mining acknowledged as a contextual analysis in this work. As can be seen, the information of the procedure is the log information. The information must be preprocessed with a specific end goal to have the proper data for the mining calculations. The diverse routines need distinctive information positions, subsequently the preprocessing stage can give three sorts of yield information. The successive examples disclosure stage needs just the Web pages went by a given client. For this situation the arrangements of the pages are immaterial. Additionally the copies of the same pages are discarded, and the pages are requested in a predefined request.

The entire literature survey will be mainly focused on Web Usage Mining and Pattern Discovery in Web data streams.

The entire literature survey will be mainly focused on Web Usage Mining and Pattern Discovery in Web data streams.

To perform any site assessment, web guest's data assumes an essential part, keeping in mind the end goal to help this, numerous devices are accessible. L.Zhang and C. Also, Zhang [8] communicated that Web Mining is a prominent method for dissecting site guest's behavioral examples in e-administration frameworks. Chunjsheng Zhang and Liyan Zhuang [6] found that Web Log Mining aides in removing intriguing and helpful examples from the Log File of the separate. Lee Tan [10] recommended that HTML archives contain more number of pictures on the WWW. Such reports containing important pictures guarantees a rich wellspring of pictures bunch for which inquiry can be produced.

The reports which are exceptionally required by clients can be set close to the landing page of the site R. G. Tiwari [2] recommended that the advancement of web mining strategies, for example, web measurements and estimations, web administration enhancement, procedure mining and so forth and will empower the force of WWW to be figured it out. Jungie Chen and Wei Liu [12] found that shortcoming of both recurrence and utility can be overcome by General Utility Mining Model Archana N.Mahanta [5] uncovered that the structure of connected pages has unequivocal effect figure on the convenience Govardhan et al. [4] recommended that the quantity of pages at a specific level, the quantity of forward
connections and the quantity of in reverse connections to a specific site page mirror the conduct of guests to a particular page in the website.

However Govardhan [4] called attention to that the quantity of hit tallies ascertained from Log File is an inconsistent pointer of page prevalence. Geeta & others [10] recommended that the topology of the site assumes a vital part notwithstanding log record measurements to help clients to have snappy reaction. Jungie Chen and others [12] found that Web Usage Mining aids in finding web navigational examples for the most part to foresee route and enhance site administration. Lin and others [10] demonstrated that the web behavioral examples can be utilized to enhance the configuration of the site. These examples additionally could help in enhancing the business knowledge.

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION
Web usage mining is application of data mining ways of doing things to web click stream data in order to extract usage data. As website continue to growth in size and complex difficulty, the result of web usage mining have become critical for some application such as web site design. Two major challenge involved in web usage mining are processing the raw data to provide a very close to the truth or true number picture of how site is being used, and filtering the result of different data mining set of computer instructions in order to present only rules and patterns. For the mining data from weblog files an effective and efficient algorithm is required that works with high performance. Moreover it required to authenticate the algorithm for that purposes we use a traditional algorithm for mining sequential pattern from web log data.

In this work author develop decision tree algorithm, which is efficient mining method to mine log files and extract knowledge from web data stream and generated training rules and Pattern which are helpful to find out different information related to log file. Author increase accuracy of generating non redundant association rule for both nominal and numerical data with less time complexity and memory space. In this method Author use N-fold cross validation technique for performance evaluation and for classification of data set author is using decision learning algorithm with some modification in decision tree algorithm.

To resolve the need of effective and efficient algorithm author propose solution based on following facts:

1. Search a most frequently used sequential web log mining algorithm
2. Implement the found algorithm
3. Find the performance study of that algorithm
4. Compare the performance parameters for comparative analysis

A decision tree is basically a stream graph of inquiries or information directs that at last leads toward a decision [6]. For instance, an auto purchasing decision tree may begin by asking whether you need a 1999 or 2000 model year auto, then solicit what sort from auto, then ask whether you incline toward force or economy, et cetera. At last it can figure out what may be the best auto for you.

Decision trees frameworks are joined in item choice frameworks offered by numerous merchants. They are incredible for circumstances in which a guest goes to a Web website with a specific need [7-8]. However, once the choice has been made, the responses to the inquiries contribute little to focusing on or personalization of that guest later on.
3. **Model building and evaluation:** In this phase of system processing, using the supplied data is converted into a data model using the selection of algorithm. In other words, the selected data model is used to prepare a navigational model for queries of user.

4. **Performance study:** In this phase, the author calculates the performance parameters for results analysis.

**Proposed System Architecture**

Below given diagram shows the system architecture of desired system. In this diagram, the author shows the different sub-systems of the complete system. These sub-systems are work together and form the complete system. To describe complete systems working, the author describes each stage of processing one by one.

- Experimental data selection: Using this module, the author supplies input to the system and uses this data to prepare a navigational model in the next phase.
- Algorithm selection: Here, required to select an appropriate data model to work with.
- After selection of the algorithm, there are two different algorithms implemented and using the data, the author generates data model according to the supplied data.
- Model generation: Selected algorithm here works over the supplied data and generates rules for prediction.
- Result evaluation: After model generation, the author checks the authenticity of models and evaluates performance parameters.

**Algorithm used**

1. Select data set D
2. Find list of all attributes in data set
3. Check attribute data types
4. If all attributes = numerical data type
   a. Get average of each attribute and mark as threshold value
   b. Compare with all selected attributes
      i. If attribute value <= threshold then
      ii. Mark as 0
      iii. Else
      iv. Mark as 1
      v. Else
5. If all attributes = nominal data type then
   a. Find all unique attributes to attributes list
   b. Get threshold for each attribute using the given formula
   c. Threshold = (total unique values / total count) logn (total unique values / total count)
   d. Calculate the index of each unique value using the given formula = (no of values in list / total values) logn (no of values in list / total values)
   e. Assign label index to the values and compare with threshold
   f. Find distance for all instances
6. End if
7. Return classes

**Example**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>IP address</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>151.48.123.70</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.smsync.com">http://www.smsync.com</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>151.48.123.70</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.smsync.com">http://www.smsync.com</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>200.88.101.168</td>
<td>HEAD</td>
<td><a href="http://www.123loganalyzer.com">http://www.123loganalyzer.com</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>200.88.101.168</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.smsync.com">http://www.smsync.com</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>86.132.136.211</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.123loganalyzer.com">http://www.123loganalyzer.com</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>151.48.123.70</td>
<td>HEAD</td>
<td><a href="http://www.google.com/source">http://www.google.com/source</a></td>
<td>Mozilla/4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unique values of IP address is = 3
Unique values of Method is $=2$
Unique values of URL is $=3$
Unique values of IP address is $=3$
Unique values of Agent $=2$
If there is assume target value is agent.
Threshold of Input data set is
$$S = - \left( \frac{4}{6} \right) \log_2 \left( \frac{4}{6} \right) - \left( \frac{2}{6} \right) \log_2 \left( \frac{2}{6} \right)$$
$$= 0.39 + 0.52$$
$$= 0.91$$
Notice threshold is 0 if all members of S belong to the same class (the data is perfectly classified). The range of entropy is 0 ("perfectly classified") to 1 ("totally Output of data
Relation Name: Server Log File
Number of Instances: 24
Attributes:
Method
Requested Value
Requested Value = /images/download.gif
Method = GET: http://www.123loganalyzer.com/
Method = HEAD:
http://www.123loganalyzer.com/
Method = POST: null
Requested Value = /images/samle.gif
Method = GET: http://www.123loganalyzer.com/
Method = HEAD:
http://www.123loganalyzer.com/
Method = POST: null
Requested Value = /images/contact.gif
Method = GET: http://www.123loganalyzer.com/
Method = HEAD: null
Method = POST: http://www.123loganalyzer.com

Use case analysis:
Here we provide the user case analysis of the system. Below given table describe the use case details of the system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Case</th>
<th>Algorithm Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Here user select decision tree for evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actors</td>
<td>Software User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>User should know about the user interface and also required the knowledge about data mining algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps</td>
<td>Select decision tree algorithm for test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variations</td>
<td>NON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Use Case</td>
<td>Data set selection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3. Use Case: Model Building

**Description:** To build model using the second step data user follow the step build model

**Actors:** Software User

**Assumptions:** User should know about the user interface and also required the knowledge about data set

**Steps:**
- Start Building model

**Variations:** NON

### 4. Use Case: Model Evaluation

**Description:** Build model is a training phase of algorithm for tree and for evaluation required to test the build model, after testing randomly selected data from data set supplied we discover the following factors accuracy, error rate, time to built model, time for search and memory required.

**Actors:** Software User

**Assumptions:** User should know about the user interface and factors calculated

**Steps:**
- End of Function

**Variations:** NON

### System classes and library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Class name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>java.io.File</td>
<td>An abstract representation of file and directory pathnames. User interfaces and operating systems use system-dependent pathname strings to name files and directories. This class presents an abstract, system-independent view of hierarchical pathnames.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>java.util</td>
<td>Contains the collections framework, legacy collection classes, event model, date and time facilities, internationalization, and miscellaneous utility classes (a string tokenizer, a random number generator, and a bit array).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>javax.swing</td>
<td>Provides a set of &quot;lightweight&quot; (all-Java language) components that, to the maximum degree possible, work the same on all platforms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>java.awt.event</td>
<td>Provides interfaces and classes for dealing with different types of events fired by AWT components.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Java.sql.*</td>
<td>Provides the API for accessing and processing data stored in a data source (usually a relational database) using the JavaTM programming language. This API includes a framework whereby different drivers can be installed dynamically to access different data sources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
User defined classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Class Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ALGO1_Calculation</td>
<td>This class is responsible for containing the old algorithm member function and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>their complete algorithm implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Main Form</td>
<td>It is MDI form contains J Desktop Plane to organize all file related to project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Temporal rule mining</td>
<td>Using this GUI user can evaluate data and results using temporal mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>algorithm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>My Data Set</td>
<td>Using this GUI user can import log file data and preprocess the complete data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N Cross Validation</td>
<td>This is a simple java class implementation to evaluate the performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>parameters of both algorithm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>String Tokenizer</td>
<td>This is a simple java class implemented to convert strings into small tokens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td>This is class help to define data tokens as the attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Decision tree algo</td>
<td>This class is complete implementation of our proposed algorithm for the purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of finding pattern of data from log file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Method Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Load_DataSet()</td>
<td>It is a user defined method created to connect with data set used to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>build model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DoClassification()</td>
<td>To perform classification using our proposed algorithm this method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>is called after loading the data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EvaluateModel()</td>
<td>To perform model evaluation we use this function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>getDiff()</td>
<td>To extract the Execution time we can use this method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GetSampleError()</td>
<td>To find error in this build model we use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>getRuntime().totalMemory()</td>
<td>To get memory consumption using the particular algorithm execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>we can use this function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Screen shots of Research Work:

Login form

Main Form
4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Accuracy: accuracy of the system is defined by the actually predicted values verses wrong values predicted. The accuracy of system is calculated using the cross validation in this method we calculate the values using given formula

\[
Accuracy = \frac{total\ values - wrong\ values}{total\ values} \times 100
\]

Accuracy of the system is derived using above formula and here we include the results obtained by the system in first five experiments.
Table 6 Comparison of Accuracy of both Temporal Mining and New Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Execution</th>
<th>Temporal Mining</th>
<th>New Algorithm</th>
<th>No. of attribute taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>71.80 (support=2)</td>
<td>87.7% (No.of fold=2)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>83.45% (support=3)</td>
<td>98.77% (No.of fold=3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71.24% (support=4)</td>
<td>86.81% (No.of fold=4)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71.26% (support=5)</td>
<td>93.25% (No.of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.26% (support=5)</td>
<td>99.91% (No.of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 7.1 Graphical representation of Accuracy](image)

The evaluation of results is performed for Temporal Mining by minimizing the support and increase the parameter after applies such condition we found that as we minimize the support and increase the parameters accuracy of system decreases.

Moreover it proposed method include all parameters and thus simulate better results for the evaluation of such kind of data.

**Execution Time:** to find the execution time we calculate the time required to build model results evaluation time included and we found that below given results.

Table 7 Comparison of Execution of both Temporal Mining and New Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.of Execution</th>
<th>Temporal Mining</th>
<th>New Algorithm</th>
<th>No. of attribute taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.77 (support=2)</td>
<td>0.521 (No. of fold=2)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.53 (support=3)</td>
<td>1.063 (No. of fold=3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.36 (support=4)</td>
<td>1.08 (No. of fold=4)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.25 (support=5)</td>
<td>1.10 (No. of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.17 (support=5)</td>
<td>1.94 (No. of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to our analysis we found that execution time simulate is our algorithm is better than Temporal Mining. Because the Temporal Mining time consumption graph is more uneven than proposed algorithm. And it is also considered that most of the time our model is much efficient than Temporal Mining.

**Memory uses**: requirement of main memory to execute the algorithm is defined as memory uses. The results simulate the memory used in terms of MB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Execution</th>
<th>Temporal Mining</th>
<th>New Algorithm</th>
<th>No. of attribute taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.051(support=2)</td>
<td>81.49(No. of fold=2)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85.74(support=3)</td>
<td>104.79(No. of fold=3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.41(support=4)</td>
<td>51.49(No. of fold=4)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.82(support=5)</td>
<td>47.18(No. of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>98.52(support=5)</td>
<td>57.50(No. of fold=5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 7.2 Graphical representation of Execution time](image)

**Table 8 Comparison of Memory Consumption of both Temporal Mining and New Algorithm**

![Figure 7.3 Graphical representation of Memory Consumption](image)
From the results on evaluation of Using above results we can clearly see that temporal rule algorithm consumes less memory then our proposed algorithm

5. CONCLUSION
After evaluation of the obtained results, it was observed that the proposed work withstand with all the supplied input parameters. However the temporal Mining calculation resulted to work with selected parameters. Also, it was observed that the developed new algorithm performs better precise results than temporal mining although it was achieved by a fewer compromise of Memory employments. Consequently we can synopses the accompanying truths about our work.

1. Accuracy of proposed algorithm 75%-85% is better than Temporal Mining algorithm
2. Memory uses of proposed algorithm were found to be higher than Apriori.
3. Time required to execute model is 85%-95% less than Temporal Mining algorithm
4. Proposed algorithm is good algorithm but when where required less resource it is fail to work with low configuration system.
5. Memory uses of proposed algorithm is 80%-85% is higher than Temporal Mining algorithm
6. Temporal mining performs better where the need of resources are less and supplied parameters are less.
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