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ABSTRACT
Steganography techniques find importance in military applications because of their ability to communicate covertly. It is evident for any image steganography technique that if the payload can be represented in a smaller dimension than its original form, then the actual embedding requirement can be lessened thereby improving the statistical imperceptibility of the method. This paper presents an image steganography technique specifically aimed to be used for military covert communication which utilizes payload dimension reduction using parallel beam projection of images. Efforts have been given to ensure that the proposed technique conforms to high Imperceptibility and Fidelity which are the primary quality requirements for any image steganography system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the recent years, the use of internet services has become more pervasive and affordable than ever before. Despite the role of internet as an excellent worldwide publicized medium for data transmission and sharing, confidentiality of information over the internet demands a lot more. Sensitive military data over networks may be stolen, intercepted, illegally modified, anonymized [1] or even destroyed by enemy intelligence resulting in data loss, leakage and damage. To preserve the privacy and confidentiality of sensitive military data over a computer network, it may be enclosed in a metaphorical envelope such that its contents are revealed only to the intended receiver. Data hiding techniques such as steganography aims at performing this task.

Steganography hides data into innocuous objects such that the very existence of the hidden data is imperceptible to an adversary [2]. Steganography proves useful in situations where public use of cryptography is either restricted or not allowed at all [3, 4, 5]. This makes steganography useful in military applications where covert communication is necessary to avoid enemy intelligence.

Given the fact that the statistical imperceptibility of a steganography technique is enhanced if the payload size is reduced [6], it is a good idea to devise a technique to reduce the dimensions of the payload without affecting the payload content drastically. Many steganography techniques [7, 8, 9, 10] that use compression can reduce the file size of the payload but not the pixel count if the payload is an image. For example, if an AxB image is the payload and it is subjected to compression techniques, the resultant payload still has its dimension or pixel count unchanged even though the file size is reduced. As an alternative, a reversible reduction mapping capable of regenerating the payload in presence of a suitable decoding key can be considered to be a viable solution. Steganography techniques using map based realization are termed as Image Realization Steganography [11, 12]. Parallel beam projection [13] of an image payload is observed to fulfill the requirements of such a mapping scheme.

The current work derives its motivation from X-ray computed tomography [14]. The basic problem of tomography is, given a set of 1-D projections and the angles at which these projections were taken, how do we reconstruct the 2-D image from which these projections were taken [15]? The projection data is generated as a result of multi-angle scan of a subject. It is then reconstructed to give back a combined 2D visualization enabling a medical practitioner to diagnose the patient accurately. The reconstruction or back projection [16] is of particular interest in the current research. The number of angles involved in scanning procedure determines the size of the projection data. Therefore, if a smaller sized projection equivalent of a payload image can be generated, then it will be sufficient to reconstruct the original payload. This is advantageous for application in image steganography because the projection data can be sized to be smaller than actual payload thereby reducing the actual embedding requirement. Similarly, the generated projection data does not bear any observable resemblance with the actual payload but can regenerate the payload on being supplied with appropriate parameters. Therefore, the feature can be considered to be important for payload security.

This paper aims to propose an image steganography technique intended for military covert communication. The proposed technique does not hide the actual payload directly in a cover image but hides its reduced projection equivalent map instead. The embedded information can regenerate the actual payload only in the presence of an exact decoding key.

2. PARALLEL BEAM PROJECTION
Parallel beam projection of a grayscale image can be implemented using the Radon Transform [17]. At any angle theta(θ), the projection over an image f(x, y) is as given in Fig 1 [18].
The Radon Transform over an image $f(x,y)$ is defined as follows: Let $g(\theta,s)$ be a one dimensional projection at an angle $\theta$. $g(\theta,s)$ is then the line integral of the image pixel $f(x,y)$ along a line $z$ which is at a distance $s$ from the origin and at angle $\theta$ off the x-axis. Therefore,

$$g(\theta,s) = \int f(x,y)dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

All points on the line denoted by Eqn. (1) satisfy the equation:

$$x\sin \theta - y\cos \theta = s$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Eqn. (1) can then be re-written as:

$$g(\theta,s) = \int f(x,y)\delta(x\sin \theta - y\cos \theta - s)dxdy$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The collection of these $g(\theta,s)$ at all $\theta$ is called the Radon Transform of image $f(x,y)$. An example showing an image and its parallel beam projection data using Radon Transform is shown in Fig 2. It is clear from the figure that number of pixel in the projection equivalent is less than that in the original image.

**Algorithm 1: Embedding Procedure**

**Input:** A cover image $C$, secret image $M$.

**Output:** Stego image $S$

**Algorithm:**

1. **Step 1:** Apply Radon transform on $M$ to generate the parallel beam projection data $P$.
2. **Step 2:** Convert $P$ to its binary equivalent vector $B$. Calculate $L=|B|$.
3. **Step 3:** Calculate the number of pixels needed for embedding. Let this be denoted by $\text{pixnum}$. Set $\text{pixnum}:=L/2$.
4. **Step 4:** Set $P_{\text{set}}:=\text{PRNG}($pixnum$)$ //Seed the Pseudo-random number generator to generate target pixel list
5. **Step 5:** Set a counter $i$. Set $\text{index}=1$.

For $i:=1$ to $\text{pixnum}$

1. Set $\text{pix}:=i^\text{th}$ pixel values from the set of pixels selected in $P_{\text{set}}$.
2. Let $a1=$Red Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$, $a2=$Green Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$, $a3=$Blue Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$.
3. Let $x1=B(\text{index})$, $x2=B(\text{index}+1)$.
4. Perform Embedding using operations conforming to Eqn. 6. Restore the original pixels with the changed pixel values wherever necessary.
5. Set $\text{index}=\text{index}+2$.

End For

**Step 6:** Write the modified image matrix to generate $S$.

**Algorithm 2: Extraction Procedure**

**Input:** Stego Image $S$, Stego key $K$

**Output:** Secret Message $M$

**Algorithm:**

1. **Step 1:** Apply Radon transform on $M$ to generate the parallel beam projection data $P$.
2. **Step 2:** Convert $P$ to its binary equivalent vector $B$. Calculate $L=|B|$.
3. **Step 3:** Calculate the number of pixels needed for embedding. Let this be denoted by $\text{pixnum}$. Set $\text{pixnum}:=L/2$.
4. **Step 4:** Set $P_{\text{set}}:=\text{PRNG}($pixnum$)$ //Seed the Pseudo-random number generator to generate target pixel list
5. **Step 5:** Set a counter $i$. Set $\text{index}=1$.

For $i:=1$ to $\text{pixnum}$

1. Set $\text{pix}:=i^\text{th}$ pixel values from the set of pixels supplied as decoding information.
2. Let $a1=$Red Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$, $a2=$Green Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$, $a3=$Blue Plane LSB of $\text{pix}$.
3. Perform XOR operation on $a1$ and $a3$ to get the first message bit $x1$. Perform XOR operation on $a2$ and $a3$ to get the second message bit $x2$ hidden in the pixel.
4. $M':=\text{concat}(M',x1,x2)$;

End For

**Step 4:** Set $M:=\text{reshape}(M',p'\times q')$;

**Step 5:** Apply Inverse Radon Transform on $M$.

$M = \text{reshape}(M,p\times q)$;

**Step 6:** Return $M$. 

---

**Fig 1:** Projection of an image at a given angle

**Fig 2:** Shepp-Logan Phantom [20] and its Radon Transform
3. THE PROPOSED MECHANISM

The proposed mechanism works in the spatio-transform domain and uses a parallel beam geometry based payload dimension reduction process to minimize the embedding requirement. Embedding is done on a pseudo-random pixel sequence to enhance imperceptibility. A stego key is also incorporated to protect the payload. In a further effort to reduce the number of bits that need to be over-written, the proposed technique employs Matrix Encoding [19], a high efficiency embedding scheme based on syndrome codes and capable of minimizing the embedding impact. The scheme is represented using a triplet \((F, g, k)\) where each \(k\) bits of the secret message is embedded into \(g\) cover bits with at most \(F\) bit changes. Mathematically, the embedding function \((\text{Emb})\) and the extraction function \((\text{Ext})\) for any message \(g \in \mathcal{G}\) is as follows:

\[
\text{Emb} : \{0,1\}^n \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n, \text{Ext} : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}
\]

such that for all \(g \in \mathcal{M}, k \leq g\) and any cover \(x \in \{0,1\}^n\),

\[
\text{Ext} (\text{Emb} (x,g)) = g\]

\[
d(x, \text{Emb}(x,g)) \leq F
\]

where, \(d(.)\) implies the change rate. The proposed system uses the matrix embedding \((1,3,2)\) scheme, that is, for every three bits in the cover image, two message bits are embedded making at most one change at a time. A simple LSB based system overwrites only 50% of the available LSBs [4] whereas Matrix Encoding has an embedding density of 25% [17]. It therefore embeds the same number of bits with less number of bit overwriting.

The proposed technique comprises of two phases. The first deals with the actual data embedding and key generation, while the second phase is concerned with the extraction of secret data.

3.1 Embedding Algorithm

The embedding algorithm uses a radon transform based parallel beam projection map of the actual image payload as the to-be-embedded information. Once the embedding is complete, the generated stego file can be sent to the receiver with the decoding information. The embedding procedure is elaborated in Algorithm 1.

3.2 Key Generation

The key generation is an important process in the design of any steganography system. In the current context, the stego key \(K\) is produced by a reversible hash function \(\varphi(\cdot)\) by combining different parameters related to extraction of the secret message. The key generation procedure is explained next.

Let, the secret message length (binary bit string) = \(l\),

Secret image dimension = \(p \times q\)

Dimensions of the transform equivalent of secret message = \(p' \times q'\)

Number of angles required to reconstruct the secret image = \(\varepsilon\)

Pseudo Random Number Generator seed = \(\partial\)

The hash function \(\varphi(\cdot)\) takes \(p,q,p',q',l,\varepsilon,\partial\) as inputs and combines them using such that,

\[
K = \varphi(p,q,p',q',l,\varepsilon,\partial)
\]

And,

\[
[p,q,p',q',l,\varepsilon,\partial] = \varphi^{-1}(K)
\]

The combination is performed using integer pairing [21, 22]. Integer pairing works as follows:

Let \(\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0,1,2,\ldots\}\) be the set of non-negative integers and let \(\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+\) be the set of all ordered pairs of non-negative integers. Then a quadratic bijection which maps \(\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+\) injectively onto \(\mathbb{Z}^+\) can be defined as:

\[
\mathbf{K} = C(\rho, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\rho + \tau - 2)(\rho + \tau - 1) + \rho & \text{if } \rho + \tau \text{ is even} \end{cases}
\]

where \(\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^+\). Eqn. (7) computes an integer corresponding to an integer pair \((\rho, \tau)\). The generated value \(\mathbf{K}\) can be again decomposed giving the original pair. Let,

\[
\lambda = \sqrt{2R - \frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\Delta\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\lambda + 1)
\]

Using Eqsns. (8-9), \(\rho\) and \(\tau\) are regenerated as:

\[
\rho = \mathbf{K} - \Delta\lambda
\]

\[
\tau = \lambda - \rho + 2
\]

In the current context, integer pairing is used in a chained combinational manner as:

Let,

\[
\mathbf{m}_1 = C(p,q), \mathbf{m}_2 = C(p',q'), \mathbf{m}_3 = C(\mu_1,\mu_2)
\]

\[
\mathbf{m}_4 = C(\mu_1,\varepsilon), \mathbf{m}_5 = C(\mu_4,\varepsilon), \mathbf{m}_6 = C(\mu_5,\varepsilon)
\]

\[
SK_1 = C(m_1,m_2), SK_2 = C(m_3,m_4)
\]

\[
SK_3 = C(\mu_3,\mu_6)
\]

\[
K_1 = C(SK_1,SK_2)
\]

\[
K = C(K_1,SK_3)
\]

\(K\) is the final output stego key and the hash function \(\varphi(\cdot)\) performs all the above calculations and generates \(K\). Keys generated using such a combination approach can be proved to have a key space large enough to resist Brute Force attacks for a considerable amount of time.

3.3 Extraction Algorithm

The extraction algorithm takes the stego image \(S\) and the stego key \(K\) as the input and extracts the hidden data using the decoding information available from the key. The extraction algorithm is explained as in Algorithm 2.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The technique proposed in the previous section has been implemented in MATLAB 2013a and tested on a 32-bit 2.4 GHz single core processor computer. The cover images used were of lossless image format and selected from a standard image database (USC-SIPI Image Database available at: http://sipi.usc.edudatabase). The payload used was the standard grayscale Elaine image of different sizes. The algorithm was tested for the level of visual fidelity, visual and statistical imperceptibility and the degree of payload dimension reduction due to adoption of parallel beam projection of the payload. Some of the images from the aforesaid database that are used as covers are shown in Fig 3.
4.1 Measuring the Embedding Distortion
The distortion produced in the cover image due to the embedding is measured in terms of Mean Square Error (MSE) and the Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR). Higher PSNR value indicates better fidelity of the image which in turn signifies lower visible distortion. PSNR value is expected to be greater than 40 dB [4] for high fidelity stego image.

Table 1. Distortion Measure for Various Levels of Embedding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payload</th>
<th>Nadal</th>
<th>Baboon</th>
<th>Landscape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>PSNR (dB)</td>
<td>MSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>69.43452</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 x 70</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>65.80612</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 x 80</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>61.80353</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 x 100</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>58.59924</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 x 110</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>57.47412</td>
<td>0.01075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 x 128</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>57.15534</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results in Table 1 indicate that the proposed algorithm produces high PSNR even at moderately high embedding rates for different covers. In all the cases, the PSNR is greater than the human visual threshold of 40 dB thereby producing high fidelity visually near perfect stego images.

4.2 Histogram Analysis for Imperceptibility
The frequency histogram of the sample cover image (Nadal) and the stego image generated after the embedding is presented in Fig 4. The top and the bottom rows show the frequency histogram of the cover image and the stego image respectively for each of the colour channels (Red, Green and Blue). Careful observation reveals that the two sets of histograms vary negligibly in the red, green and the blue planes (Sample variations are marked using circles) signifying high visual fidelity.

Fig 4. Histograms of the Sample Cover (top) and Stego (bottom) images
4.3 Sensitivity to steganalysis tests

In order to assess the degree of statistical imperceptibility offered by the proposed technique, the stego images generated were subjected to $\chi^2$-attack [23]. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Sensitivity to steganalysis test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payload Size</th>
<th>Probability of Embedding(approx. Rounded values)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nadal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>0.0001027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 x 70</td>
<td>0.000103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 x 80</td>
<td>0.0001301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 x 100</td>
<td>0.0001305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is evident from the results that the probability of embedding as generated from the $\chi^2$-attack is extremely low (not greater than 0.0002). Such figures clearly suggest the effectiveness of the proposed technique in resisting common statistical attacks.

4.4 Payload Dimension Reduction

Payload dimension reduction is one of the key features of the proposed technique. Sample image payload, their projection data and the reconstituted image is shown in Fig 5, 6 and 7 respectively. Table 3 reveals that the projection data of the actual image payload is smaller in dimension than the original payload. This however depends on the number of angles which are taken into consideration while generating the projection data. Such a reduction in the dimension is beneficial as it reduces the embedding requirement. Merging payload dimension reduction scheme with a high efficiency embedding technique ensures that the distortion produced in the cover due to embedding is minimized.

Table 3. Payload Reduction Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Dimension* of payload(α)</th>
<th>Projection Equivalent Dimension of payload(α')</th>
<th>Numb er of Angles(θ)</th>
<th>Difference in dimension(pixels) (α - α')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>95 x 18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 x 70</td>
<td>103 x 36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 x 80</td>
<td>117 x 36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 x 100</td>
<td>145 x 68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 x 110</td>
<td>159 x 75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 x 128</td>
<td>185 x 90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Dimension refers to the number of pixels

5. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The performance of the proposed scheme is compared with other techniques (with similar test environment) with respect to the following parameters: Visual Fidelity, Payload Dimension Reduction and Payload Security. The results are tabulated in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparative Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Average PSNR(dB)</th>
<th>Payload Dimension Reduction</th>
<th>Additional Payload Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goel et al. [7]</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>LZW</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thangalath a et al.[8]</td>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>Z-Transform</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al. [9]</td>
<td>32.64 (Max.)</td>
<td>AMBTC</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al. [10]</td>
<td>40.0625</td>
<td>JPEG Double Compression</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>61.5403</td>
<td>Radon-Transform based projection</td>
<td>Key based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results indicate that the proposed method outperforms similar other techniques both in terms of visual fidelity and payload security. This clearly indicates the effectiveness of the reduction technique, embedding method and the key based payload security scheme adopted in the proposed method.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presents an image steganography technique that uses a payload dimension reduction by parallel beam
projection of the image payload. The projection data is embedded into the cover, coupled with a high efficiency embedding scheme. Experimental results on grayscale payload validate that the payload dimension reduction significantly lessens the embedding requirement resulting in high fidelity stego image and minimal variation histograms. The technique can be easily extended for colour image payload with appropriate modifications.

However, the parallel beam projection of the image payload depends on the number of angles considered for the transformation. Therefore, it is important to exercise caution in choosing the angular range so as to guarantee optimal quality of the extracted payload.

Future work will concentrate on studying the possibility of applying other image reconstruction methods for the design of more efficient image steganography techniques.
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