On the Termination Problem for String Rewrite Systems

Nacer Ghadbane Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of M'sila, Algeria

ABSTRACT

Based on some result given in[2], concerning the termination of a **semi-Thue systeme**, we give some results illustrated by some examples to give some Noetherian **semi-Thue systems**.

Keywords

Free monoid, morphism of monoids, closure of a binary relation, rewriting systems of words, well-founded (Noetherian), weight function.

1. INTRODUCTION

The central idea of rewriting is to impose directionality on the use of equations in proofs. A **semi-Thue system** is a pair (A, R) where A is an alphabet and R is a non-empty finite binary relation on A^* . We write $usv \rightarrow_R utv$ whenever u, $v \in A^*$ and $(s, t) \in R$. We write $u \rightarrow_R^* v$ if there words $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n \in A^*$ such that:

 $u_0 = u, u_i \rightarrow_R u_{i+1}, \forall 0 \le i \le n-1 \text{ and } u_n = v.$

If n = o, then u = v, and if n = 1, we have $u \rightarrow_R v$.

 \rightarrow_R^* is the reflexive transitive closure of \rightarrow_R .

The **semi-Thue system** (*A*, *R*) is terminating if there does not exist an infinite chain $u_1 \rightarrow_R u_2 \rightarrow_R u_3 \rightarrow_R \dots$ in A^* .

Define the accessibility problem as follow: given a **semi-Thue system** (A, R) and two words u and v over the alphabet of A, decide whether $u \xrightarrow{}{}_{p}{}^{*} v$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The Section 2, is devoted to the preliminaries notions. In Section 3, we give some results concerning the termination problem for a rewriting system. Finally, we draw our conclusions in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a set, which we call an alphabet. A word w on the alphabet A is a finite sequence of elements of A

 $u = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \qquad a_i \in A.$

The set of all words on the alphabet A is denoted by A^* and is equipped with the associative operation defined by the concatenation of two sequences

 $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$

This operation is associative. This allows us to write

 $u = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$. The string consisting of zero letters is called the empty word, written ε . Thus, ε , 0, 1, 011, 1111 are words over the alphabet {0, 1}. The set A^* of words is equipped with the structure of a monoid. The monoid A^* is called the free monoid on A. The length of a word u, denoted |u|, is the number of letters in u where each letter is counted as many times as it occurs. Again by definition, $|\varepsilon|=0$. The length function possesses some of the formal properties of logarithm: Douai Mihoubi Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of M'sila, Algeria

 $|uv| = |u| + |v|, |u^i| = i|u||$, for any words u and v and integers $i \ge 0$. For example |011| = 3 and |1111| = 4. For a subset B of A, we let $|u|_B$ denote the number of letters of u which are in B. Thus $|u| = \sum_{a \in A} |u|_a$. A language L over A^* is any subset of $A^*, i. e, L \subseteq A^*$.

A mapping $h: A^* \to \Delta^*$, where A and Δ are alphabets, satisfying the condition

h(uv) = h(u)h(v), for all words u and v of A^*

is called a morphism. It is noted here, to define an alphabetic morphismh, it suffices to list all the words h(a), where $a \in A$. If *M* is a monoid, then any mapping $f: A \to M$ can be extends to a unique morphism

 $\hat{f}: A^* \to M$ For instance, if M is the additive monoid \mathbb{N} , and f is defined by f(a) = 1 for each $a \in A$, then $\hat{f}(u)$ is the length |u| of the word u.

Let $h: A^* \to \Delta^*$ be a morphism of monoids. if h is one-to-one and onto, then h is an isomorphism and the monoids A^* and Δ^* are isomorphic. we denote by $\text{Hom}(A^*, \Delta^*)$ the set of morphisms from A^* to Δ^* and $\text{Isom}(A^*, \Delta^*)$ the set of all isomorphism's from A^* to Δ^* .

A binary relation on X is a subset $R \subseteq X \times X$. If $(x, y) \in R$, then we denote xRy and we say that x is related to y by R. The inverse relation of R is the binary relation

 $R^{-1} \subseteq X \times X$. Defined by: $yR^{-1}x \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in R$.

The relation $I_X = \{(x, x), x \in X\}$ is called the identity relation. The relation $(X)^2$ is called the complete relation.

Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ and $S \subseteq X \times X$ two a binary relations, the composition of R and S is a binary relation $S \circ R \subseteq X \times X$ defined by $xS \circ Rz \iff \exists y \in X$ such that xRy and ySz.

A binary relation *R* on a set *X* is said to be

- 1. Reflexive if xRx for all x in X;
- 2. Symmetric if *xRy* implies *yRx*;
- 3. Transitive if *xRy* and *yRz* imply *xRz*.
- 4. Ant symmetry if xRy and yRx imply x = y.

A binary relation \geq on a set *X* is **partial order** (or partial ordering) iff it is reflexive, transitive and ant symmetric.

A strict partial ordering > on a set X is irreflexive, ant symmetric and transitive relation on X.

A strict partial ordering > is called well-founded (Noetherian), if there is no infinite descending chain $x_0 > x_1 > x_2 \dots$

Let (X, >) be a **well-founded ordering**, let P be property of elements of X, if for all $x \in X$ the implication:

If P(x'), for all $x' \in X$ such that x > x' then P(x).

Let *R* be a relation on a set *X*. The reflexive closure of *R* is the smallest reflexive relation R^0 on *X* that contains *R*; that is,

- 1. $R \subseteq R^0$
- 2. if R' is a reflexive relation on X and $R \subseteq R'$, then $R^0 \subseteq R'$.

The symmetric closure of R is the smallest symmetric relation R^+ on X that contains R; that is,

- $3. \quad R \subseteq R^+$
- 4. if R' is a symmetric relation on X and $R \subseteq R'$, then $R^+ \subseteq R'$.

The transitive closure of R is the smallest transitive relation R^* on X that contains R; that is,

- 1. $R \subseteq R^*$,
- 2. if *R*' is a transitive relation on A^* and $R \subseteq R'$, then $R^* \subseteq R'$.

Let R be a relation on a set X. Then

1.
$$R^0 = R \cup I_X$$
.

$$2. \quad R^+ = R \cup R^{-1}$$

3. $R^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k=+\infty} R^k$.

A **semi-Thue system** *R* over *A*, for briefly STS, is a finite set $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$, whose elements are called rules. A rule (s, t) will also be written as $s \to t$. The set dom(R) of all left-hand sides and ran(R) of all right-hand sides are defined by:

 $dom(R) = \{s \in A^*, \exists t \in A^* \colon (s,t) \in R\}$ and

 $ran(R) = \{t \in A^*, \exists s \in A^* : (s, t) \in R\}.$

If *R* is finite, then the size of *R* is defined to be $\sum_{(s,t)\in R} (|s| + |t|)$ and is denoted by ||R||.

We define the binary relation \rightarrow_R as follows, where u, $v \in A^*$: $u \rightarrow_R v$ if there exist x, $y \in A^*$ and $(r, s) \in R$ with u = xry and v = xsy. We write $u \rightarrow_R^* v$ if there words $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n \in A^*$ such that:

 $u_0 = u, u_i \rightarrow_R u_{i+1}, \forall 0 \le i \le n-1 \text{ and } u_n = v.$

If n = o, then u = v, and if n = 1, we have $u \rightarrow_R v$.

Note that \rightarrow_{R}^{*} is the reflexive transitive closure of \rightarrow_{R} .

The set of irreducible words with respect to R is

 $IRR(R) = A^* - \{xsy: x, y \in A^*, s \in dom(R)\}.$

We say that *R* is Noetherian if there does not exist an infinite sequence of words $u_i \in A^*(i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $u_0 \rightarrow_R u_1 \rightarrow_R \dots$

Let > be a binary relation on A^* . The relation > is **admissible**, if for all $u, v, x, y \in A^*$,

u > v implies xuy > xvy.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$, with $a_n > a_{n-1} > \cdots > a_1$, in the following cases, we give some of **admissible partial** orderings on A^* .

- 1. Define x > y as follows: x > y if |x| > |y| is the **length ordering** on A^* .
- 2. Let $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a mapping that associates a positive integer (a weight) with each letter. Define

the weight ordering $>_w$ induced by w as follows: $x >_w y$ if w(x) > w(y).

Here w is extended to a mapping from A^* into \mathbb{N} by taking $w(\varepsilon) = 0$ and w(xa) = w(x) + w(a) for all $x \in A^*$, $a \in A$.

The lexicographical ordering >_{lex} on A* is defined as follows: x >_{lex} y if there is a non-empty string z such that

x = yz, or $x = ua_i v$ and $y = ua_i z$,

For some $x, v, z \in A^*$ and $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ satisfying i > j.

4. The length-lexicographical ordering >_{ll} is a combination of the length ordering and lexicographical ordering : x >_{ll} y if |x| > |y| or |x| = |y| and x >_{lex} y.

3. STUDY OF CASES WHERE THE WORD REWRITING SYSTEM IS NOETHERIAN

In this section, we use the following theorem from [2], to giving some results concerning a Noetherian **semi-Thue system**.

Theorem 3.1 [2]

Let (A, R) be a **semi-Thue system**. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- 1. The reduction relation \rightarrow_R is Noetherian.
- 2. There exists an **admissible well-founded partial** ordering > on A^* such that x > y holds for each $(s, t) \in R$.

Corollary 3.2

Let (*A*, *R*) be a **semi-Thue system**, with

 $R = \{(a_i, b_i), 0 \le i \le n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \text{ if } \forall 0 \le i \le n, |a_i| > |b_i|, \text{ then } (A, R) \text{ is Noetherian.}$

Proof

To obtain the desired result, we show that there exists an **admissible well-founded partial ordering** > on A^* such that x > y holds for each $(s, t) \in R$. It suffices to take the **length ordering** > defined by x > y if |x| > |y|.

Example 3.3

Consider the **semi-Thue system** (*A*, *R*) with $A = \{a, b\}$ and $R = \{(aa, b)\}$. We have |aa|=2, |b| = 1, then |aa| > |b|, consequently (*A*, *R*) is Noetherian.

Corollary 3.4

Let (*A*, *R*) be a **semi-Thue system**, with

 $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and

 $R = \{(a_j, b_j), 0 \le j \le m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ Consider the mapping $w: A \to \mathbb{N}, a_i \mapsto w(a_i)$ and

 $w: A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, w(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} w(a_i) |x|_{a_i}.$

If for all
$$j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$$
: $w(a_i) > w(b_i)$ then

(A, R) is Noetherian.

Proof

To obtain the desired result, we show that there exists an **admissible well-founded partial ordering** > on A^* such that x > y holds for each $(s, t) \in R$. It suffices to take the **weight ordering** >_w induced by *w* as follows:

 $x >_{w} y$ if $\sum_{i=0}^{i=n} w(a_i) |x|_{a_i} > \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} w(a_i) |y|_{a_i}$.

Example 3.5

Let $A = \{a, b, c\}, R = \{(bb, aa), (cb, ab)\}$ and

 $w: \{a, b, c\} \to \mathbb{N}$, with w(a) = 1, w(b) = 2, w(c) = 3.

We check that w(bb) > w(aa) and w(cb) > w(ab).

We have $w(bb) = w(a)|bb|_a + w(b)|bb|_b + w(c)|bb|_c$

$$= 1 \times 0 + 2 \times 2 + 3 \times 0 = 4.$$

A similar argument we have

 $w(aa) = w(a)|aa|_a + w(b)|aa|_b + w(c)|aa|_c$

$$= 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 0 + 3 \times 0 = 2$$

On the other hand we have,

$$w(cb) = w(a)|cb|_{a} + w(b)|cb|_{b} + w(c)|cb|_{c}$$

$$= 1 \times 0 + 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 1 = 5$$

And $w(ab) = w(a)|ab|_{a} + w(b)|ab|_{b} + w(c)|ab|_{c}$

$$= 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 0 = 3.$$

Finally (A, R) is Noetherian.

Corollary 3.6

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, with $a_n > a_{n-1} > \dots > a_1$. Let (A, R) be a **semi-Thue system**.

If for all $(s, t) \in R$, $s >_{lex} t$, then (A, R) is Noetherian.

Proof

To obtain the desired result, we show that there exists an **admissible well-founded partial ordering** > on A^* such that x > y holds for each $(s, t) \in R$. It suffices to take the **lexicographical ordering** >_{*lex*}.

Example 3.7

Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$, with c > b > a. R= {(ba, ab), (cb, bc)}. We have $ba >_{lex} ab$ and $cb >_{lex} bc$. Then (A, R) is Noetherian.

Corollary 3.8

Let (A, R) be a **semi-Thue system**. Consider the morphism of monoids $f: (A^*, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{N}, +)$.

If for all $(s, t) \in R$, f(s) > f(t), then Then (A, R) is Noetherian.

Proof

To obtain the desired result, we show that there exists an **admissible well-founded partial ordering** > on A^* such that x > y holds for each $(s, t) \in R$. It suffices to take the **weight ordering** >_f induced by *f* as follows:

 $x >_f y$ if f(x) > f(y).

Example 3.9

Let $A = \{a, b, c\}, R = \{(ba, bc), (ab, ac)\}.$

Consider the morphism of monoids $f: (A^*, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{N}, +)$, with f(a) = 2, f(b) = 1, f(c) = 0. We check that f(ba) > f(bc) and f(ab) > f(ac).

We have f(ba) = 3, f(bc) = 1 and f(ab) = 3,

f(ac) = 2. Finally (A, R) is Noetherian.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have given au an **admissible well-founded partial ordering** > on the free monoid A^* with a finite alphabet *A*, in order to assure that the **semi-Thue system** is Noetherian.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Berstel & D. Perrin. "Theoryofcodes", Academic Press (1984).
- [2] R. V. Book, F. Otto, "String-Rewriting Systems", Springer-Verlag, (1993).
- [3] N. Dershowitz, "Termination of Rewriting", Jornal of Symbolic Computation, vol 3, pp. 69-116, (1987).
- [4] M. Eytan, G. TH. Guilbaud, Présentation de quelques monoïdes finis. Mathématiques et sciences humaines, vol,7, pp. 3-10, (1964).
- [5] R. Floyd, R. Beigel, Traduction de D. Krob, "Le langage des machines", International Thomson France, paris, (1995).
- [6] Y. Metivier, "Calcul de longueurs de chaînes de réécriture dans le monoïde libre", Theoretical Computer Science, vol 35, pp. 71-87, (1985).
- [7] Y. Lafont, "A new finiteness condition for monoids presented by complete rewriting systems (after Craig C. Squier)", Journal of Pur and Applied Algebra, vol 98, pp. 229-244, (1995).
- [8] Y. Lafont, "Réécritue et problème du mot", Gazette des Mathématiciens, pp. 27-38, (2009).
- [9] Y. Lafont. Algebra and Geometry of Rewriting. Laboratoire de Mathématiques Discrètes de Luminy, Marseille, France. (2006).
- [10] M. Lohrey, " The Compressed Word Problem for Groups", Springer (2014).
- [11] M. Nivat. Sur le noyau d'un morphisme du monoïde libre, Proceedings de Séminaire Schutzenberger, vol 1, pp. 1-6, (1970).
- [12] D. Kapur and P. Narendran, A Finite Thue System With Decidable Word Problem And Without Equivalent Finite Canonical System", Theoretical Computer Science, vol 35, pp. 337-344, (1985).
- [13] M. Nivat. Sur le noyau d'un morphisme du monoïde libre, Séminaire Schutzenberger, tom 1 (1969/1970), exp. N0 4, p. 1-6.
- [14] J. Rouyer. Preuves de terminaison de systèmes de réécriture fondées sur les interprétations polynomiales. CRIN, Nancy, (1989).
- [15] H. Zantema, Termination of String Rewriting Proved Automatically, TU Eindhoven, (2004).