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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present the Hyers- Ulam- Rassias stability of quartic functional equation $f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=4 . f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(x)-6 f(y)$ in Random 2- Normed space.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1941, D.H. Hyers [2] has been studied the stability of function for a function from normed space to Banach space. He solved the problem given by Ulam [16] in 1940. He proved that for a function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, a function between normed space X and Banach space Y satisfying
$\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \delta$
for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\delta>0$. Then there exists a unique additive function $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ such that
$\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \delta$ for each $x \in X$.
Above result is generalized by Aoki [18] and Rassias [19] for additive mappings and linear mappings, respectively. A generalization of Rassias theorem was obtained by Gavruta [11] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach.

In 1990, Rassias asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Gajda [25] gave an affirmative solution to this question for $\mathrm{p}>1$. Gajda [25] as well Rassias and Semirl [20] investigated that one can not prove Rassias - type theorem when $\mathrm{p}=1$ (cf. the books of Czerwik [15], Hyers, Isac and Rassias [3]). In the similar way, using different methods, the stability problems for several functional equations have been extensively investigated by serval mathematicians([4-7], [12-14], [21-24]).

The functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)= \\
& \quad 4 . f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(x)-6 f(y) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

is said to be quartic functional equation and every solution of quartic equation is said to quartic mapping. Karn Petapirak and Pasian Nakmahachalasint [9] proved the stability problem of quartic functional equation.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some notations and basic definitions used in this article.

Definition 2.1 [1] : A distribution function is an element of $\Delta^{+}$, where $\Delta^{+}=\{\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow[0,1] ; \mathrm{f}$ is left-continuous, non decreasing, $f(0)=0$ and $f(+\infty)=1\}$ and the subset
$\mathrm{D}^{+} \subseteq \Delta^{+}$is the set
$\mathrm{D}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{f} \in \Delta^{+} ; l \mathrm{f}((+\infty)=1\}\right.$.
Here $l \mathrm{f}(+\infty)$ denotes the left limit of the function f at the point x . The space $\Delta^{+}$is partially ordered by the usual pointwise ordering of functions, i.e., $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in R$. For any $a \in R, H_{a}$ is a distribution function defined by
$H_{a}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & \text { if } & x \leq a ; \\ 1 & \text { if } & x>a .\end{array}\right.$
The set $\Delta$, as well as its subsets, can be partially ordered by the usual pointwise order : in this order, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is the maximal element in $\Delta^{+}$.

A triangle function is a binary operation on $\Delta^{+}$, namely a function $\mu: \Delta^{+} \times \Delta^{+} \rightarrow \Delta^{+}$that is associative, commutative, non decreasing and which has $\varepsilon_{0}$ as unit, that is, for all $f, g$, $h$ $\in \Delta^{+}$, we obtain :
i. $\quad \mu(\mu(f, g), \mathrm{h})=\mu(\mathrm{f}, \mu(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}))$,
ii. $\quad \mu(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g})=\mu(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{f})$,
iii. $\quad \mu(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g})=\mu(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{f})$ whenever $\mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{g}$,
iv. $\quad \mu\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{f}$.

A t -norm is a continuous mapping $*:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\left([0,1]\right.$, a) is abelian monoid with unit one and $c^{*} \mathrm{~d} \geq$ $a * b$ if $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$ for all $a, b, c, d \in[0,1]$.

The concept of 2-normed space was first introduced in [17].
Definition 2.2[10] : Let X be a linear space dimension greater than 1 . Suppose $\|.,$.$\| is a real-valued function on \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}$ satisfying the following conditions :
i. $\quad\|\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\|=0$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ are linearly dependent vectors,
ii. $\|x, y\|=\|y, x\|$ for all $x, y \in X$,
iii. $\|\lambda \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\|=|\lambda|\|\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{R}$ and for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ $\in X$,
iv. $\quad\|x+y, z\| \leq\|x, z\|+\|z, y\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $\|.,$.$\| is called a 2$-norm on X and the pair ( $\mathrm{X},\|.,$.$\| )$ is called 2-normed linear space. Some of the basic properties of 2 -norm are that they are non-negative and $\|\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}+\lambda \mathrm{x}\|=\|$ $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{R}$ and all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$.

Example 2.2[10] : Let X be a linear space with inner product $<., .>$ and $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$. Then
$\|x, y\|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}<x, x\rangle & <x, y\rangle \\ \langle y, x\rangle & <y, y\rangle\end{array}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a 2 -norm on $X$.

Gelet [8] and Mursaleen introduced the notion of random 2normed space (or in short RTN space).

Definition2.3[1] : Let $X$ be a linear space of dimension greater than one, $\mu$ is a triangle function, and $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \Delta^{+}$. Then $F$ is called a probabilistic 2-norm on $X$ and ( $X, F, \mu$ ) a probabilistic 2-normed space if the following conditions are fulfilled :
(i) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{t})$ if x and y are linearly dependent, where $F_{x, y}(t)$ denotes the value of $F_{x, y}$ at $t \in R$,
(ii) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}} \neq \mathrm{H}_{0}$ if x and y are linearly independent,
(iii) $F_{x, y}=F_{y, x}$ for every $x, y$ in $X$,
(iv) $F_{\alpha x, y}(t)=F_{x, y}\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$ for every $t>0, \alpha \neq 0$ and $x, y \in X$,
(v) $F_{x+y, z} \geq \mu\left(F_{x, z}, F_{y, z}\right)$ whenever $x, y, z \in X$. If (v) is replaced by
( $v^{\prime}$ ) $F_{x+y, z}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \geq F_{x, z}\left(t_{1} * F_{y, z}\left(t_{2}\right)\right.$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t_{1}$, $t_{2} \in R_{0}^{+}$, then triple $\quad(X, F, *)$ is called a random 2normed space.
Example 2.3[1] : Let $(X,\|.,\|$.$) be a 2-normed space with \|$ $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\|=\| \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{z}_{1} \|, \mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \quad \mathrm{z}=\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}=$ ab for $a, b \in[0,1]$. For all $x \in X, t>0$ and non zero $z \in X$, Consider
$F_{x, z}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\frac{t}{t+\|x, z\|} & \text { if } & t>0 \\ 0 & \text { if } & t \leq 0 ;\end{array}\right.$
Then $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, *)$ is a random 2-normed space.
Remark 2.3 : Every 2-normed space ( $\mathrm{X}, \| .$, . $\|$ ) can be made a random 2 -normed space in natural way, by setting $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{t})=$ $H_{0}(t-\|x, y\|)$, for every $x, y \in X, t>0$
and $\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}=\min \{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in[0,1]$.
Definition 2.4[1] : A sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ is convergent in $(X, F$, *) or simply F-convergent to $l$ if for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\mathrm{k}_{0} \in \mathrm{~N}$ such that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-l, \mathrm{z}}(\varepsilon)>1-\theta$ whenever $\mathrm{k} \geq \mathrm{k}_{0}$ and non zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$. In this case, we write $\mathrm{F}-\lim _{\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=l$ and $l$ is called the F-limit of $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$.
Definition 2.5[1]: A sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ is said to be Cauchy sequence in $(X, F, *)$ for every $\epsilon>0, \theta>0$ and non-zero $z \in$ $X$ there exist a number $N=N(\epsilon, z)$ such that $\lim \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{z}}(\varepsilon)>1-\theta$ for all $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} \geq \mathrm{N}$. RTN-space $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F},{ }^{*}\right)$ is said to be complete if every F-Cauchy is F-convergent. In this case, $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F},,^{*}\right)$ is called random 2-Banach space.

## 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we shall suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are linear spaces; $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F},{ }^{*}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{F}^{\prime},{ }^{*}\right)$ are random 2 -normed spaces; and $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F},{ }^{*}\right)$ is a random 2-Banach space. Let $\phi$ be a function from $X \times X$ to $Z$. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\phi$-approximately quartic mapping if
$F_{E_{x, y}, z}(t) \geq F_{\varphi(x, y), z}^{\prime}(t)$
Theorem 3.1 : Let us assume that a function $\phi$ : $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ satisfies $\phi(2 \mathrm{x}, 2 \mathrm{y})=\alpha \phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and
$\alpha \neq 0$. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a function. For some
$\phi$-approximately quartic $0<\alpha<16$,
$\mathrm{F}_{\psi(2 \mathrm{x}, 2 \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{z}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\alpha \psi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{z}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$
and $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right), z}^{\prime}\left(16^{n} t\right)=1$
for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$ and non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi(\mathrm{x}, 0), \mathrm{z}}^{\prime}((16-\alpha) \mathrm{t}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$ and non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$.
Proof : Taking $y=0$ in equation (3.1). Then for all $x \in X, t>$ 0 and non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\frac{\mathrm{f}(2 \mathrm{x})}{16}-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{32}\right) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi(\mathrm{x}, 0)}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$
Replacing $x$ by $2^{n} x$ in (3.4) and applying (3.2), we have
$F_{\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{16^{n+1}}-\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{16^{n}}, z}\left(\frac{t}{32.16^{n}}\right) \geq F_{\psi\left(2^{n} x, 0\right), z}^{\prime}(t) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha^{n}}\right)$
for all $x \in X, t>0$ and non-zero $z \in X$; and for all $n \geq 0$. By replacing $t$ by $\alpha^{n} t$, we obtain $F_{\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{16^{n+1}}-\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{16^{n}}, z}\left(\frac{\alpha^{n} \cdot t}{32 \cdot 16^{n}}\right) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}(t)$
It follows from $\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{16^{n}}-f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n=1}\left(\frac{f\left(2^{k+1} x\right.}{16^{k+1}}-\frac{f\left(2^{k} x\right)}{16^{k}}\right)$ and (3.5) that
 (3.6)
for all $x \in X, t>0$ and $n>0$ where $\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}=a_{1} * a_{2} * \ldots . a_{n}$ By replacing x with $2^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{16^{n+m}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{16^{m}}, z}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha^{k} t}{32(16)^{k+m}}\right) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi\left(2^{m} \times, 0\right), z}^{\prime}(t) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha^{m}}\right) \text { Then } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{16^{n+m}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{16^{m}}, z}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{\alpha^{k} t}{32(16)^{k}}\right) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0, \mathrm{~m}>0, \mathrm{n} \geq 0$ and non-zero $\quad \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\frac{f\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{16^{n+m}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{16^{m}}, z}(t) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{32(16)^{k}}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0, m>0, n \geq 0$ and non-zero $\quad z \in X$. Since $0<\alpha<16$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha}{16}\right)^{k}<\infty$, the Cauchy criterion for convergence proves that $\left(\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x} \mathrm{x}\right)}{16^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}, *)$. Since $(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}, *)$ is complete, this sequence converges
to some point $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{Y}$. Fix $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ and put $\mathrm{m}=0$ in (3.7) to obtain
$F_{\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{16^{n}}-f(x), z}(t) \geq F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{32(16)^{k}}}\right)$
for all $\mathrm{t}>0, \mathrm{n}>0$ and non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x}\right)}{16^{n}}, \mathrm{z}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\right) * \mathrm{~F}_{\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{n} \mathrm{x}\right)}{16^{n}}-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\right) \\
\\
\geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi(\mathrm{x}, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{16.16^{k}}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for large n . Taking the limit as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the definition of Random 2-Normed Space, we get
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi(\mathrm{x}, 0), \mathrm{z}}^{\prime}((16-\alpha) \mathrm{t})$
Change $x$ and $y$ by $2^{n} x$ and $2^{n} y$, respectively, in (3.1), we obtain
$F_{\frac{E 2^{n} x, 2^{n} y}{16^{n}}, z}(t) \geq F_{\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right), z}^{\prime}\left(16^{n} t\right)$
for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$ and non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$.
Since $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~F}_{\psi\left(2^{\mathrm{n}} x, 2^{\mathrm{n}} y\right), Z^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(16^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}\right)=1$,
We conclude that C satisfy (1.1). To prove the uniqueness of the quartic function C , let us suppose that there exist a quartic function $\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ which satisfy (3.3). For fix $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$, clearly $C\left(2^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}\right)=16^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})$ and
$\mathrm{Q}\left(2^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}\right)=16^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$ for all $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$.
It follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{F}_{\frac{\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\mathrm{n} x}\right)}{16^{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{Q}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x}\right), 2}{16^{n}}}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \quad \geq \mathrm{F}_{\frac{\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\mathrm{n}} x\right)}{16^{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x}\right), \mathrm{z}}{16^{n}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\right) * \mathrm{~F}_{\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x}\right)}{16^{n}}}-\frac{\mathrm{Q}\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x}\right), z}{16^{n}, z}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi\left(2^{\mathrm{n} x, 0), z}\right.}^{\prime}\left(\frac{16^{\mathrm{n}}(16-\alpha) \mathrm{t}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \mathrm{F}_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{16^{\mathrm{n}}(16-\alpha) \mathrm{t}}{2 \alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\quad F_{\psi(x, 0), z}^{\prime}\left(\frac{16^{\mathrm{n}}(16-\alpha) \mathrm{t}}{2 \alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=1$
Thus, $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{t}\right)=1$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$ and $\quad$ non-zero $\mathrm{z} \in$ $X$. Hence $C(x)=Q(x)$.
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