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#### Abstract

Block ciphers are built around the substitution table, or SBox. The cipher's protection is improved by better-designed S-Boxes. The Advanced Encryption Algorithm is already a formidable algorithm with excellent linear and differential properties. To provide more security and complexity to standard AES algorithm a dynamic AES with key dependent dynamic S-Boxes developed for each round of dynamic AES. In this study reduced round (RR) variant of dynamic AES with 8 round of encryption is investigated. The aim of this study is to compare the reduced round (RR) variant of dynamic AES with standard AES on the basis of the criterion SAC (strict avalanche criterion) and BIC (bit independence criterion), which further tests the non-linearity and randomness of cipher text on which the security of algorithms is based.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

AES is a block cipher widely used for encryption and decryption of data. AES block cipher was adopted by the US government as standard in 2001. Joan and Vincent Rijmen [1] developed AES block cipher AES uses block sizes of 128 bits for encryption at one time, which is fixed. AES has three variants in which variable key sizes for different rounds (10, 12, and 14) are used. AES uses three variants.

1. 128 bit key size for 10 -round encryption
2. 192 bit key size for 12 -round encryption
3. 256 bit key size for 14 -round encryption

All the calculations of AES block cipher are carried out in finite fields, that is, $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$. To encrypt the 128 bit input block with 128 bit key, 10 -rounds are used each round consists of four possessing steps except the last round which consists only three steps. For decryption, same rounds and steps are used, but in reverse order. Different steps which are used in each round are as follows:
The substitution byte transformation: Substitution byte is a non-linear confusion process, which substitutes bytes of plain text ( $4 \times 4$ matrix) with the bytes of predefined AES S-Box. AES S-Box is a matrix of 256 elements $(16 \times 16$ matrix) ranging from 0 to 255 . S-Box values are computed using $Y=A x \oplus C \bmod M$, where $x$ is input byte, $A$ is $8 \times 8$ affine matrix and $C$ is affine constant i.e 63 and $M$ is irreducible polynomial i.e. $x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1$. All elements of S-Box
are mapped to its multiplicative inverse in $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$, where element 0 is mapped to itself. In decryption process AES inverse S-Box is used. AES S-Box is displayed in Table 1 and Figure 1 shows substitution byte process.

Table 1. AES S-Box

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 63 | 7 | 77 | 7b | f2 | 6b | 6 | c5 |  | 01 | 67 | 2b |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 82 | c9 |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | b7 | fd | 9 |  | 36 |  | f7 |  |  |  |  | f |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | e2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 83 | 2c |  | 1 b | 6e | 5a | a0 | 5 | 3b |  | b3 | 29 |  |  |  |
|  |  | d |  |  |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | d0 | ef | a | fb | 43 |  | 33 | 8 |  | f9 | 2 | 7f | 50 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | cd | 0c | 13 | e | 5 f |  | 44 |  |  | a |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 4 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e0 | 32 | 3 a | 0 |  | 6 | 24 | 5 c |  | d3 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  | c8 |  |  |  |  |  | a9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ba | 78 | 25 | 2 e | 1 c | a6 | b4 | c6 |  | da |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 70 | 3 e | b5 | 66 | 48 | 3 | f6 | 0 | 61 | 35 | 57 | b9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | el | f8 | 98 | 11 | 69 | d9 | 8 e | 94 | 9b | 1 e | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig 1: Byte Substitution
Shift row transformation: Shift row is a $4 \times 4$ matrix linear diffusion method that works on individual rows. The first row of the matrix remains unchanged, the second row has one left shift, the third row has two left shifts, and the fourth row has three left shifts. Shift row in presented in Figure 2.


Fig 2: Shift Rows
Mix column transformation: in mix column transformation matrix multiplication is done over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$. Multiplication of
column vector is done with a fixed matrix, where all the bytes are treated as polynomials. Mix column is presented in Figure 3.


Fig 3: Mix Column
Add round key: At last bytes of round key are XORed with bytes of state matrix


Fig 4: Add Round Key

## 2. RELATED WORK

The substitution box, also known as the S-Box, is the only non-linear part of block cipher AES that provides the confusion property. The strength of these block ciphers is largely determined by the nature of S-Boxes in cryptography. The S-Boxes should be secure enough to withstand various algebraic attacks cryptographically. AES is a very powerful algorithm, but one of its drawbacks is that it uses a fixed SBox in all of the encryption rounds, which could contribute to cryptanalysts.
GN Krishnamurthy and V Ramaswamy [2] used AES-KDS block cipher, in which they used S-Box rotation on each round. They also used different stages to enhance the security. Piotr Mroczkowski [3] used pseudorandom sequences to generate identical boxes for encryption and decryption. AbdElGhafar et. al [4] used RC4 algorithm to generate key dependent dynamic S-Boxes. All values of S-Box are based on input key, when any byte of key is changed then all 256 values were permuted. Ghada Zaibi et. al [5] presented onedimensional chaotic maps algorithm to construct a dynamic SBoxes. Jie Cui et. al [6] modified affine transformation of AES algorithm and generated dynamic S-Boxes. Julia Juremi et. al [7] presented key expansion algorithm along with S-box rotation to make S-Box key dependant. Mona Dara et. al [8] used Chaotic Logistic Map to generate S-box for AES using its cipher key. Eman Mohammed Mahmoud et. al [9] used PN Sequence generator to generate random sequence of bits. LFSR (Linear Feedback Shift Register) technique used to generate key dependent dynamic S-Boxes. Fatma Ahmed et. al [10] used S-boxes bank like a rotor mechanism and dynamic key MDS matrix (SDK-AES) to generate dynamic SBoxes. Adi Narayana Reddy K et. al [11] added a secrete
value to the static index to shift the substitution to a secrete location and also generated variable sub keys by using sequence of pseudo random numbers to generate dynamic $S$ Boxes. Kazlauskas et. al [12] improvised their key dependent S-Box algorithm to make it efficient. Balajee Maram et. al [13] used Pseudo-Random generator and proposed a new algorithm to generate dynamic S-Boxes.

## 3. SECURITY OF AES

Although, AES is a very strong and immune to algebraic attacks. There are various attacks formulated by cryptanalysts on various round of AES known as Square attack.

Lars Knudsen invented the square attack for the reduced round variant of AES, and it was extended to a block cypher square for the first time [14]. It's a plain text attack that has been specifically selected. In this attack, the attacker breaks a block cipher's substitution permutation network (SPN) by using a carefully chosen collection of plaintexts and multisets, where multisets refers to a group of values that appear multiple times in the cipher. This attack was originally designed to target four rounds of 128 -bit AES [15], but it was later expanded to six rounds to target 192 -bit and 256 -bit AES. For all versions of AES (128, 192, and 256), an improved 7 -round attack was implemented. The seven-round attack, on the other hand, was slower [16]. On the 192 and 256 bit versions of AES, an additional 8 -round attack was implemented, which was practically infeasible [17].

On observing immunity of AES against reduced round attacks a modified Reduced Round Dynamic AES is proposed and results are also compared with standard AES algorithm.

## 4. PROPOSED REDUCED ROUND DYNAMIC AES ALGORITHM

The proposed Reduced Round Dynamic AES is the reduced variant of Dynamic AES which uses 8 rounds to encrypt plain text. This algorithm uses 128 bit block of information and 128 bit key for encryption and decryption. This algorithm uses dynamic S-Box construction presented in Figure 5. for added security and complexity [20]. RR Dynamic AES uses 8 rounds for encryption and decryption in which 7 rounds of encryption process includes byte substitution, shift row, mix column and add round key and 8th round uses only three transformations except mix column. Reduced Round Dynamic Algorithm encryption and decryption is presented in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively.


Fig 5: Flow Chart of Key Dependent Dynamic S-Box Generation


Fig 6: RR Dynamic Encryption Algorithm


Fig 7: RR Dynamic Decryption Algorithm

## 5. ANALYSIS OF REDUCED ROUND DYNAMIC AES IN COMPARISON WITH STANDARD AES

On the basis of criteria such as SAC and bit independence criterion, the RR Dynamic S-Box is analyzed and compared to standard AES

### 5.1 Strict Avalanche Criteria (SAC)

Webster and Tavares [18] introduced the Strict Avalanche Criterion (SAC). When a single bit of plain text or a key is complemented, each of a function's output bits should change with a probability of one half, according to the strict avalanche criterion.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sum_{x \in Z_{2}^{n}} f(x) \oplus f\left(x \oplus e_{i}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $x$ and $e$ are two n-bit vectors that only differ in one bit $i$. The Boolean function $f(x)$ accomplishes SAC criterion if and only if $\alpha=2^{n-1}$ for all $i, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Strict avalanche criterion will be presented both by changing one bit change in plain text and key respectively. Following are the plain texts and keys used for this test in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively.

Table 2. Plain text with one bit change

| Plain Texts | Change <br> on Bit <br> Positions | Plain Text |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Plain Text 1 | 0 | 3243F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 2 | 2 | 7243F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 3 | 4 | 6243F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 4 | 8 | 6343F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 5 | 16 | 3242F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 6 | 32 | 3243F6A9885A308D313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 7 | 64 | 3243F6A8885A308C313198A2E0370734 |
| Plain Text 8 | 128 | 3243F6A8885A308D313198A2E0370735 |

Table 3. Key with one bit change

| Key | Change on <br> Bit <br> Positions | Keys |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Key 1 | 0 | 00E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 2 | 1 | 80E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 3 | 2 | 40E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 4 | 3 | 20E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 5 | 4 | 10E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |


| Key 6 | 5 | 08E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Key 7 | 6 | 04E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 8 | 7 | 02E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |
| Key 9 | 8 | 01E9C9F2A509D4E8A8BBB760A02AAB08 |

### 5.1.1 SAC with one bit change in plain text using different keys

RR Dynamic AES and standard AES are tested by encrypting plain text with single bit change in plain text at various bit positions with different keys to see the performance of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES. The plain texts used for analysis are presented in table 2 . Table 4 compares the encrypted plain text and SAC values of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in plain text at various bit positions by using key 1 . The results are vary comparative as standard value of SAC should be around $50 \%$.

While keeping in view of length of article all encrypted plain texts with key 1 to key 9 are summarized in Table 5 and average SAC is presented graphically in Figure 8.

Table 5 presents results of SAC after encrypting plain texts with various keys. Here it is clearly represented that performance of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES is very comparable. Performance of RR Dynamic AES in Average SAC value for key 1 , key 2 , key 6 and key 8 is better than standard AES and for key 7 and key 9, there is slight difference of $0.2 \%$ only. Overall SAC value of all encrypted plain texts of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES is 49.37\% and $49.40 \%$ respectively, which shows RR Dynamic AES is slightly more efficient even with reduced rounds, but with added complexity, which make cryptanalysis more difficult. The graphical representation is given in Figure 8

Table 4. SAC of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in plain text using Key 1

| Change on Bit Pos. in Plain Text | Plain Text | AES Cipher | RR Dyn. AES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AES } \\ & \text { SAC } \end{aligned}$ | Dyn. AES <br> SAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3243F6A8885A308D <br> 313198A2E0370734 | 14CC5672E40E471A <br> 50339F88BE73B60B | E153D379983FC090 CFDEB0BBED5928D9 | - | - |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 7243F6A8885A308D } \\ 313198 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{E} 0370734 \end{gathered}$ | 83084421243C63F8 660A4A1A3144AC9C | AEC52C92FB207B14 0EF3EFE95E4161D5 | 46.1 | 53.1 |
| 4 | 6243F6A8885A308D <br> 313198A2E0370734 | 85D5FE21B466A0AA <br> F4 B6A35E5335FFA1 | 632FB5357262CA36 <br> 32E7E4146DBDD43E | 45.3 | 52.3 |
| 8 | 6343F6A8885A308D <br> 313198A2E0370734 | EC9DF3A95988A03B <br> F8 082E28B3E69187 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 0FF2AA7A73BBB9B4 } \\ \text { 2444722D3D775B51 } \end{gathered}$ | 49.2 | 48.4 |
| 16 | 3242F6A8885A308D <br> 313198A2E0370734 | A21393866FC99B47 408EE199B56196C3 | E0D7F0287CD11323 <br> F5 95E7AA3C18041E | 50.0 | 45.3 |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 3243F6A9885A308D } \\ 313198 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{E} 0370734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5FA123555E4D3491D } \\ & \text { 3CCA9A8287C6EED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7FDCE207D8A98926 } \\ & \text { 6175B54C6C6C09A8 } \end{aligned}$ | 53.1 | 49.2 |
| 64 | 3243F6A8885A308C <br> 313198A2E0370734 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 618F9764D457CA9C } \\ & \text { 993A70113437DC57 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5ACC3E09A1BF4558 } \\ & 66 \mathrm{~B} 3 \mathrm{FB} 458 \mathrm{~F} 6032 \mathrm{DB} \end{aligned}$ | 44.5 | 49.2 |
| 128 | 3243F6A8885A308D <br> 313198A2E0370735 | 0640A46FB1BB100F 52BB1B421DE456FA | 9090A0BA39A16A09 F0F47ACC70613547 | 44.5 | 53.9 |

Table 5. Average SAC of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in plain text

|  | Key 1 |  | Key 2 |  | Key 3 |  | Key 4 |  | Key 5 |  | Key 6 |  | Key 7 |  | Key 8 |  | Key 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plain Text | AES | RR <br> Dyn <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | RR <br> Dyn <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | RR <br> Dyn <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ |
| Plain Text 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plain Text 2 | 46.1 | 53.1 | 52.3 | 50.8 | 51.6 | 43.8 | 50.8 | 54.7 | 53.9 | 51.6 | 50.0 | 53.1 | 52.3 | 53.1 | 53.9 | 47.7 | 46.1 | 51.6 |
| Plain Text 3 | 45.3 | 52.3 | 50.0 | 55.5 | 53.1 | 57.0 | 54.7 | 51.6 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 53.1 | 50.8 | 50.0 | 50.8 | 52.3 | 53.1 | 51.6 | 53.1 |
| Plain Text 4 | 49.2 | 48.4 | 49.2 | 44.5 | 52.3 | 41.4 | 57.8 | 53.9 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 47.7 | 57.0 | 53.9 | 50.0 | 49.2 | 45.3 | 50.0 | 49.2 |
| Plain Text 5 | 50.0 | 45.3 | 50.0 | 56.3 | 51.6 | 45.3 | 51.6 | 46.1 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 45.3 | 58.6 | 57.0 | 55.5 | 54.7 | 57.8 | 50.8 | 43.8 |
| Plain Text 6 | 53.1 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 51.6 | 55.5 | 52.3 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 48.4 | 49.2 | 45.3 | 56.3 | 50.0 | 48.4 | 52.3 | 53.9 | 51.6 | 45.3 |
| Plain Text 7 | 44.5 | 49.2 | 46.1 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 57.8 | 53.9 | 43.8 | 54.7 | 42.2 | 46.1 | 44.5 | 45.3 | 49.2 | 54.7 | 57.0 | 51.6 | 59.4 |
| Plain Text 8 | 44.5 | 53.9 | 49.2 | 43.0 | 53.1 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 53.1 | 44.5 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 44.5 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 49.2 | 53.9 | 48.4 | 46.1 |
| Average | 47.5 | 50.2 | 49.7 | 49.9 | 52.8 | 49.3 | 52.2 | 50.6 | 49.0 | 48.0 | 48.2 | 52.1 | 50.9 | 50.7 | 52.3 | 52.7 | 50.0 | 49.8 |



Fig 8: Graphical representation of Average SAC of RR Dynamic AES and Standard AES with one bit change in plain text

### 5.1.2 SAC with one bit change key using different plain texts

RR Dynamic AES and standard AES are tested by encrypting plain texts with single bit change in key at various bit positions to see the performance of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES. Keys used for analysis are presented in Table 3. Table 6 compares the encrypted plain text and SAC values of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in key at various bit positions by using plain text 1 . The results are vary comparative as standard value of SAC should be around $50 \%$.
While keeping in view of length of article all encrypted plain
texts with single bit change in key are summarized in table 7 and average SAC is presented graphically in figure 4.
Table 6 presents results of SAC after encrypting plain texts with single bit change in key. Results here too of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES are very comparable. Performance of RR Dynamic AES in Average SAC value for plain text $3,4,6$ and 8 is better than standard AES and for plain text 2 , there is slight difference of $1 \%$ only. Overall SAC value of all encrypted plain texts of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES is $50.35 \%$ and $50.30 \%$ respectively, which shows RR Dynamic AES is slightly more efficient. The graphical representation is given in Figure 9.

Table 6. SAC of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in Key using Plain Text 1

| Change on Bit Pos. in Key | Key | AES Cipher | RR Dyn. AES Cipher | AES SAC | RR Dyn. <br> AES SAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 00E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 14CC5672E40E471A <br> 50339F88BE73B60B | E153D379983FC090C <br> FDEB0BBED5928D9 | - |  |
| 1 | 80E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | CF768BA0BF045FCE 840D9F7AD546A0C8 | A23F94337B5BC179 9A30E2FF4D0322FF | 50.0 | 42.2 |
| 2 | 40E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 5FB6A8A237FB18F3 DD415B7B1E693754 | E962CA5EC465A38E 1C43F03C46E3D4BD | 55.5 | 47.7 |
| 3 | 20E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | BF1486001C3FEC351 <br> CA5F9DED62CE1E8 | 8F12D286E8F70CA5 0ACAFA79B50C7DE3 | 53.1 | 44.5 |
| 4 | 10E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 644C99563CE89184 <br> E7B818141C0ACC34 | BF174EBFC4F33BB8 <br> 7A07F430F889D51B | 53.1 | 50.8 |
| 5 | 08E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 3D56B7CB1306079B EFDBB805D83FC30B | F9C672C71A873D21 050873B2C7EF3F26 | 45.3 | 52.3 |
| 6 | 04E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 7D9E8E986215ECDF ABDAF5B850202B6D | 2AFE42418F4C5F6D C36BEDB638DEB902 | 53.9 | 55.5 |
| 7 | 02E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 1C69CD770C733AE2 AD3BA72B4431B694 | D49CEB8D7AB8EA14 <br> 56939B399FE5A9E4 | 48.4 | 47.7 |
| 8 | 01E9C9F2A509D4E8A 8BBB760A02AAB08 | 7CD3E5A4249DDE81 B80944FBA806E34F | ECBDFC0C4ABD1FA <br> 1F14748D7A8EF0A3C | 51.6 | 53.1 |

Table 7. Average SAC of RR Dynamic AES and standard AES with single bit change in key

|  | Plain Text 1 |  | Plain Text 2 |  | Plain Text 3 |  | Plain Text 4 |  | Plain Text 5 |  | Plain Text 6 |  | Plain Text 7 |  | Plain Text 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Keys | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ |
| Key 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Key 2 | 50.0 | 42.2 | 50.0 | 47.7 | 51.6 | 53.1 | 53.1 | 55.5 | 54.7 | 48.4 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 54.7 | 48.4 |
| Key 3 | 55.5 | 47.7 | 53.1 | 55.5 | 49.2 | 52.3 | 49.2 | 57.8 | 49.2 | 44.5 | 43.8 | 55.5 | 46.1 | 48.4 | 46.9 | 53.9 |
| Key 4 | 53.1 | 44.5 | 48.4 | 47.7 | 43.8 | 53.1 | 46.1 | 51.6 | 45.3 | 50.0 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 59.4 | 42.2 | 42.2 | 53.1 |
| Key 5 | 53.1 | 50.8 | 43.8 | 52.3 | 53.9 | 52.3 | 50.0 | 42.2 | 49.2 | 50.0 | 51.6 | 50.8 | 43.0 | 51.6 | 43.8 | 50.0 |
| Key 6 | 45.3 | 52.3 | 57.0 | 52.3 | 59.4 | 46.1 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 51.6 | 45.3 | 48.4 | 50.0 | 53.1 | 50.8 | 55.5 | 60.2 |
| Key 7 | 53.9 | 55.5 | 49.2 | 47.7 | 49.2 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 49.2 | 43.8 | 48.4 | 44.5 | 41.4 | 44.5 |
| Key 8 | 48.4 | 47.7 | 43.8 | 45.3 | 49.2 | 51.6 | 43.8 | 47.7 | 43.8 | 43.0 | 49.2 | 43.0 | 49.2 | 47.7 | 48.4 | 49.2 |
| Key 9 | 51.6 | 53.1 | 46.9 | 34.4 | 42.2 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 50.8 | 60.2 | 51.6 | 50.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 41.4 | 48.4 |
| Average | 51.4 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 47.9 | 49.8 | 50.5 | 48.0 | 51.0 | 50.7 | 48.0 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 50.6 | 49.0 | 46.8 | 51.0 |



Fig 9: Graphical representation of Average SAC of RR Dynamic AES and Standard AES with one bit change in key

## 6. BIT INDEPENDENCE CRITERIA

Webster and Tavares [18] were the first to introduce the concept of BIC. All avalanche variables should be pair wise independent for a given set of avalanche vectors generated by changing a single bit of plain text or key. Bit independence property is measured by calculating correlation coefficient of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ components of avalanche vector Dei over all input pairs P and Pi , which differ only in bit i $\left(P_{i}=P \oplus e_{i}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B I C^{e_{i}}\left(d_{j}, d_{k}\right)=\left|\operatorname{corr}\left(d_{j}^{e_{i}}, d_{k}^{e_{i}}\right)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the overall BIC is defined as:
$B I C(f)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j, k \leq m, j \neq k} B I C^{e_{i}}\left(d_{j}, d_{k}\right)$.
$B I C(f)$ is a function that has a range of -1 to 1 . It should ideally be equal to zero, and in the worst-case scenario, it should be equal to one

According to the BIC property, all the variables of a collection of avalanche vectors created by complementing a single bit of plain text should be pair-wise independent. To investigate this, the avalanche variable's correlation coefficient must be determined. The correlation coefficient has a value of between 1 and -1 . If the correlation value is 0 , the variables are independent and have no correlation; if the correlation value is 1 , the variables have a strong positive correlation and if the correlation value is -1 , the variables have a strong negative correlation. As a result, table 7 shows the correlation coefficient of AES and RR Dynamic AES when one bit of the plain is changed. Table 8 presents results of BIC after encrypting plain texts with various keys. RR Dynamic AES performs better as compared to standard AES for keys $2,3,4,6,7$ and 9 . Overall BIC for RR Dynamic AES and standard AES is 0.1885 and 0.2072 . So here RR Dynamic AES is more efficient as com- pared to standard AES, which is more close to 0 . The graphical representation is given in Figure 10.

Table 8. Correlation coefficient of avalanche vectors of AES and RR Dynamic AES

| Plain <br> Text | Key 1 |  | Key 2 |  | Key 3 |  | Key 4 |  | Key 5 |  | Key 6 |  | Key 7 |  | Key 8 |  | Key 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RR } \\ \text { Dyn. } \\ \text { AES } \end{gathered}$ | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES | RR <br> Dyn. <br> AES | AES |
| Plain Text 1 | 0.235 | 0.435 | 0.090 | 0.152 | 0.230 | 0.581 | 0.188 | 0.288 | 0.250 | 0.043 | 0.152 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.189 | 0.161 | 0.058 | 0.181 | 0.418 |
| Plain Text 2 | 0.023 | 0.082 | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.180 | 0.398 | 0.111 | 0.246 | 0.277 | 0.468 | 0.079 | 0.124 | 0.297 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.008 | 0.121 | 0.061 |
| Plain Text 3 | 0.517 | 0.085 | 0.032 | 0.160 | 0.079 | 0.009 | 0.100 | 0.348 | 0.262 | 0.183 | 0.148 | 0.102 | 0.225 | 0.001 | 0.299 | 0.365 | 0.113 | 0.087 |
| Plain Text 4 | 0.223 | 0.217 | 0.112 | 0.195 | 0.296 | 0.026 | 0.169 | 0.337 | 0.089 | 0.128 | 0.312 | 0.690 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.091 | 0.156 | 0.453 | 0.239 |
| Plain Text 5 | 0.201 | 0.189 | 0.114 | 0.076 | 0.184 | 0.415 | 0.220 | 0.343 | 0.352 | 0.084 | 0.241 | 0.247 | 0.278 | 0.135 | 0.013 | 0.158 | 0.310 | 0.502 |
| Plain <br> Text 6 | 0.085 | 0.235 | 0.375 | 0.318 | 0.272 | 0.288 | 0.251 | 0.281 | 0.294 | 0.491 | 0.195 | 0.109 | 0.301 | 0.409 | 0.306 | 0.028 | 0.151 | 0.104 |
| Plain Text 7 | 0.295 | 0.168 | 0.187 | 0.067 | 0.240 | 0.056 | 0.008 | 0.099 | 0.340 | 0.187 | 0.077 | 0.112 | 0.099 | 0.537 | 0.058 | 0.273 | 0.089 | 0.010 |
| Average | 0.225 | 0.201 | 0.134 | 0.145 | 0.212 | 0.253 | 0.150 | 0.278 | 0.266 | 0.226 | 0.172 | 0.201 | 0.177 | 0.208 | 0.159 | 0.149 | 0.203 | 0.203 |



Fig 10: Graphical representation of Average BIC of RR Dynamic AES and Standard AES with one bit change in Plain Text

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this article the performance of standard AES and RR Dynamic AES analyzed for the criterion like strict avalanche criterion and bit independence criterion. Both standard AES and RR Dynamic AES are shown to meet all of the criteria. However, both the strict avalanche criterion and the bit independence criterion yielded better results for RR Dynamic AES. As a result, the new algorithm with eight rounds of encryption with dynamic S-Boxes yields better results and is more resistant to algebraic attacks. In future work RR Dynamic AES will be tested for its efficiency in encryption of different format of data like text documents, images and sound files and compared against standard AES.
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