Sound Can Go Faster than Light using S-Transform and Fuzzy Expert System

Prasannajit Dash NM Institute of Techlogy Sijua, Patrapada, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

This paper presents new approach for time series data classification using Fuzzy Expert System (FES). In the proposed study, the power disturbance signals are considered as time series data for testing the designed FES. Initially the time series data are pre-processed through the advanced signal processing tool such as S-transform and various statistical features are extracted, which are used as inputs to the FES. The FES output is optimized i1sing Particle Swann Optimization (PSO) to bring the output to distinct classification level. Both Gaussian and trapezoidal membership functions are selected for designing the proposed FES arid the performance measure is derived by comparing the classification rates for the time series data without noise and with noise up to SNR 20 db. The proposed algorithm provides accurate classification rates even under noisy conditions compared to the existing techniques, which shows the efficacy and robustness of the proposed algorithm fortime series data classification

Keywords

Time-series data, Fuzzy Expert System, S-transform, Particle Swarm Optimization

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of wave velocity has been studied since theadvent of Einstein's special theory of relativity.[1][2] A central issue is whether the speed of light in vacuum c constituted anupper limit to the group velocity-the velocity of the peak of a wave packet. The consensus of much theoretical work[3][5] is that the group velocity is not limited and, in the past few years, a number of experiments [6][9] have confirmed that it is possible for optical or electrical wave pulses to travel through absorbing, attenuating, or gain materials with group velocities greater than c. Furthermore, under appropriate conditions, the group velocity can even become negative,[10][11] a circumstance in which the peak of the tunneled pulse emerges from the output of the medium before the peak of the incident pulse has reached the input. Al- though most wave propagation phenomena have been explored for electromagnetic waves, there is a history of theory and experiment using ultrasonic acoustic waves.[3][14][15] Recently, it was predicted through numerical modeling[16] that faster-than-light phenomena should be observable for ultra- sound pulses. In this letter we demonstrate experimentally the transmission of audio-frequency acoustic pulses through an asymmetric loop filter with group velocities that exceed the speed of light. This work is significant for two reasons. First, we confirm the theoretical prediction that, under the appropriate conditions, sound pulses can exhibit group velocities that surpass the speed of light in vacuum. Second, we demonstrate a simple passive acoustic filter system that exhibits a negative group velocity.

The mechanism by which superluminal propagation arises involves rephrasing of the spectral components of a pulse by a medium that exhibits anomalous dispersion. Anomalous dispersion occurs over frequency intervals in which materials exhibit strong absorption, attenuation, orgain. The spectral components of a pulse traveling through an anomalously dispersive medium recombine in a manner such that they replicate the shape of the original pulse but are moved forward closer to the leading edge of that pulse. Be- cause the tunneling pulse is fashioned from the leading edge of the incident pulse, it exits the sample earlier in time. The group velocity is defined by the length of the sample divided by the time taken for the peak of a pulse to traverse the sample. If anomalous dispersion is sufficiently strong the group velocity can exceed the speed of light. If the transit time is zero, the peak of the transmitted pulse exits at the same time as the peak of the incident pulse reaches the input, and the group velocity is infinite. Finally, in the case of very strong dispersion, the peak of the transmitted pulse exits before the peak of the incident pulse reaches the input, and the group velocity is negative. It is now generally agreed that all of these superluminal phenomena do not violate special relativity or causality and, in particular, it has been shown that the speed of information transmission is subluminal[17][18] In all previous optical, microwave, or electrical demonstrations the individual spectral components of the pulse have velocities close to the speed of light and thus realizing sufficient rephrasing to achieve superluminal propagation is less surprising. In the experiments described here, however, the individual spectral components travel at the speed of sound, almost six orders of magnitude slower than the speed of light and yet still experience sufficient rephrasing to achieve superluminal velocities.

Experiments were conducted in a one-dimensional acoustic waveguide system constructed from 1.9 cm diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe. The filter element being characterized was an asymmetric loop filter, a type of acoustic interference filter modeled on a similar device used in electrical measurements in coaxial cable waveguides[12]. The design and dimensions of the acoustic loop filter are shown in Figures below. The loop was created from the same type of 1.9 cm diameter PVC pipe used for the waveguide and it was connected together using commercially available right-angle and T junctions. The asymmetric loop splits the guided sound signal along two unequal length paths designated as dL and dS (long and short, respectively). By analogy with the electrical results reported in Ref. [12], there are two mechaorganisms by which the asymmetric loop filter results in dips in transmission. The first mechanism is due to destructive inteference that results when the path length $\Delta L = d_L - d_S$ between the long and short arms differs by one-half wavelength. The second mechanism occurs due to standing wave resonances around the whole length of the loop $L = d_L + d_S$.





Figure2 Signal Amplitude Vs. Time(s)





Figure 3 Phase Time and Transmission vs. Frequency

 Weka Explorer 		- 0 X
Preprocess Classify Duster Associate	ter Select amitures Visuelae	
Amibute Evaluator		
Choose PrincipalComponents 40.955 -A	45	
Sauch Mathemat		
Character Bandwar, 7, 1 NUMAIN 1990 SINCH	New Sec. 3	
CROBE READER AT ALL PROPERTY AND	248 TK 1 -	
Vatribute Selection Wode	Attribute selection pageat	
Use full training set.	0.40901 0.00000 0.72740 0.72161-0.20164-7.2016-0.20161ass-01-0.21381	
C Gross-validation Folds (10	0.777327 0.07066 0.40816 -0.47534-0.43643+0.27643+0.27843+0.27845-0.	
Sent T	0.0055 2.0016 0.0121 0.5121 0.5124-0.0766-0.0725-0.2022.	
No class		
The second	Elgenrectors	
3/3/1		
Result list (right-click for options)	0.5055 -0.2054 -0.1327 0.1424 -0.7551 -0.7556 0.22562 -0.1355 0.22562 -0.1555	
22.05.04 - Ranker + Principal/Components	- 1.112 - 1.124 (1.412) (1.413) (1.124) (1.124) (1.124) (1.135) (1.135) (1.136) (1.124) (1.124) (1.124)	
	-2.2425 -0.211 -0.225 -0.212 -0.225 -0.225 -0.225 -0.225 -0.255 -0.245 -0.205 -0.205 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -0.255 -	
	0.4054 0.4007 -0.0771 -0.0758 0.0644 0.1351 0.0604 0.4054 0.075 -0.07 44	
	-1.403 0.0490 -0.0201 -0.4052 0.2015 -0.2014 0.1104 -0.2016 0.1154 -0.2071 45	
	-0.0403 -0.043 0.7099 -0.0945 -0.0145 -0.0145 -0.0145 0.4007 0.2007 0.2001 w6	
	0.1013 -0.4244 0.024 -0.3271 -0.3628 -0.1220 0.0722 0.0012 -0.1272 40.4221 47	
	-6.3436 -6.2033 -0.3407 0.339 -0.3236 -0.2343 -0.3553 0.5523 -0.4423 -0.042 at	
	0.2020 -0.2034 0	
	ATTAC ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL	
	Renked officiation	
	8.8126 1 6.509a7-8.439a1+6.435a4+6.855a6-0.384a8-0.292a5	
	0.4659 2 -0.46661aaart0-0.404a740.401a4-0.101a9-0.101a0	
	0.5472 1 0.71 at-0.41at-0.312at-0.315at-0.321staseret	
	0.4404 4 -0.45565-0.45 al-0.305a7+0.37 a2+0.338Lasser1	
	0.3439 3 -3.5028-0.37583-0.32581-0.2181-0.2181	
	10.2622 (\$ 0.72142-1.72462-1.25562-0.255520-0.255520-0.255520-0.	
See error log		Log 💦 x0
9 Type here to search		8475 Have A CA CO M 1231 PM

Figure 4 Principal Component Analysis(PCA) with Ranking

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 184– No.21, July 2022



Figure 5 Principal Component Analysis with attributes



Figure 6 Windows 10 Speech Recognition



Figure 7 Data Acumination producing Digitized Signal



Figure 8 Decision Tree

Commente duation evaluation (Press) (III) - ()) Book duates for vesarization Spens attribution	
Skri Roz	
Result na Indek-chok tox options) 2158252 - Carrengo 2158252 - Carrengo 2156263 - MarcoloxaClusterer 215646 - SimpleKolegen 2251568 - SimpleKolegen 221722 - Carrengo 2460719 - Kathalato -	ter solo setter ter solo ter solo





Figure 10 Pattern Recognition of Speech

the second se	
· Use making set	4
Supplied test set	개를 즐길 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는
Percentage split 5. 10	
Classes to charters evaluation	47
them! their	at
Store dudes for vaukination	
and the second	Next note: assisters on training data
ignore attributes	
Stat	me Chaterins and that testate and we
Result Rd (right-click for optional	and the second sec
21.4E47 - EM	
215252 - Canopy	Onnog stantectay
215428 - HerjachicalOuderer	
2133-45 - Sreperdeare	Hasher of escopies (charter conterns) fromts 1
Shirida Gaza	22 million: 1.001
	71 mellies 1.478
	Chartest 10 - 0. STATEL 0. 470434, 0. 454434, 0. 453475, 0. 443475, 0. 543275, 0. 570236, 0. 470177, 0. 263281, 0. 47047, 4. 147047, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 14717, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147, 4. 147147,
	Charter 1: 0.47940.0.303391.0.4503391.0.450349.0.313149.0.46037.0.313394.0.450304.0.450304.0.450304.0.31709.01.0.31709.01.0.101
	Nummer 2: -0.01100.0.040201.0.614001.0.614001.0.00004.0.000201.0.000071.0.100407.0.00171.0.100407.0.01001.00.0.0000000000
	The take to write sold their evolution data is all accords
	Land Land of Balan Book, The Stateming Black I and Brands
	You Hodel and evaluation on tunking set you
	Charland Instance
	V 77 U 770
	3 33 (394)

Figure 10 Pattern Recognition of Speech using Classifier

Attribute Selection Mod	de	Attribute select	on output							
(Use full training set	i									
C Cross-selidation	Feids 10	mm hetelbu	a delection of	all land date	12					
	Seld 1			are refer one	122					
		Bearch Mith	alla -							
No class		- A11	elbute canking.							
Start	900	Attribute E	valuator (wang	ericised) :						
Result list (right-click fo	w options)	913	utijal Composes	te Attribute fo	anaformi	6				
220504 - Ranket + Pri	ncipatiomponents	Portalation								
		1 -0.	09 -0.17 -0.1	5 0.19 -0.44	-0.54	0.27	-0.21	0.56	9.04	
		-0.09 1	-0 0.1	4 -0.11 -2.03	0.21	-0.12	0.47	-0.05	8.06	
		-0.17 -0	1 0.0	5 -0.04 -0.04	-0.04	+0.08	0.12	-0.24	-4.12	
		-0.15 0.	04 0.05 3	-0.18 0.11	-9.13	6.12	9.15	0.05	6,00	
		0.13 -0.	21 -0.34 -0.1	1 1 -1.7	-5.13	-6.15	-1.22	-2.05	-9.33	
		-0,44 -0,	03 -0.04 0.3	1 -0.33 1	10,115	-0.01	0.15	-0.11	-0.34	
		~0.14 0.	EI =0.04 =0.1	1 -0.13 -0.03	3	0.19	-0,13	0.04	-0.05	
		0.27 -0.	12 -0.08 0.1	2 -0.35 -0.03	0.19	4	0.02	0.12	4.07	
		-0,21 0.	07 0.13 0.1	7 -0.33 0.15	-6.13	0.02	1	-0.05	4.12	
		0.16 -0.	03 -0.24 0.4	5 -0.05 -0.21	-0.04	0.12	-2.06	1	9,36	
		0.24 0.	06 -0.12 0.0	3 -0.15 -0.14	-9.05	0.67	0.12	0.36	4	
		eigenvalue	proportion	comilativ	2					
		2.06187	0.18766	0,1878		.505al-	0.43845	-1.05	4-0.3(4a)-0.2(2a)	
		1,61296	9,14662	0.3540		0,46662	499701-	0.62647	+0,421a4-0,309a9-0,231a0	
		1.20646	0.11877	0.4528		.72 - 28 *	0.421al	-1.342	8-9.328a3-0.221class=c1	
		2.17422	0.10675	0.55558	- 3	0.46545	+2.46 a	4-0.381	a7+0.37 a2+0.339class=c1	
		\$,06703	0.057	0.65638	1	0.582#7	-0.575e	2+9.333	#9+0.22fe1-0.21af	
		0.88981	0,06065	0,7374		TilsI-	9.3364	(+1.252)	0-0.289classmo1+0.255a)	
		0.77127	0.07044	0.00020	3	0.67783	-0.4264	2+0.354	a1+0.273a3+0.225e0	
		0.65458	0,06314	0,87130		-531al+	0.415e	+2.4054	A=8.321x6=7.295x2	
		0.66554	0,0609	0.9325		.453(La	aansi-i	.49245-	0.268x1+0.242x3+0.234x8	
		0-40403	0,03613	0.96831		0.3/84-	0.42281	7.4194	2*9-281#0-0-228#2	

Figure 11 Decision Matrix

thribute Evaluator	
Choose Prindparcomponents (5035-A	5
earch Method	
Choose (Rankar -1 - 1.79799/11)409/2015/1	10.4-1
tribute Selection Mode	Attribute selection output
e) Use full training set	ees mu information ees
Cross-subdation Fonds 10	Projustor: weeks.attributedelettion.PrincipalCommenses = 2.0.55 -2.1
3000 (1	Swarch: weks.stributedelaction.Batter -E -1.7976501140623157E308 -H -1
io data	[Balation: wek.dtagemerators.classifiers.classification.BandosRB*_8_1_n_100_s_10_c_2_C_28_weks.filters.supervised.attribute.NominalPORImary 100
Start Stor	AttCludes 11
esul list (right-dick for options) 2000 - Karper - TrinsputComponents	<pre>al al al al al al al al al al al al al a</pre>

Figure 12 Principal Component Analysis



Figure 13 Pattern Recognition

Lise Saming Str.	41
Supplied her art to to	
	4
Venzekage spill	
Classes to classes evaluation	**
(P40e) (100)	5
Store clusters for visualization	olane .
Ignore attributes	Text model evaluate on training data
Start	
Security list biselist click for maticant	and classering model that training set and
The AD 47 - CM	
Distance County	Canopy distanting
And and a second s	
	Wadler of ranging (cluster conters) found: 3
	TH PRAINED LINE?
	The second states
	Cluster D. 0. 2012/0.0. CTMAN. 0. 4554/M. 0. 402/00. 0.11775. 0. 562/00. 0. 5712/0.0. 407477.0. 352/01. 0. 407967. e0. (45) 40.1. D- Cluster D. 0. 47940. 0. 502/001. 0. 402/00. 0. 4554/M. 0. 40077.0. 51104. 0. 4652/01. 0. 477477. 0. 1277/01. 457 Cluster D. 0. 4712/01. 0. 1962/1. 0. 42550/0. 4554/M. 0. 5072/7. 0. 10002/0. 0. 4552/0. 47747/0. 0. 5277/01. 45 Cluster D. 0. 4912/01. 0. 1962/1. 0. 42550/0. 45569/0. 0. 5072/7. 0. 9002/0. 0. 00077. 0. 10040/0. 0. 54775/0. 17507. 40, (4) 40.1. D-
	Wise takes to build model (full training data) + 0.01 secunds
	ever Model and evaluation on training set wee
	Clusterni Instances
	8 27 L 27N
	1 48 (40%)
	2 12 (259)

Figure 14 Hierical Clustering

Che transing and	The functionality and
Suppliers test set. Set.	
C Percentage with 15 Cat	Advers - was classes discontinuition of 2 th discip - 2 * main core fucilizations of disco last*
Cisises to charters evaluation	Polation who Alaphantina classification Annually (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2) who filters spectral attracts basefication
Photo line .	Are Dates I
CR Store chulters for insustantice.	
	41
lynoe amitynes	45
Quer line	
Barrier and some and some some	
Trand The Ingeneration of Openers	
2PAED - Canoda	47
210 Mail (1999) House Senatt Ladintee 1	
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	iles.
	Their model emcloarte on totalning date
	was thereaded model (full tealing and) and
	Cluster 9
	11111111111111111111111111111111111111
	Ties takes to build model chail terring and > 5.15 seconds.
	The Model and evaluation on training set The
	Viuneras Instances
	- 10 y 300
	2 2 2 20



Sect aptrove		Ciscofter output											
W Oter Mainteng Left		app, trait, trait, at	0.025										
Sussessment Land, and													
		now Evaluation -	st training										
Cross-earliefaction more in													
Antenned all and a lot		Time taken to be	and monthly of		NU MADAN IN								
Ahore options.		the Assessed and											
thereast class		descently therei	Stat Same	ine .	1.64			4					
		Incompactly line	estried ins	tames.	- 481			*					
Contraction of the second seco		Rappa statistic			100								
Result fait (highly offsite for confident)		TAX Belaniou Ind	to Stores										
214547 - Hales Zarolik		war the constant time				NEW BALLE		BARACS.	and and other				
21:46:43 - miles/Dend		Class Completers					2,000	11220					
21.47.00 - Hanna Jarroll		Displacity Learn	Concession in the local division of the loca	CONTRACT.		NAME ADDRESS		444.614	and some of				
214733 - New PARS		Manar abaulture as	and the second		0.41	EW.							
214010 runes.Decouverable		State manual apparent	and without		1.4								
The second secon		mistive electric	ve exem-			188 B							
Whether and a second state with the state of the	and in the second	more awithting we	general arrest	*	2408.48	140.9							
22(T) 23 - must man differently be	and an	Donesage of one	te 10,75 14		400	- C.							
division - raise Decrementative		Subal Mumbes of	instances.		0.000								
		over descaling the	manage war to										
					Sec. States		-	-	Tank Street				
			A	A costant	1.000	A	0.445		0.000	11.520	-		
			0.000	Q. INTHE	10.11	10.000		1.0	17.5110	0.400	10.0		
		maightand Arg.	11.321	0.880	- 2	0.125			0.000	0.572			
		new Conductors in											
			stallet as	12									
		55 F 3 A T 45	5										
	_	44 10 1 4 4 41	L										

Figure 16 Partition based Clustering

The second s	
(a) the training set	mean 0.400 LOUIS
Separated test and history	10. a 10.
Bernard and	
Companye get	and a second a second
California control evenances.	and a later a const
and the second	
12 Stere challers for securitation	4
The second second	areas 0.4012 0.4215
dana sarasan	and, day, 0.505 0.5171
Stet Time	
Read that (right-click for optional	man 0.913 6.923
264840 (284	and, dev. 0.328 0.4138
	1 **
	2010 0.007 0.0070 0.0070
	slass
	al Q.515 11.013
	- 12 45.4500 4.3400
	Trotal) 06.1020 (3.0342
	Time taken to build multil (full training data) : 0.33 seconds
	Midel and eralpetic on training set
	Clusterer Jartener
	0. 00 Y 000
	1 34 (198)
	beg 1188/1888

Figure 17 Particle Swam Optimization

The takened were were set of the terms of terms of the terms of terms of the terms of terms





Figure 19 Speech Recognition Pattern

The experiments consisted of two measurement types. First, we used very short acoustic impulses that contained a broad frequency spectrum to determine the filtering proper- ties of our asymmetric loop structure. Fourier analysis of the impulse response led us to discover the frequency ranges in which we could expect to measure negative group delays and hence superluminal acoustic group velocities. The secondpart of the experiment used narrow bandwidth acoustic pulses with a Gaussian envelope to demonstrate explicitly the negative group delay. In both experiments we compared transmission through a loop filter to transmission through a straight waveguide. The straight waveguide segment that re- placed the filter in the reference measurements was equal in length to the short arm of the loop filter (d_s) such that the shortest physical h between the source and detector was identical in both measurements.

The experimental configuration is shown schematically in Figure. 1. The computer sound card was used to produce an audio and a trigger signal on the respective channels of the stereo output. The audio signal was amplified and sent to the speaker (Alesis Monitor One) which was coupled to the input end of the waveguide. The audio signal was either an impulse or a narrow-band Gaussian envelope depending on the type of experiment being performed. At the output end of the waveguide, the transmitted audio signal was detected by condenser microphone (ACO 7013), amplified, and digitized by the analog-to-digital converter (IOtech 3000 USB). The trigger signal from the second stereo channel consisted of a narrow square pulse that was routed to the trigger input Figure 2.

Plot of the impulse as a function of time through the straight

wave- guide (upper plot) and through the loop filter (lower plot).in order to initiate data acquisition. To achieve high signal- to-noise ratio data we used an add-and-average technique described previously.[19] The loop filter was located in the cen-ter of a long (8 m) section of waveguide in order to provide a large time window free from multiple reflections from the discontinuities at the filter, speaker, and microphone.







































8 • 🖷 🖌 🔁 0 🙆 0 📰 –

.....



Pa District ex West Trave, DK51, 94 Orable, 2039 You Ori Store 1: Septer	- 181 - S
Fie Set Vew Digite Degren Smullare Analysis Cole Tools Help	Park Dourontation P
 Meir Tener Tener State (Mar Janes 1999) Mar Janes Tener State (Mar Janes 1999) Mar	4 1 II #
Interface 1	÷.
Konder Van Regeneratie van Regeneratie (Konder Va	×
Fine large Connect	Then Connect and Angelosted - B B Not Tool: Vew Smartish Lea 9 · 4.0 P B 3 · 4 · 3 · \$ 2
The Academ Torque	
Carryint311 fuinthink se.	
5 0 🔅 📣 🔿 🕑 🔷 🗳	- N it (* 115374) stype

Patients Link West Factore, DADIE and Concern 2007 And California Service	
(5 • (7) • (1) (4 → 2) (2) (3) • (2) • (2) • (2) • (2) • (2) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3) • (3	- A -
* <u>National Advances</u> 300 - <u>National Advances</u>	
C3 (Ten Angle) Taxake, Ten, Ngo Tenan, Tena	
Handler Varen Haller	* ₹
	Ref. Command and Angle (deg) = = = = = For Tools View Structure Hep •
(Yan Athatic, Sour	·····································
Stary As solds: Target. Capyright 2019 Mathibies, Inc.	
	-4 N R € LISSAM Nosten
A benefit in the first state of the intervent of the data state of the	
für filt Ves Datie Deger Sesatur Angels Cate Solt reip	
Net here, here, being date at a first finger in	A+000 #
Zymut, Turken, Skill, Jak Janaer, 2013 + Sgi fan Dri Super	
Tax_Angle Nucle_Yes_Angle 2 Naceh Tex_Angle 2 Naceh TextEdg 2 Naceh TextEngle 2 Nace	
Titacole Yording	×**
The large Connect >	That Command and Angle Ideg
1	He Tools Vew Smithten Hell
Verk Arbeiter, breuer	
Supergradit Statistics in	
6 9 🗯 🔺 🔿 😢 🖾 🗘	a 🐂 🕼 🌜 1151 AM
Pa District line West Justice (DAL) and Cotoner 2007/med bit scipils * Smalling	
Contraction productions and the first of the contraction of the contra	
The second	
Nacion Facella Nacion Facella Nacion Facella	×
Figure Terry Control of Cont	Tobb Commune and Angle (ang
Trace Around Topics	
Vandstaatel Taipe Engegis 201 Aantoinin me	
	A 2 1 4 100 M
	In the state of the the state of the sta
* RD +	 man to see the second se
Bate and Moseri and were	El Marile Yar, 730- El Marile Yar, 730- Marile
Image: Second and the contract and the second and the sec	You Toole Caroand
	C. 2005. WHITE AND A
	uni adulfi badi (175 répone)
MARTINE PROCESS	
Res Solice Lak Meri Larger, 1974 La Louise (FLOWer Dr. Songer 1: Season	Col
Northwest Teer from the set of th	
Chener Country - Control Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno An	
The function function of the f	× kut
In Facetor voor Aseasie Verwitze (dagen) Haarde Voor wat Vaarde Voor Wat Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voor Voo	Tent Correct et Astrono
The Correct	The Tools Year Direction Help
Tan Actavity, Israe	
Decurger 2011 M44 (New, Ne	
🔞 🗵 🎇 📣 🔘 🔮 🚳 🍅	at the life of the start start start start

The Constant Lat. Were Contrary Contrary 2010/14/0 Col Support Structure	
States of the state of the stat	
D. C. L. A. A. E. D. H. M. A. D. K	A COLORED AND A
HILLING AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES	4 + EIN 8
a Thurst rest fund an an argon	and the second se
The Ality State St	
Carconnert Sector / Ye Age task the Age task the Age	
12 Nacili Yes	AL T
AL BACKE SAN AND AND A SAN AND AND A SAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	×
Sanda Tav Robe (Segot) Nacion Tav and	
The Park	
Yaw Trees Command	This Coverantined Ange (inc)
Topae Converd	File Tools view Seedation resp *
	8-40×83-4-11-#12-
You Achustar Tangar	
YowAchator Teraue	
Normal way diamage in	
Confidence and Confidence and	
	الهريوري ويوجد وتعريد والم
lê 🗴 📁 📣 🔿 🚯 🔯 🍐	A TA BY 4 LEASAN
	36 0.000
Pa Dottwell and West Tammer, DASH, Rel Ceteber, 2015/1ae Cel Scoper 1- Simulary	
tie Est Vew Deplay Diagram Simulators Analysis Oxde Rost Help	😌 🕐 Seisen Discine Kanar 🖉 🖬
Summer And Management of the Providence	
O.C. SAAA MA.W. WAAAAAA	
Net htm_AND_Holder_201 = Ter CHistops 3	
The West Turber, Letter, Jel 19 (1997) The West of Science 🔻	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE
A month the second second	
13 Tak Arge Taola Yao Argo Taola Yao Argo C. Taorin Yangargo	
The comment of the control of the co	
hards fairful	L.A.
Har Navelle You Billion	× 1
Kacele Tax Rate (Segns)	1
Tau Anno Yaw Rote	
The former of th	Rith Command and Anale Lites
tan stra comme	The Date May Transfer of
Torgae Command	The love new sensition risks
	0.40×0>.4.7.7.85.
Yawaharana Jana	
Caryody 2011 Metholia Inc	
(S) (2) (2) (4) (3) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	4 N B 4 119 AM
	10(1/019
The Designed Lines and Lines a	
The Ant Yes During Depart Structure Analysis Links Term	
10.13.51 4 5 4 10 0.18 10 0 0 P 3 53.1 1 1 3 5 5	ALC: Norman Cha
Hind Safes (Mile McConte (Mile) the Official State of Context Context of	A 1 1 1 2 4
	8.4
13 Same	
13 Santan Fair Sant Santa S	1.1
C3 Carina ind. limits Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Ann	
Section State (Section State (S	- **
Contract real light for the li	That Compared and August 1000
Constant from the second constant from the sec	R Rus Comment or Artabilities
The first start st	
Constant form	
Contract for the second	#Rate Connectications long
	Reference and Aray Sing Reference and Aray Sing The Date of the Control of the Date of the
	The constant and has last The constant and has
Contract case of the second	
Image: Section of the section of t	
	Constrained and fairs line
Contract law of the la	
	Constraint and Anto ling C
	Constant and Anal Ray Constant and Ana
Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Se	
Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Section Image: Section Section Image: Section Section Section Image: Section Section Section Image: Section Secti	
Image: Section Sectin Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	
the second	
Image: Section of the section of t	
	The Connect of Mark Ing The Connect of Mark I







International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 184–No.21, July 2022









2. PROPOSED PATTERN CLASSIFICATION SCHEME

The proposed technique includes pre-processing the timeseries data using S-transform and various statistical features are derived from the S-matrix generated form Stransformation. Basically the features are in frequency domain. The extracted features are fed to the FES driven by a set of fuzzy rules. Each feature is characterized by a

2.1 S-TRANSFORM BASED FEATUREEXTRACTION

The time series data generated from various kinds of disturbance signals are preprocessed through the advanced signal processing technique such as S-transform. The multiresolution S-transform originates from two-advanced signal processing tools; the Short- time Fourier transform (STFT) and the Wavelet transform [11, 12, 13]. It can be viewed as a frequency dependent STFT or a phase corrected wavelet transform. Due to the frequency dependent window used for analysis of a signal data, the multiresolution S-transform has been proven in [11] to perform better than other time-frequency localization property computing both amplitude and phase spectrum of discrete data samples. It was shown in [12] that the S-transform would be useful for

$$S(t,f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) w^*(\tau - t, f) \cdot e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau$$
(1)
where S (t, f) = $\frac{|f|}{\infty/2\pi} \cdot e^{-tf^2/2\alpha^2}$ (2)

and * stands for complex-conjugate. The parameter sets the width of the window for a given frequency. For small, the time resolution improves and the frequency resolution deteriorates. The reverse happens when it is increased to a larger value. S-transform produces a multiresolution analysis like a bank of filters with constant relative bandwidth. The integration of S-transform over time results in the Fourier spectrum that is

(f) =
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(t, f) dt$$
 (3)
and for the Gaussian window

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(t, f) dt = 1 \tag{4}$$

The original signal can be obtained from S-transform as

$$h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(\tau, f) d\tau \right\} e^{j2\pi f t} df$$
(5)

Another way to represent S-transform is an amplitude and phase correction of the CWT (continuous wavelet transform) as

$$S(t,f) = \sqrt{|f|} / 2\pi\alpha e^{j2\pi ft} WT(t,f)$$
(6)

Where the wavelet transform is given by

WT(t, f)
$$\sqrt{\frac{|f|}{\alpha}}$$
, $e^{\frac{-t^2f^2}{2\alpha^2}}$, $e^{j2\pi ft}$ (7)

The equation(6) shows that the time-frequency resolution is distributed in the time frequency plane like wavelet transform but a direct link with Fourier transform exists

The term H[n] is the DFT of the time series h(t) and can be computed using FFT algorithm

$$S(j, n) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} H[m + n]G(m, n)e^{\frac{12\pi m}{N}}$$
 (8)

where
$$G(m,n) = e^{\frac{-2\pi^2 m^2 a^2}{n^2}}$$
 (9)

and j,m and n = 0, 1, ..., n-1.

The computational efficiency of FFT is used to calculate the Stransform and the total number of operations is N (N+NlogN).

The amplitude and phase spectrum of S-transform are givenby

A = abs(S(n, j))

(10)

A novel approach for time series data classification using Fuzzy Expert System (FES) is presented in this paper. The power disturbance signals are considered as time-series data for the proposed study. The time-series data is pre- processed through the advanced signal processing technique such as Stransform and the features obtained are fed to the designed FES for classification. Other indices for accurate classification such as certainty factors and support values are derived and the obtained results shows the robustness of the propose technique. Also the FES outputs are optimized using PSO for further enhancement of the

Time series data.

The S-transform output shown in the figures includes the signal, S-contours, time-frequency contours, and amplitude and frequency contents. The S-contours provides the information regarding the time-localization of the time series data. As shown in the figures, the time- localization takes place in frequency domain instantly with the disturbance in the time scale. The aptitude information is also calculated for the S-matrix resulted from the S- transform. It shows the amplitude variation in the time-series data is calculates from the S-matrix to know the frequency content of the time-series data. As seen in the figures, the contains only one peak in the frequency characteristics while in case of transients there are two peaks with higher frequency values.classification results. The proposed technique is also tested for features

Due to the frequency dependent window used for analysis of a signal data, the multiresolution S- transform has been proven in [11] to perform better than other time-frequency transforms. Furthermore, it provides superior time-frequency localization property computing both amplitude and phase spectrum of discrete data samples. It was shown in [12] that the S-transform would be useful for classifying power signal time series disturbances.

The multiresolution S-transform originates from twoadvanced signal processing tools; the Short- time Fourier transform (STFT) and the Wavelet transform [11, 12, 13]. It can be viewed as a frequency dependent STFT or a phase corrected wavelet transform. Due to the frequency dependent window used for analysis of a signal data, the multiresolution S- transform has been proven in [11] to perform better than other time-frequency transforms. Furthermore, it provides superior time-frequency localization property computing both amplitude and phase spectrum of discrete data samples. It was shown in [12] that the S-transform would be useful for classifying power signal time series disturbances.

2.2 PRE-PROCESSING OF TIME-SERIES DATA THROUGH S-TRANSFORM



(a) Time series data and corresponding S-transform

The S-transform output shown in the figures includes the signal, S-contours, time-frequency contours, and amplitude and frequency contents. The S-contours provides the information regarding the time-localization of the time series data. As shown in the figures, the time- localization takes place in frequency domain instantly with the disturbance in the time scale. The aptitude information is also calculated for the S-matrix resulted from the S- transform. It shows the amplitude variation in the

time- series data. Also the frequency content of the time-series data is calculates from the S-matrix to know the frequency content of the time-series data. As seen in the figures, the contains only one peak in the frequency characteristics while in case of transients there are two peaks with higher frequency values. This indicates sag is a low frequency phenomena which only contains one peak with other values nearly zero. But in case of transients, the more than one number of peaks indicates presence of higher harmonics in

the time-series data. This provides vital information for father analysis

2.3 FEATURE EXTRACTION

Four features were extracted from the S-transform output. They are:

1. F1 = max(A) + min(A) - max(B) - min(B). where A is the amplitude versus time graph from the S-matrix under disturbance and B is the amplitude versus time graph of the S-matrix without disturbance.

- 2. F2= Standard deviation of max (abs(s)).
- 3. F3= Energy in the S-transform output.
- 4. F4= Total harmonic distortion (THD).
- 5. F5= Estimated frequency hours the maximum amplitude

Disturbances	Fl	F2	F3	F4	F5
Normal	1.002				
Sag (60%)	0.593	0.053	0.031	0.0312	50.0
Swell (50%)	1.50	0.0129	0.076	0.015	50.00
Momentary Interruption (MI) (5%)	0.0724	0.035	0.019	0.0350	50.00
Harmonics (0% $3^{rd} + 10\% 5^{th}$)	1.0	0.0339	0.0556	0.141	50.00
Sag with Harmonic (60%)	0.601	.0228	0.0408	0.1139	50.00
Swell with Harmonic (50%)	1.5	.0219	0.079	0.1155	50.00
Flicker (5 Hz, 4%)	0.987	.0168	0.026	0.0186	55.00
Notch + harmonics	0.939	0.131	0.0529	0.136	56.25
Spike + harmonics	1.065	0.141	0.0627	0.1308	56.25
Transient (low frequency)	0.493	0.138	0.0163	0.01	705.00
Transient (high frequency)		0.149	0.014	0.043	2520.00

Table I Features Extracted From S-Transform

Table 2. Features Extracted From S-transform with SNR 20DB

Disturbances	Fl	F2	F3	F4	F5
Normal	0.9963	0.001	0.052	0.028	50.00
Sag (60%)	0.591	0.022	0.039	0.027	50.00
Swell (50%)	1.503	0.012	0.076	0.029	50.00
Momentary Interruption (MI)	0.070	0.0387	0.0323	0.044	50.00
Harmonics	1.032	0.050	0.064	0.25	50.00
Sag with Harmonic (60%)	0.601	0.0228	0.0408	0.1139	50.00
Swell with Harmonic (50%)	1.500	0.0219	0.079	0.1155	50.00
Flicker (4%, 5 Hz)	0.998	0.0209	0.027	0.1159	55.00
Notch + harmonics	0.940	0.1275	0.0531	0.198	50.00
Spike + harmonics	1.072	0.141	0.066	0.204	50.00
Transient (low	1.000	0.1473	0.0148	0.0566	440.00
frequency)					
Transient (high frequency)	1.0384	0.155	0.014	0.068	3315.00

Two nonstationary time series databases like sag and transient which occur very frequently in power networks are given in a separate table to highlight the variations in the feature values for the same event:

2.4 FUZZY EXPERT SYSTEM (FES)

A Fuzzy Expert System has two key elements, (i) fuzzy sets and (ii) fuzzy rule base. A fuzzy set can be fully defined by its membership functions. Fuzzy rules offer human-like reasoning capabilities and provide transparent interface mechanism. In the proposed pattern

classification technique, the features extracted from S-transform, are fed to the FES with trapezoidal and Gaussian membership functions. A fuzzy rule base is developed for exact classification of the time-series data for 12 classes. The following sections deal with the membership function (MF) and fuzzy rule base. In classical fuzzy expert system the knowledge base constitute a set of rules derived from the statistical knowledge pre-processing the time-series data. The knowledge base, however, needs to be adapted with changes in the operating conditions, addition of spurious disturbances, and noise that might be superimposed over the data. This requires addition of new rules if necessary and a correct choice of membership functions to analyze the data.

The fuzzy if-then rules are in the following form for the ndimensional pattern recognition problem:

The consequent Class Ci with classification factor CFi, where R, is the ith rule of the fuzzy rule base, $x = (X \ 1, X2,$

.....Xn.,) is n-dimensional pattern vector and A, is an antecedent fuzzy set, Ci the consequent class out of N classes, and classification factor $\mathbf{CF}i$ in the interval [0, 1] is the certainty factor also termed as rule weight. In data mining problem, two measures known as confidence and support are used for finding the association rule in the form

 $c = \left[\sum_{p} \mu_{Ai}(x_{p})\right] / \left[\sum_{p=1} \mu_{Ai}(x_{p})\right]$ (19) where p denotes the pth pattern and m is the total number of patterns used for classification.

The compatibility grade of the pth pattern isobtained as

$$\mu_{Ai}(x_p) = \min\{\mu_{Ai1}(x_{p1}), \mu_{Ai2}(x_{p2}) \dots \dots \mu_{Ain}(x_{pn})\}$$
(12)

and $\mu_{Ai}(x_p)$ is the membership value of the $\mu_{Ai}(x_p)$

to the set
$$A_i$$
, $p \in class C_i$.
The support s of a fuzzy rule indicates the grade of coverage by $(A_i \rightarrow C_i)$

(consequent) is given by
$$s = \frac{\sum P \in class C_2 \mu_{Al}(x_D)}{m}$$
 (13)

To obtain the consequent class C_i from the fuzzy rule base Ri, the confidence measure is obtained from the antecedentfuzzy sets as

$$\mathbf{c}_{i} = \max\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{N}\right) \quad (14)$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N denotes the recognized classes of non-stationary time-series data.

The expression for support s is obtained in the same way as

For finding the classification performance of the fuzzy rulebase, it is envisaged to use a single winner rule methods.

A single winner rule is selected from the set of

 $x_{p_i} = classifying(s_{p_1}, s_{p_2}, ..., s_{p_n})$ as $\mu_{A_n}(x_p) \cdot CF_q$

$$\max\left\{ \mu_{A_q}(\mathbf{x}_p). CF_q | R_q \in S \right\}$$
(16)

The single winner rule posses the highest compatibility index in comparison to other rules in the rule base.

However, if two rules have the same compatibility index, the pattern is not classified.

The certainty factor or the rule weight is found as

$$CF_q = c - \overline{c}$$
 (17)
where c is given by equation (17)

and
$$\bar{c} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq C_q}}^{N} C(A_q \to class j)$$
 (20)

2.5 MEMBERSHIP FUNCTIONS

ć

For generating fuzzy rules, two types of membership functions namely trapezoidal and gaussian are used forclassification.



Figure 20 Trapezoidal Member Function



Figure 21 Triangular Member Function

I. Gaussian MF

In a similar way, the Gaussian membership function is defined as in following figure.

where $\alpha 1$ is the mean of the ith attribute value of *x*pi, of class c patterns and σi is the standard deviation.



Figure 22 Gaussian Member Function

II. THE FOLLOWING FUZZY IF-THEN RULES ARE USED FOR BUILDING THE FUZZY RULE BASE LEADING TO A FUZZY EXPERT SYSTEM α

Fuzzy Rule Base

Rule-1 If F1 is A3 and F2 is B1 and F3 is C2 and F4 is D2, then CL1 with CF1

Rule-2 If F1 is A1 and F2 is B1 and F4 is D2, then CL2 with CF2.

Rule-3 If F1 is A4 and F2 is B1 and F4 is D2, then CL3 with CF3 $\,$

Rule-4 If F1 is Al and F2 is B1, then CL4 with CF4

Rule-5 If F1 is A3 and F2 is B2 and F4 is D3, then CL5 with CF5.

Rule-6 If F1 is A2 and F2 is B1 and F4 is D3 and F5 is E2, then CL6 with CF6

Rule-7 If F1 is A4 and F2 is B1 and F4 is D3 and F5 is E2, then CL7 with CF7

Rule-8 If F1 is Al and F2 is B1 and F4 is D3, then CL8 with CF8 $\,$

Rule-9 If F2 is B2 and F3 is C1 and F4 is D2 and F5 is E3, then CL9 with CF9

Rule-10 If F1 is A3 and F3 is C2, then CL 10 with CF 10 Rule-11 If F1 is A2 and F2 is B2 and F3 is C2, then CL11

with CF 11

Rule-12 If F1 is A3 and F2 is B1 and F3 is C1 and F4 is D3 and F5 is E2, then CL12 with CF12

III. OUTPUT FROM FUZZY INFERENCE SYSTEM

Rule-I output $\mu = \min(\mu_1 a_3, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 a_2, \mu_1 d_2)$ Rule-2 output 2 = min ($\mu_1 a_1, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_2$) Rule-3 output 3 = min ($\mu_1 a_1, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_2$) Rule-4 output $4\pi = \min(\mu_1 a_1, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_2)$ Rule-5 output $4\pi = \min(\mu_1 a_1, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_2)$ Rule-6 output $4\pi = \min(\mu_1 a_2, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_3, \mu_1 b_2)$ Rule-6 output $4\pi = \min(\mu_1 a_2, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_3, \mu_1 b_2)$ Rule-7 aroutput 7 = min ($\mu_1 a_2, \mu_1 b_1, \mu_1 d_3, \mu_1 b_2$) Rule-8 output 8 = min ($\mu_1 a_1, \mu_1 b_2$) µf2b1, µf4d2)

Rule-9 output 9 = min (μ f2b2, μ f3c1, μ f4d2, μ f5e3)

Rule- 10 output 10= min (μ f1a3, μ f3c2)

Rule- 11 output 11= min (μ f1a2, μ f2b2, μ f3c2)

Rule- 12 output 12= min (µf1a3, µf3c1, µf4d3, µf5e2)

The above rule outputs for p-numbers of patterns are used along with equation to identify the classes of the time series events. The Fuzzy Expert System provides the output for corresponding class with some absolute value. But there may be possibility of small variations in the absolute value. But there may be possibility of small variations in the absolute value of the output which create confusion for the automatic recognition system to take proper decision with respect to the class and no-class. Generally the one output among 12 values should be higher showing the corresponding class while others should be comparatively low. But the absolute values of the other 11 outputs (may be little bit higher) may create problem for drawing a decision boundary for class

$$y(t+1) = \begin{cases} y_i(t) & \text{if } f(x_i(t+1)) \ge f(y_i(t)) \\ x_i(t+1) & \text{if } f(x_i(t+1))(f(y_i(t))) \end{cases}$$

(22)

and no-class. Thus in the proposed system, the corresponding outputs from FES are optimized using Particle Swarm Optimization technique which results nearly '1' for the class and nearly '0' no-class. This makes the designed automatic system more reliable and accurate to decide for classification of time-series data. The algorithm maintains a population of particles, where each particle represents a potential solution to the optimization problem. Each particle finds a position in the 'N' dimensional feature space and moves in the multidimensional feature space to find the best optimized result. The position of the particle is decided as follows: $x_{i=}$ The current position of the ith particle

vi = The current velocity of the ith particle

 Y_i = The personal best position of the ith

particleThen the particle position is adjusted as

 $V_{i, k} (t + 1) = WV_{i, k} (t) + C1 r1, k (t)(Y_{i, k} (t) - X_{i, k} (t) + C2 r2, k$

(t) - Xi,k (t)

xi (t+1) = xi(t) + Vi (t+1)(21)

where 'i' is the particle and k = 1, ..., N. 'w' is the inertiaweight, c_1 and c_2 are the acceleration constants.

The velocity based on the following

(i) Fraction of the previous velocity

(ii)Distance of the particle from the personal best position(p-best).

(iii) Distance of the particle from best particle found (g-best).

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Different disturbances with corresponding classes are given as follows

CL 1	\rightarrow	Normal
CL2	\rightarrow	Sag
CL3	\rightarrow	Swell
CL4	\rightarrow	Momentary Interruption (MI)
CL5	\rightarrow	Harmonics
CL6	\rightarrow	Sag with Harmonic
CL7	\rightarrow	Swell with Harmonic
CL8	\rightarrow	Flicker
CL9	\rightarrow	Notch + Harmonics
CL10	→	Spike + Harmonics
CL11	→	Transient (low frequency)
CL12	\rightarrow	Transient (high frequency)

The simulation results for class and certainty factor are depicted in Table.III and Table.IV respectively. The classes are defined against the time series data as mentioned above. For CL1 (Normal), the classification results obtained form FES (CL1) is 0.85. But for other patterns the class results are less than 0.3. Similarly for CL2 (Sag), the FES result (CL2) is 0.9, while other results are comparatively very low indicating non-class. For momentary interruptions the CL4 is 0.8 and for flicker CL8 is 0.75, which indicates classification. Similar observations are made with transient (high frequency) and transient (low frequency). Another index derived known as Certainty Factor (Table.4), which is also a measure of the classification results. For sag, the CF1 is 0.7 and for other patterns CF is less than even -0.2. For sag and swell, the CF2 and CF3 are 0.75 and 0.7 respectively. Similar observations are made with other timeseries data where the Certainty Factors are highly +ve for classification and -ve for no-class.Table.V provides the support values which is the average classification value over 100 cases for each time series disturbances. For sag and swell, the support values are 0.85 (CL1). and 0.8 (CL2)

Table 3. Class	ification Fact	tors for Diffe	rent Classes
----------------	----------------	----------------	--------------

Time Series Data	CLI	CL2	CL3	CL4	CL5	CL6	CL7	CL8	CL9	CL10	CL11	CL12
CF1	0.85	0.1	0.17	0.2	0.22	0.18	0.31	0.13	0.02	0.11	0.18	0.21
CF2	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.22	0.21	0.07	0
CF3	0.11	0.2	0.89	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.14	0.15	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.19
CF4	0.12	0.1	0.14	0.8	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.13

respectively. For other disturbances the support values are depicted as in Table.5. Thus the support value provides the robustness of the FES system considering all possible conditions of the time series disturbances.

Table.6 provides the Class and Certainty Factors obtained from FES for trapezoidal and Gaussian membershipfunctions respectively. The Class obtained sag is 0.85 for Gaussian MF, while for trapezoidal is 0.80. Similarly the Certainty Factor obtained from Gaussian MF is 0.7, while form trapezoidal MF is 0.65. It is observed that the Gaussian MF provides better Class and Certainty Factors compared to trapezoidal MF. Table.VII provides the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for the optimizing the class for different timeseries data.

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 184– No.21, July 2022

CF5	0.15	0.08	0.17	0.2	0.91	0.3	0.18	0.21	0.3	0.33	0.18	0.17
CF6	0.2	0.09	0.32	0.31	0.27	0.95	0.23	0.22	0.01	0.09	0.3	0.1
CF7	0.3	0.11	0.16	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.99	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.33	0.34
CF8	0.32	0.32	0.17	0.16	0.22	0.18	0.1	0.75	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.19
CF9	0.33	0.31	0.19	0.34	0.23	0.19	0.14	0.27	0.83	0.3	0.2	0.21
CF10	0.1	0.24	0.21	0.33	0.19	0.2	0.3	0.25	0.19	0.97	0.15	0.21
CF11	0.2	0.23	0.22	0.32	0.33	0.22	0.2	0.23	0.18	0.34	0.9	0.23
CF12	0.17	0.22	0.33	0.31	0.14	0.25	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.33	0.3	0.97

Table.4 Certainty Factors for Different Classes

Time-series data	CLI	CL2	CL3	CL4	CL5	CL6	CL7	CL8	CL9	CLIO	CL11	CL12
CF1	0.7	-0.13	-0.05	-0.02	-0.003	-0.04	0.1	-0.1	-0.22	-0.12	-0.04	-0.01
CF2	-0.11	0.75	0.1	-0.008	-0.1	-0.08	-0.06	-0.11	-0.01	0.002	-0.15	-0.22
CF3	-0.14	-0.04	0.7	-0.15	-0.04	0.06	-0.11	-0.1	-0.03	-0.036	-0.01	-0.06
CF4	-0.07	-0.095	-0.05	0.668	-0.045	-0.008	-0.019	-0.07	-0.1	-0.08	-0.13	-0.06
CF5	-0.125	-0.2	-0.1	-0.07	0.7	0.03	-0.09	-0.06	0.03	0.07	-0.09	-0.1
CF6	-0.06	-0.018	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.75	-0.03	-0.04	-0.27	-0.18	0.04	-0.1
CF7	0.01	-0.18	-0.13	-0.1	-0.08	-0.1	0.7	-0.2	-0.09	0.01	0.05	0.06
CF8	0.09	0.09	-0.07	-0.08	-0.01	-0.05	-0.14	0.56	-0.13	-0.12	-0.07	-0.04
CF9	0.03	0.01	-0.11	0.04	-0.07	-0.11	-0.1	-0.02	0.58	0.005	-0.1	-0.09
CF10	-0.19	-0.04	-0.07	0.05	-0.09	-0.08	0.02	-0.03	-0.09	0.75	-0.14	-0.07
CF11	-0.1	-0.07	-0.08	0.02	0.03	-0.08	-0.1	-0.07	-0.13	0.04	0.65	-0.7
CF12	-0.13	-0.07	-0.06	0.02	-0.16	-0.04	-0.14	-0.07	-0.13	0.04	0.01	0.74

Table.5 Support Values for Different(classes (100 cases each))

	-
Time-series data	Support values
CF1	0.81
CF2	0.85
CF3	0.8
CF4	0.75
CF5	0.83
CF6	0.9
CF7	0.9

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 184– No.21, July 2022

CF8	0.7
CF9	0.79
CF10	0.77
CF11	0.81
CF12	0.8

Table.6 Compression between Trapezoidal and Gaussian MF

Time-series data	Gau	ssian MF	Trape	zoidal MF
	CL	CF	CL	CF
CF1	0.85	0.7	0.80	0.65
CF2	0.9	0.75	0.85	0.69
CF3	0.89	0.7	0.81	0.62
CF4	0.8	0.668	0.74	0.60
CF5	0.91	0.7	0.82	0.62
CF6	0.95	0.75	0.85	0.68
CF7	0.99	0.7	0.88	0.64
CF8	0.75	0.56	0.69	0.51
CF9	0.83	0.58	0.75	0.52
CF1 0	0.97	0.75	0.87	0.68
CF1 1	0.9	0.65	0.79	0.59
CF1 2	0.97	0.74	0.89	0.71

Table.7 Results and Comparison from PSO Based Optimization

Time-series data	Gaussian MF PS	SO optimization	Gaussian MF Without optimization				
	CL	CF	CL	CF			
CL1	0.97	0.95	0.859	0.75			
CL2	1	0.93	0.95	0.8			
CL3	1	0.93	0.95	0.75			
CL4	0.98	0.97	0.877	0.73			
CL5	1	0.91	0.97	0.75			
CL6	1	0.97	0.95	0.77			
CL7	1	0.92	0.99	0.70			
CL8	0.97	0.91	0.8	0.76			
CL9	0.99	0.95	0.95	0.7			
CL10	1	0.99	0.98	0.8			

CL11	1	0.899	0.93	0.79
CL12	1	0.93	0.99	0.79

3. CONCLUSION

A novel approach for time series data classification using Fuzzy Expert System (FES) is presented in this paper. The power disturbance signals are considered as time-series data for the proposed study. The time-series data is pre- processed through the advanced signal processing technique such as S-transform and the features obtained are fed to the designed FES for classification. Other indices for accurate classification such as certainty factors and support values are derived and the obtained results shows the robustness of the propose technique. Also the FES outputs are optimized using PSO for further enhancement of the classification results. The proposed technique is also tested for features

4. FEATURE SCOPE

The time-series data is pre- processed through the advanced signal processing technique such as S-transform and the features obtained arefed to the designed FES for classification.

5. REFERENCES

- S. Mitra, S.K. Pal, "Data Mining in Soft Computing Framework: A Survey", IEEE Trans.*Neural Networks*, vol. 13, pp3-14, Jan 2002.
- [2] D. Buras et al, "Wavelet and neural structure: A new tool for diagnostic of power system disturbances," *IEEE Trans*On Industry Applications, vol.37, No. 1, pp. 184-190, 2001.
- [3] C.H. Lee, J.S. Lee, J.O. Kim, and S.W. Nam, "Feature Vector Extraction for the Automatic Classification of Power Quality Disturbances", 1997 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp.2681-2685, 1997.
- [4] B. Perunicic, M. Malini, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, "Power Quality Disturbance Detection and Classification Using Wavelets and Artificial Neural Networks," Proc. International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power, pp.77-82, 1998.
- [5] A.M. Gouda, M.M.A. Salama, M.R. Sultan, and A.Y. Chikhani, "Power quality detection and classification using wavelet multiresolution signal decomposition," IEEE Trans On Power Delivery, No. 14, pp. 1469-1476, 1996.
- [6] A.M. Gouda, S.H. Kanoun, M.M.A. Salama and A.Y. Chikhani, "Wavelet-based signalprocessing for disturbance classification and measurement, "IEEProc-

Gener.Transm.Distrib, Vol. 149, No. 3, May 2002.

- [7] A.M. Gouda, M.M.A. Salama, S.H. Kanoun, and A.Y. Chikhani, "Pattern Recognition Applications for Power System Disturbance Classification", IEEE Trans. On Power Delivery, Vol. 17, No.3, pp.677-682, 2002.
- [8] A. Elmitwally, S. Farghal, M.Kandil, S.Abdelkader and M. Elkateb, "Proposed Wavelet- Neurofuzzy Combined System for Power Quality Violations Detection und Diagnosis," IEEE Proc-Gener.Transm.Distrib, Vol 148. No. 1, January 2001.
- [9] L. Daubechies, Ten Lectures on Wavelets, SIAM, Phihodelphia, PA 1992.
- [10] G. Strang and T.Q. Nguyen, Wavelets and Filter Banks, Wellesley-Cambridge Press, Cambridge MA, 1996.
- [11] R.G. Stockwell, L. Mansinha, and R.P Lowe, "Localization of the Complex Spectrum: The S-Transform," IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc, vol. 44, No. 4,1996, pp 998-1001.
- [12] P.K. Dash, B.K. Panigrahi, G. Panda, "Power Quality-Analysis using S-transform", to appear in IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, 2002
- [13] G.Livanos, N.Ranganathan, J.Jiang, "Heart sound analysis using the S-transform", IEEE Computer-Cardiology, Vol.27, 2000,pp.587-592.
- [14] S. Mitra and S.K. Pal, "Multilayer Perceptron, Fuzzy Sets, and Classification, IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks, vol. 3, No. 5,pp 683 -697, 1992.
- [15] S. Mitra and S.K. Pal "Fuzzy Multilayer Perceptron, Inferencing and Rule Generation", IEEE Trans on Neural Networks,vol.6,No1,pp51-63,1995

6. AUTHOR'S PROFILE

Prasannajit Dash received his B.E. degree in Computer Science and Engg. In 1994 from Orissa Engineering College, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India and the M.Tech. degree in Information Technology from College of Engineering and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. He was a senior lecturer with Department of Information Technology in Orissa Engineering College, Bhubaneswar from 2008 to 2012. He is assistant professor from 2012. His research interests include Image Processing, Data Mining & Warehousing, Embedded System and Internet Web Technology. Currently he is working on Support Vector Machine in field of Neural Networks