Stochastic Analysis of Markov Chain Model with different Types of Repair Facility

Manoj Kumar Department of Statistics DAV College, U.P. - 251001, India

ABSTRACT

The paper deals with cost-benefit and reliability analysis of two non-identical units considering all random variables are statistically independent, by using regenerative point technique. Initially, one unit is operative and other unit is warm standby, a single repair facility is always available with the system to perform all repair activities. The failure time distribution of both units taken as an exponential distribution with different parameters whereas all repair time distributions are taken as general. Various important characteristics of reliability like steady-state availability, mean sojourn time, mean time to system failure (MTSF), busy period and costbenefit of the system have been studied.

Keywords

Two non-identical units, Transition probability, Mean sojourn time, MTSF, Availability, Busy period and Cost-benefit analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

The configuration of the stochastic model is concerned with the development and application of the technique for increasing the system efficiency by reducing the frequency of failures and minimizing the high maintenance cost. The configuration and design of industrial systems such as communication system, satellite system, power plant system mechanical engineering, aeronautical engineering software engineering and gaming systems are more complex to design in the current scenario. Two-unit redundant system model has been analyzed widely in the literature of reliability by several authors, such as El-Said [1], Haggag [2], Mahmoud and Moshref [3] and Kumar et al. [4] due to their vital existence in modern business and industries. The reliability of the system may further be enhanced by introducing the concept of repair and preventive maintenance. Malik [5] in the field of stochastic theory analyzed redundant system models under different sets of assumptions such as fails unit need minor or major repair facility, availability of repairman for repair facility, priority and non-priority unit and imperfect switching device etc. by using regenerative point technique. Kumar and Kadyan [6] studied a system of non-identical units with degradation and replacement initially original unit is operative and other is kept as spare in cold standby. The failure time of the units are exponentially distributed whereas the distributions of inspection time, replacement time of the duplicate unit and repair time of the original/duplicate/degraded unit are taken as arbitrary with different probability density functions. Also, Bhardwaj et al. [7] discussed a two non-identical system with the concept; the standby system goes under inspection to check the feasibility for its maintenance or replacement after completion of prespecified time. Mahmoud and Mashrefa [8] deal with the study of the stochastic analysis of a two unit cold standby system considering hardware failure, human error failure and preventive maintenance. In the field of reliability theory,

Shiv Kumar, PhD Department of Statistics J.V. College, U.P. - 250611, India

many researchers including Borzadaran and Asadi [9], Sultan and Moshref [10] and Pundir *et al.* [11] have considered two or more units repairable reliability models by using continuous distribution for different types of repair mode.

The present paper deals with two non-identical units have been designed with the concept of single repairman play triple role fault detection, minor repair or major repair of the failed unit. Initially, one unit is operative and other unit is warm standby. If operative unit failed, standby unit comes in operative mode by using switching device and a repairman attend the failed unit immediately to detect whether the failed unit need minor or major repair whose probabilities are fixed as 'p' and 'q' (p+q=1) after diction of failure type the same repairman start the repair of the failed unit. A repaired unit always works as well as new. The system is considered to be in failure state if both units are in failure mode. The mathematical expression of reliability measures such as steady-state availability, reliability, availability, mean time to system failure (MTSF), busy period in different repair facility and cost benefit function have been derived. The graphical representation shows the behaviors of MTSF, availability and cost benefit at the different sets of values.

2. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND ASSUMPTIONS

i) The system consists of two non-identical units. Initially one is operative and other is warm standby.

ii) Each unit has two possible modes: normal (N) and total failure (F).

iii) The failure time distributions are taken exponential distribution with different parameters. Whereas the fault detection, minor repair and major repair time distribution are taken as general.

iv) There is a single repair facility with the system which plays triple role of fault detection, minor repair and major repair.

v) As soon as a unit fails, it is attended by the repairman immediately. The repairman detects whether the failed unit need minor repair or major repair whose probabilities are fixed as 'p' and 'q' (p+q=1).

vi) The switching device used to put the standby unit into operation is always perfect and instantaneous.

vii) The system failure occurs when both the units are in F-mode.

viii) A repaired unit always works as good as new.

3. NOTATION AND SYMBOLS

α_1 / α_2	Constant failure rate of operating unit- 1/unit-2
$F_1(\bullet)/F_2(\bullet)$	cdf of time to fault detection of a failed unit-1/unit-2
$G_1(\bullet)/G_2(\bullet)$	cdf of time to minor repair of a failed unit-1/unit-2
$H_1(\bullet)/H_2(\bullet)$	cdf of time to major repair of a failed unit-1/unit-2

р	Probability that a failed unit requires minor repair
q	Probability that a failed unit requires major repair
N_o^1/N_o^2	Unit-1/unit-2 is in Normal (N) mode
N_s^2	Unit-2 is in standby (S) mode
F_d^1/F_d^2	A unit-1/unit-2 is in total failure mode (F) and is under fault detection
F_r^1/F_r^2	A unit-1/unit-2 is in total failure mode (F) and is under minor repair
F_R^1/F_R^2	A unit-1/unit-2 is in total failure mode (F) and is under major repair
F_w^1 / F_w^2	A unit-1/unit-2 is in total failure mode (F) and is waiting for fault detection
©	Symbol for ordinary convolution.
*,~	Symbols for Laplace and Laplace- Stielties transforms.

Considering the above symbols, we have the following states of the system:

$$\begin{split} & \textbf{Up States} \\ & S_0 = \left(N_o^l, N_s^2\right), \qquad S_1 = \left(F_d^l, N_o^2\right), \qquad S_2 = \left(F_r^l, N_o^2\right), \\ & S_3 = \left(F_R^l, N_o^2\right), \qquad S_7 = \left(N_o^l, F_d^2\right), \qquad S_8 = \left(N_o^l, F_r^2\right), \\ & S_9 = \left(N_o^l, F_R^2\right) \end{split}$$

Failed States

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{S}_{4} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{d}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{w}^{2}\right), \qquad \mathbf{S}_{5} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{r}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{w}^{2}\right), \qquad \mathbf{S}_{6} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{R}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{w}^{2}\right), \\ & \mathbf{S}_{10} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{w}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{d}^{2}\right), \qquad \mathbf{S}_{11} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{w}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{r}^{2}\right), \qquad \mathbf{S}_{12} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{w}^{1}, \mathbf{F}_{R}^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

The transition diagram of the system model is shown in Figure. 1

4. TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

Simple probabilistic reasoning, the non-zero elements of $Q_{ii}(t)$ may be obtained in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{01}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{1} e^{-\alpha_{1} u} du = \left(1 - e^{-\alpha_{1} t}\right) \\ \text{Similarly,} \\ Q_{12}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} p e^{-\alpha_{2} u} dF_{1}(u) \qquad Q_{13}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} q e^{-\alpha_{2} u} dF_{1}(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{15}^{(4)}(t) &= p_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_2 v}) dF_1(v) & Q_{16}^{(4)}(t) = q_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_2 v}) dF_1(v) \\ Q_{20}(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_2 u} dG_1(u) & Q_{27}^{(5)}(t) = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_2 v}) dG_1(v) \\ Q_{30}(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_2 u} dH_1(u) & Q_{37}^{(6)}(t) = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_2 v}) dH_1(v) \\ Q_{57}(t) &= \int_0^t dG_1(u) & Q_{67}(t) = \int_0^t dH_1(u) \\ Q_{78}(t) &= p \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_1 u} dF_2(u) & Q_{79}(t) = q \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_1 u} dF_2(u) \\ Q_{7,11}^{(10)}(t) &= p \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_1 v}) dF_2(v) & Q_{712}^{(10)}(t) = q \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_1 v}) dF_2(v) \\ Q_{80}(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_1 u} dG_2(u) & Q_{81}^{(11)}(t) = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\alpha_1 v}) dG_2(v) \end{aligned}$$

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 184– No.25, August 2022

$$Q_{90}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\alpha_{1}u} dH_{2}(u) \qquad \qquad Q_{91}^{(12)}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} (1 - e^{-\alpha_{1}v}) dH_{2}(v)$$
$$Q_{11,1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dG_{2}(u) \qquad \qquad Q_{12,1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dH_{2}(u) \qquad (1 - 21)$$

The steady state transition probabilities can be obtained from (1-21) by using:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_{ij} = \lim_{t \to \infty} Q_{ij}(t) & \text{and} & p_{ij}^{(k)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} Q_{ij}^{(k)}(t) \\ \\ \text{Thus,} & p_{01} = \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1} e^{-\alpha_{1} t} dt = 1 & p_{12} = p \tilde{F}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) \\ p_{13} = q \tilde{F}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) & p_{15}^{(4)} = p \Big[1 - \tilde{F}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) \Big] \\ p_{16}^{(4)} = q \Big[1 - \tilde{F}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) \Big] & p_{20} = \tilde{G}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) \\ p_{27}^{(5)} = 1 - \tilde{G}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) & p_{30} = \tilde{H}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) \\ p_{37}^{(6)} = 1 - \tilde{H}_{1}(\alpha_{2}) & p_{57} = 1 \\ p_{67} = 1 & p_{78} = p \tilde{F}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) \\ p_{7,12} = q \Big[1 - \tilde{F}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) \Big] & p_{80} = \tilde{G}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) \\ p_{7,12}^{(10)} = q \Big[1 - \tilde{F}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) \Big] & p_{90} = \tilde{H}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) \\ p_{91}^{(12)} = 1 - \tilde{H}_{2}(\alpha_{1}) & p_{11,1} = 1 \\ p_{12,1} = 1 \end{array}$$

It can be easily verified that

$$\begin{split} p_{01} &= p_{57} = p_{67} = p_{11,1} = p_{12,1} = 1 \\ p_{12} + p_{13} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)} = 1 \\ p_{30} + p_{37}^{(6)} = 1 \\ p_{80} + p_{81}^{(11)} = 1 \end{split} \qquad \begin{array}{l} p_{20} + p_{27}^{(5)} = 1 \\ p_{78} + p_{79} + p_{7,11}^{(10)} + p_{7,12}^{(10)} = 1 \\ p_{90} + p_{91}^{(12)} = 1 \\ \end{array} \tag{22-28}$$

4.1 Mean sojourn times

Mean sojourn time Ψ_i in state S_{i_t} is defined as the expected time taken by the system $\mathbb{Q}_{10}^{(4)}$ (that $\mathbb{Q}_{10}^{(4)}$) to any other state.

$$\Psi_{i} = \int_{0}^{\infty} P[T_{0} > T] dt$$

Thus,

$$\Psi_{0} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} dt = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}$$

Similarly,
$$\Psi_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \overline{F}_{1}(t) dt \qquad \qquad \Psi_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \overline{G}_{1}(t) dt$$

$$\Psi_{3} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \overline{H}_{1}(t) dt \qquad \qquad \Psi_{5} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}_{1}(t) dt$$

$$\Psi_{6} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{1}(t) dt \qquad \qquad \Psi_{7} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} \overline{F}_{2}(t) dt$$

5. RELIABILITY OF THE SYSTEM AND **MEAN TIME TO SYSTEM FAILURE** (MTSF)

Let the random variable T_i denotes the time to system failure (TSF) when the system initially starts functioning from state $S_i \in E$. Then the system reliability is given by:

 $R_i(t) = P[T_i > t]$

We have the following set of convolution equations:

$$R_{0}(t) = e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + \int_{0}^{t} q_{01}(u) du R_{1}(t-u)$$

= $Z_{0}(t) + q_{01}(t) \otimes R_{1}(t)$
Similarly

Similarly,

$$R_{1}(t) = Z_{1}(t) + q_{12}(t) \otimes R_{2}(t) + q_{13}(t) \otimes R_{3}(t)$$

$$R_{2}(t) = Z_{2}(t) + q_{20}(t) \otimes R_{0}(t)$$

$$R_{3}(t) = Z_{3}(t) + q_{30}(t) \otimes R_{0}(t)$$
(40-43)

Where,

$$\begin{split} &Z_1(t) = e^{-\alpha_2 t} \overline{F}_1(t) \\ &Z_2(t) = e^{-\alpha_2 t} \overline{G}_1 \\ &Z_3(t) = e^{-\alpha_2 t} \overline{H}_1(t) \end{split}$$

Taking the Laplace Transform of the above set of equations (40-43) and the resulting set of algebraic equation for $R_0^*(s)$, we get

$$R_{0}^{*}(s) = \frac{Z_{0}^{*} + q_{01}^{*} \left(Z_{1}^{*} + q_{12}^{*} Z_{2}^{*} + q_{13}^{*} Z_{3}^{*} \right)}{1 - q_{01}^{*} q_{12}^{*} q_{20}^{*} - q_{01}^{*} q_{13}^{*} q_{30}^{*}}$$
(44)

Taking the Inverse Laplace Transform of (44), we can get reliability of the system for known values of the parameters. The mean time to system failure (MTSF) is given by:

$$E(T_0) = \lim_{s \to 0} R_0^*(s) = \frac{N_1(0)}{D_1(0)}$$

To determine $N_1(0)$ and $D_1(0)$, we use the results

$$Z_{i}^{*}(0) = \Psi_{i} \text{ and } q_{ij}(0) = p_{ij}$$

We get,

$$MTSF = \frac{\Psi_0 + \Psi_1 + p_{12}\Psi_2 + p_{13}\Psi_3}{1 - p_{12}p_{20} - p_{13}p_{30}}$$

6. AVALIBILITY ANALYSIS

Let $A_i(t)$ be the probability that the system is up at epoch t, when initially it starts operation from state $S_i \in E$. By using similar probabilistic arguments, as in case of reliability, we observe the following recurrence relations can be easily developed for $A_i(t)$:

$$\begin{split} A_{0}(t) &= Z_{0}(t) + q_{01}(t) \odot A_{1}(t) \\ A_{1}(t) &= Z_{1}(t) + q_{12}(t) \odot A_{2}(t) + q_{13}(t) \odot A_{3}(t) + q_{15}^{(4)}(t) \odot A_{5}(t) \\ &+ q_{16}^{(4)}(t) \odot A_{6}(t) \\ A_{2}(t) &= Z_{2}(t) + q_{20}(t) \odot A_{0}(t) + q_{27}^{(5)}(t) \odot A_{7}(t) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} A_3(t) &= Z_3(t) + q_{30}(t) @A_0(t) + q_{37}^{(6)}(t) @A_7(t) \\ A_5(t) &= q_{57}(t) @A_7(t) \\ A_6(t) &= q_{67}(t) @A_7(t) \\ A_7(t) &= Z_7(t) + q_{78}(t) @A_8(t) + q_{79}(t) @A_9(t) \\ &\quad + q_{7,11}^{(10)}(t) @A_{11}(t) + q_{7,12}^{(10)}(t) @A_{12}(t) \\ A_8(t) &= Z_8(t) + q_{80}(t) @A_0(t) + q_{81}^{(11)}(t) @A_1(t) \\ A_9(t) &= Z_9(t) + q_{90}(t) @A_0(t) + q_{91}^{(12)}(t) @A_1(t) \\ A_{11}(t) &= q_{11,1}(t) @A_1(t) \\ A_{12}(t) &= q_{12,1}(t) @A_1(t) \\ Where, \\ Z_7(t) &= e^{-\alpha_1 t} \overline{F}_2(t), \\ Z_3(t) &= e^{-\alpha_1 t} \overline{H}_2(t) \end{split}$$

Taking Laplace Transforms of the above set of equations (45-55) and solving the resulting set of algebraic equations for $A_0^*(s)$ by Cramers rule, we have

$$A_0^*(s) = \frac{N_2(s)}{D_2(s)}$$

Where,

(t)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{2}\!\left(s\right) &= [1 - (\mathbf{q}_{7,11}^{(10)*} \mathbf{q}_{11,1}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{7,12}^{(10)*} \mathbf{q}_{12,1}^{*})(\mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} + \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{37}^{(6)*} + \mathbf{q}_{15}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{57}^{*}) \\ &+ \mathbf{q}_{16}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{67}^{*}) - \mathbf{q}_{81}^{(11)*} \mathbf{q}_{78}^{*} (\mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} + \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{37}^{(6)*} + \mathbf{q}_{15}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{57}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{16}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{67}^{*}) \\ &- \mathbf{q}_{91}^{(12)*} \mathbf{q}_{79}^{*} (\mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} + \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{37}^{(6)*} + \mathbf{q}_{15}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{57}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{16}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{67}^{*})] \mathbf{Z}_{0}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{Z}_{1}^{*} \\ &+ \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{Z}_{3}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{78}^{*} (\mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} + \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{37}^{(6)*} \\ &+ \mathbf{q}_{15}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{57}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{16}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{67}^{*}) \mathbf{Z}_{8}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{01}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{79}^{*} (\mathbf{q}_{12}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{27}^{(5)*} + \mathbf{q}_{13}^{*} \mathbf{q}_{37}^{(6)*} \\ &+ \mathbf{q}_{15}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{57}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{16}^{(4)*} \mathbf{q}_{67}^{*}) \mathbf{Z}_{9}^{*} \end{split}$$

$$D_{2}(s) = [1 - (q_{7,11}^{(10)*} q_{11,1}^{*} + q_{7,12}^{(10)*} q_{12,1}^{*})(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{*} q_{57}^{(6)*} + q_{16}^{*} q_{67}^{(6)*}) - q_{81}^{(11)*} q_{78}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{91}^{(12)*} q_{79}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{91}^{(12)*} q_{79}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{01}^{*} q_{12}^{*} q_{20}^{*} - q_{01}^{*} q_{13}^{*} q_{30}^{*} - q_{01}^{*} q_{17}^{*} q_{15}^{*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{01}^{*} q_{78}^{*} q_{80}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{01}^{*} q_{79}^{*} q_{90}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*}) - q_{01}^{*} q_{79}^{*} q_{90}^{*}(q_{12}^{*} q_{27}^{(5)*} + q_{13}^{*} q_{37}^{(6)*} + q_{15}^{(4)*} q_{57}^{*} + q_{16}^{(4)*} q_{67}^{*})$$
Now, the steady state availability i.e. the probability that the

N system will be operative in long run is given by:

$$A_{0} = \lim_{t \to \infty} A_{0}(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} s A_{0}^{*}(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{N_{2}(s)}{D_{2}(s)}$$

Since, $D_2(0) = 0$, therefore by applying L-Hospital rule, the steady state availability is given by:

$$A_{0} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{N_{2}(s)}{D'_{2}(s)} = \frac{N_{2}(0)}{D'_{2}(0)}$$

Where.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{2}\left(0\right) = & \left[\left(p_{78}p_{80} + p_{79}p_{90}\right)\left(1 - p_{12}p_{20} - p_{13}p_{30}\right)\right]\Psi_{0} \\ & + \Psi_{1} + p_{12}\Psi_{2} + p_{13}\Psi_{3} + p_{12}p_{27}\Psi_{7} \\ & + \left(p_{78}\Psi_{8} + p_{79}\Psi_{9}\right)\left(1 - p_{12}p_{20} - p_{13}p_{30}\right) \\ \text{and} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{2}'(0) &= [\mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{p}_{20} + \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{p}_{30} + \left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{p}_{20} - \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{p}_{30}\right) \\ &\left(\mathbf{p}_{78}\mathbf{p}_{80} + \mathbf{p}_{79}\mathbf{p}_{90}\right)]\Psi_{0} + \mathbf{n}_{1} + \mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{n}_{2} + \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{n}_{3} \\ &+ \mathbf{p}_{15}^{(4)}\mathbf{n}_{2} + \mathbf{p}_{16}^{(4)}\mathbf{n}_{3} + \left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{p}_{20} - \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{p}_{30}\right)\mathbf{n}_{7} \\ &+ \left(\mathbf{p}_{78} + \mathbf{p}_{7,11}^{(10)}\right)\left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{p}_{20} - \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{p}_{30}\right)\mathbf{n}_{8} \\ &+ \left(\mathbf{p}_{79} + \mathbf{p}_{7,12}^{(10)}\right)\left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{12}\mathbf{p}_{20} - \mathbf{p}_{13}\mathbf{p}_{30}\right)\mathbf{n}_{9} \end{aligned}$$

Where $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_7, n_8 \text{ and } n_9)$ is the mean repair time.

7. BUSY PERIOD ANALYSIS

7.1 Due to fault detection

Let $B_i^F(t)$ be the probability that the repairman is busy in fault detection of a failed unit at epoch t, when the system starts from state $S_i \in E$. Now for $B_0^F(t)$, we have the sum of the probabilities of the following contingencies:

Taking Laplace transforms of the above equations (56-66) and solving them, we get the following result for $B_0^{F*}(s)$

$$B_0^{F*}(s) = \frac{N_3(s)}{D_2(s)}$$

Here, $D_2(0) = 0$

Therefore, by L-hospital rule, the steady state busy period of the repairman due to fault detection is given by:

$$B_0^{\rm F} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{N_3(s)}{D_2'(s)} = \frac{N_3(0)}{D_2'(0)}$$

Where,

$$N_{3}(0) = \psi_{1} + \left(p_{12}p_{27}^{(5)} + p_{13}p_{37}^{(6)} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)}\right)\psi_{7}$$

and $D'_{2}(0)$ is same as given in availability analysis.

7.2 Due to miner repair

Let $B_i^r(t)$ be the probability that the repairman is busy in miner repair of a failed unit at epoch t, when the system starts from state $S_i \in E$. Now for $B_0^r(t)$, we have the sum of the probabilities of the following contingencies:

$$\begin{split} B_0^r(t) &= q_{01}(t) @B_1^r(t) \\ B_1^r(t) &= q_{12}(t) @B_2^r(t) + q_{13}(t) @B_3^r(t) + q_{15}^{(4)}(t) @B_5^r(t) \\ &\quad + q_{16}^{(4)}(t) @B_6^r(t) \\ B_2^r(t) &= Z_2^r(t) + q_{20}(t) @B_0^r(t) + q_{27}^{(5)}(t) @B_7^r(t) \\ B_3^r(t) &= q_{30}(t) @B_0^r(t) + q_{37}^{(6)}(t) @B_7^r(t) \\ B_3^r(t) &= q_{30}(t) @B_0^r(t) + q_{37}^{(6)}(t) @B_7^r(t) \\ B_5^r(t) &= Z_5^r(t) + q_{57}(t) @B_7^r(t) \\ B_5^r(t) &= q_{67}(t) @B_7^r(t) \\ B_7^r(t) &= q_{78}(t) @B_8^r(t) + q_{79}(t) @B_9^r(t) + q_{7,11}^{(10)}(t) @B_{11}^r(t) \\ &\quad + q_{7,12}^{(9)}(t) @B_{12}^r(t) \\ B_8^r(t) &= Z_8^r(t) + q_{80}(t) @B_0^r(t) + q_{81}^{(11)}(t) @B_1^r(t) \\ B_9^r(t) &= q_{90}(t) @B_0^r(t) + q_{91}^{(12)}(t) @B_1^r(t) \\ B_{11}^r(t) &= Z_{11}^r(t) + q_{11,1}(t) @B_1^r(t) \\ B_{12}^r(t) &= q_{12,1}(t) @B_1^r(t) \\ C_7^r(t) &= e^{-\alpha_2 t} \overline{G}_1(t) \\ Z_8^r(t) &= e^{-\alpha_1 t} \overline{G}_2(t) \\ \end{split}$$

Taking Laplace transforms of the above equations (67-77) and solving them, we get the following result for $B_0^{r*}(s)$

$$\mathbf{B}_{0}^{\mathrm{r*}}(\mathrm{s}) = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{4}(\mathrm{s})}{\mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{s})}$$

Here, $D_2(0) = 0$

Therefore, by L-hospital rule, the steady state busy period of the repairman due to minor repair is given by:

$$B_0^{r} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{N_4(s)}{D_2'(s)} = \frac{N_4(0)}{D_2'(0)}$$

Where,

$$N_{4}(0) = p_{15}^{(4)}n_{1} + p_{12}\psi_{2} + \left(p_{12}p_{27}^{(5)} + p_{13}p_{37}^{(6)} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)}\right)$$
$$p_{7,11}^{(10)}n_{2} + \left(p_{12}p_{27}^{(5)} + p_{13}p_{37}^{(6)} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)}\right)p_{78}\psi_{8}$$

and $D'_{2}(0)$ is same as given in availability analysis.

7.3 Due to major repair

Let $B_i^R(t)$ be the probability that the repairman is busy in major repair of a failed unit at epoch t, when the system starts from state $S_i \in E$. Now for $B_0^R(t)$, we have the sum of the probabilities of the following contingencies: $B_0^R(t) = q_{01}(t) \odot B_1^R(t)$

$$\begin{split} B_{1}^{R}(t) &= q_{12}(t) \odot B_{2}^{R}(t) + q_{13}(t) \odot B_{3}^{R}(t) + q_{15}^{(4)}(t) \odot B_{5}^{R}(t) \\ &+ q_{16}^{(4)}(t) \odot B_{6}^{R}(t) \\ B_{2}^{R}(t) &= q_{20}(t) \odot B_{0}^{R}(t) + q_{27}^{(5)}(t) \odot B_{7}^{R}(t) \\ B_{3}^{R}(t) &= Z_{3}^{R}(t) + q_{30}(t) \odot B_{0}^{R}(t) + q_{37}^{(6)}(t) \odot B_{7}^{R}(t) \\ B_{3}^{R}(t) &= Z_{3}^{R}(t) + q_{30}(t) \odot B_{0}^{R}(t) + q_{37}^{(6)}(t) \odot B_{7}^{R}(t) \\ B_{5}^{R}(t) &= q_{57}(t) \odot B_{7}^{R}(t) \\ B_{6}^{R}(t) &= Z_{6}^{R}(t) + q_{67}(t) \odot B_{7}^{R}(t) \\ B_{7}^{R}(t) &= q_{78}(t) \odot B_{8}^{R}(t) + q_{79}(t) \odot B_{9}^{R}(t) + q_{7,11}^{(10)}(t) \odot B_{11}^{R}(t) \\ &+ q_{7,12}^{(10)}(t) \odot B_{12}^{R}(t) \\ B_{8}^{R}(t) &= q_{80}(t) \odot B_{0}^{R}(t) + q_{81}^{(11)}(t) \odot B_{1}^{R}(t) \\ B_{9}^{R}(t) &= Z_{9}^{R}(t) + q_{90}(t) \odot B_{0}^{R}(t) + q_{91}^{(12)}(t) \odot B_{1}^{R}(t) \\ B_{11}^{R}(t) &= q_{11,1}(t) \odot B_{1}^{R}(t) \\ B_{12}^{R}(t) &= Z_{12}^{R}(t) + q_{12,1}(t) \odot B_{1}^{R}(t) \\ Where, \\ Z_{3}^{R}(t) &= e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \overline{H}_{1}(t) \\ \end{split}$$

solving them, we get the following result for $B_0^{R*}(s)$

$$B_0^{R*}(s) = \frac{N_5(s)}{D_2(s)}$$

Here, $D_2(0) = 0$

Therefore, by L-hospital rule, the steady state busy period of the repairman due to fault detection is given by:

$$\mathbf{B}_{0}^{\mathsf{R}} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{N}_{5}(s)}{\mathbf{D}_{2}'(s)} = \frac{\mathbf{N}_{5}(0)}{\mathbf{D}_{2}'(0)}$$

Where,

$$N_{5}(0) = p_{16}^{(4)}n_{3} + p_{13}\psi_{3} + \left(p_{12}p_{27}^{(5)} + p_{13}p_{37}^{(6)} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)}\right)$$
$$p_{7,12}^{(10)}n_{4} + \left(p_{12}p_{27}^{(5)} + p_{13}p_{37}^{(6)} + p_{15}^{(4)} + p_{16}^{(4)}\right)p_{79}\psi_{9}$$

and $D'_{2}(0)$ is same as given in availability analysis.

8. COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

In steady state, the net-expected profit earned to the system model during time interval (0,t) as given below:

$$P(t) = K_0 \mu_{up}(t) - K_1 \mu_b^F(t) - K_2 \mu_b^r(t) - K_3 \mu_b^R(t)$$

Where \mathbf{K}_0 per-unit up time revenue by the system due to the operation of any unit and \mathbf{K}_1 , \mathbf{K}_2 and \mathbf{K}_3 are repair cost per-unit of time when unit is under fault detection, minor repair and major repair respectively.

The expected total cost per-unit time in steady state is given by:

$$P = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{P(t)}{t}$$
$$= K_0 A_0 - K_1 B_0^F - K_2 B_0^r - K_3 B_0^R$$

Where A_0 , B_0^F , B_0^r and B_0^R have been already defined.

9. CONCLUSION

This paper concludes the stochastic modeling analysis of

various reliability measures like MTSF, availability and busy period due to fault detection, miner repair and major repair, and cost benefit analysis by different levels of performance. Let us suppose that the different random variables follow an exponential distribution with different probability density function given as $f(t) = \beta e^{-\beta t}$, $g(t) = \theta e^{-\theta t}$ and $h(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$. The numerical analysis of MTSF and cost benefit analysis have been studied at different levels of failure rate (α_2) of

unit-2 by fixing the values of certain parameters $K_0 = 10000$, $K_1 = 1000$, $K_2 = 800$ $K_3 = 600$ as shown in Table 1 and Table 2. The variation in MTSF with respect to the failure rate (α_2) of unit-2 for different values of p, q, β_1 , θ_1 and λ_1 is shown in Figures 2. The variation in cost benefit analysis with respect to the failure rate (α_2) of unit-2 for different values p

, q , β_1 , β_2 , θ_1 , θ_2 , λ_1 and λ_2 is shown in Figures 3.

10. REFERENCES

- [1] El-Said, K.M., Cost analysis of a system with preventive maintenance by using Kolmogorov's forward equations method, Ame. J. of App. Sci., 5(4) 405-410 (2008).
- [2] Haggag, M.Y., Cost analysis of a system involving common cause failures and preventive maintenance, J. Maths. And Stat., 5(4) 305-310 (2009).
- [3] Mahmoud, M.A.W. and Moshref, M.E., On a two unit cold standby system considering hardware, human error failures and preventive maintenance, Mathematics and Computer modeling, 51(5-6), 736-745 (2010).
- [4] Kumar, Jitender.,Kadyan, M.S. and Malik, S.C., Cost benefit analysis of a two unit parallel system subject to degradation after repair, Applied mathematical sciences, 4(56) 2749-2758 (2010).
- [5] Malik S. C., Reliability models with priority for operation and repair with arrival time of server. Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematika Sciences, LXI (1-2) 9-22 (2005).
- [6] Kumar, J. and Kadyan, M.S., Profit analysis of a system of non identical units with degradation and replacement. International journal of computer application, 40 (3) 19-25 (2012).
- [7] Bhardwaj R. K., Kaur K., Malik S. C., Stochastic Modeling of a System with Maintenance and Replacement of Standby Subject to Inspection, American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics, 4(5) 339-346 (2015).
- [8] Mahmoud M. A. W. and Moshref M. E., On a two unit cold standby system considering hardware, human error failures and preventive maintenance, Mathematics and Computer modeling, 51(5-6) 736-745 (2010).
- [9] G.R. Mohtashami Borzadaran, and M. Asadi, Reliability Modeling of Two-unit Cold Standby Systems: A Periodic Switching Approach, Z. Behboudi, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 92 176-195 (2021).
- [10] Khalaf S. Sultan and Mohamed E. Moshref, Stochastic Analysis of a Priority Standby System under Preventive Maintenance, Applied Sciences, 11 3861-3872 (2021).
- [11] P. S. Pundir, R. Patawa and P. K. Gupta, Analysis of Two Non-Identical Unit Cold Standby System in Presence of Prior Information, American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences, 40-3 188-200 (2021).

11. APPENDIX



α1	α_2	$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1 {=} 0.1, \theta_1 {=} 0.3, \\ \lambda_1 {=} 0.5, p {=} 0.5, \\ q {=} 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1 = 0.2, \theta_1 = 0.4, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.6, p = 0.8, \\ q = 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1 = 0.3, \theta_1 = 0.5, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.7, p = 0.3, \\ q = 0.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1 = 0.4, \theta_1 = 0.6, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.8, p = 0.2, \\ q = 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1{=}0.5, \theta_1{=}0.7, \\ \lambda_1{=}0.9, p{=}0.1, \\ q{=}0.9 \end{array}$
0.4	0.31	5.8003	5.9893	6.1582	6.3830	6.6253
0.41	0.32	5.6322	5.8074	5.9648	6.1753	6.4028
0.42	0.33	5.4737	5.6364	5.7834	5.9808	6.1947
0.43	0.34	5.3241	5.4755	5.6128	5.7983	5.9997
0.44	0.35	5.1826	5.3237	5.4523	5.6267	5.8166
0.45	0.36	5.0486	5.1802	5.3008	5.4651	5.6444
0.46	0.37	4.9215	5.0445	5.1577	5.3126	5.4821
0.47	0.38	4.8008	4.9159	5.0223	5.1685	5.3288
0.48	0.39	4.6859	4.7938	4.8939	5.0321	5.1840
0.49	0.4	4.5766	4.6777	4.7721	4.9028	5.0469

Table 1. Effect of p , q , $\beta_{\rm l}, \theta_{\rm l}$ and $\lambda_{\rm l}$ on system performance with respect to a_2

Table 2. Effect of p, q, β_1 , β_2 , θ_1 , θ_2 , λ_1 and λ_2 on system performance with respect to a_2

<i>a</i> 1	a,	$\beta_1 = 0.1, \theta_1 = 0.3, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.5 \beta_2 = 0.1, \\ \theta_2 = 0.3, \lambda_2 = 0.5, \\ p = 0.5, q = 0.5$	$\beta_1 = 0.2, \theta_1 = 0.4, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.6, \beta_2 = 0.2, \\ \theta_2 = 0.4, \lambda_2 = 0.6, \\ p = 0.8, q = 0.2$	$\beta_1 = 0.3, \theta_1 = 0.5, \\ \lambda_1 = 0.7\beta_2 = 0.3, \\ \theta_2 = 0.5, \lambda_2 = 0.7, \\ p = 0.3, q = 0.7$	$\beta_1=0.4, \theta_1=0.6,$ $\lambda_1=0.8, \beta_2=0.4,$ $\theta_2=0.6, \lambda_2=0.8,$ p=0.2, q=0.8	$\beta_1=0.5, \theta_1=0.7, \lambda_1=0.9, \beta_2=0.5, \theta_2=0.7, \lambda_2=0.9, p=0.1, q=0.9$
0.4	0.31	24997.41	17413.11	12824.40	10368.77	8702.73
0.41	0.32	24372.18	17132.34	12628.54	10235.48	8605.76
0.42	0.33	23767.31	16849.88	12430.59	10099.24	8505.79
0.43	0.34	23182.82	16566.97	12231.44	9960.70	8403.34
0.44	0.35	22618.49	16284.71	12031.85	9820.50	8298.91
0.45	0.36	22074.01	16004.01	11832.50	9679.17	8192.93
0.46	0.37	21548.94	15725.67	11633.97	9537.19	8085.79
0.47	0.38	21042.77	15450.34	11436.76	9394.99	7977.83
0.48	0.39	20554.93	15178.57	11241.31	9252.96	7869.39
0.49	0.4	20084.82	14910.80	11047.97	9111.42	7760.74



Figure 2



Figure 3