IJCA Special Issue on "Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications" CASCT, 2010.

TM-Algebra - An Introduction

K.Megalai Department of Mathematics Bannari Amman Institute of Technology Tamil Nadu. India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new notion, called TM-algebra, which is a generalization of the idea of Q/BCH/BCI/BCK/BCC-algebra, is introduced. Some theorems discussed in Q-and BCK algebras are generalized. Definition of TM-algebra along with various propositions are stipulated and presented with their respective proofs. The relation between TM-algebra and other algebra has been investigated and detailed in the paper. Also ideal, p-radical, p-semi simple are discussed.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25

Keywords

TM-Algebra, ideal, p-radical, p-semi simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

J.Neggers and Kim (see [1]) introduced the notion of d-algebras which is a generalization of BCK- algebras. Also Joseph Neggers, Sun Shin Ahn, and Hee Sik Kim introduced Qalgebras[2], which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCKalgebras, and generalized some theorems from the theory of BCIalgebras. Imai and Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras BCI-algebras and BCK-algebras [3, 4]). It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI- algebra. In [5, 6] Hu and Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebra namely BCH- algebras., At the same time, Jun, Roh, and Kim [7] introduced a new notion called BH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH / BCI / BCK-algebra. In this paper a new notion, called TM-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH /BCI/BCK/Q-algebras, is introduced and some theorems of BCI/ BCK/Q-algebras are generalized.. Dr.A.Tamilarasi

Kongu Engineering College Perundurai, Erode Dist. Tamil Nadu, India

2. TM-ALGEBRA

2.1 Definition

A TM-algebra (X, *, 0) is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation * satisfying the following axioms :

(i)
$$x * 0 = x$$
 for $x \in X$

(ii) (x * y) * (x * z) = z * y for x, y, $z \in X$

In X we can define a binary relation \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x^* y = 0$

2.2 Proposition

If (X, *, 0) is a TM-algebra, then i) x * x = 0;

ii)
$$(x * y) * x = 0 * y$$

iii)
$$x^* (x^* y) = y$$
 for any $x, y \in X$

Proof

i) .	x * x = (x * 0) * (x * 0)	by (i) of definition 2.1
	= 0 * 0,	by (ii) of definition 2.1
	= 0	
i	ii) $(x * y) * x = (x * y) * (x * 0)$	by (i) of definition 2.1
	= 0 * y	by (ii) of definition 2.1
i	iii) $x * (x * y) = (x * 0) * (x * y)$	by (i) of definition 2.1
	= y * 0	by (ii) of definition 2.1
	= <i>y</i>	by (i) of definition 2.1

2.3 Proposition

Let (X, *, 0) be a TM-algebra. Then

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$$
 for any $x, y, z \in X$

Proof

Given $(X, *, 0)$ is a TM- a	lgebra. Then
(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y.	(2.3.1)
Put $z = x * y$ and $y = z$ in (2.3.1).	Then
(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (x * (x*y))	
= (x * z) * y,	by (iii) of proposition 2.2

2.4 Proposition

Let ()	K, * , 0) be a	TM-algebra.	Then	for any x,	<i>v</i> , <i>z</i>	\in	Χ
---------	----------	--------	-------------	------	------------	---------------------	-------	---

i) $x * 0 = 0 \implies x = 0;$ ii) $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$ iii) $x \le y \implies x * z \le y * z \text{ and } z * y \le z * x$ iv) x * (x * (x * y)) = x * yv) 0 * (x * y) = y * x = (0 * x) * (0 * y)vi) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0;vi) If x * y = 0, y * x = 0 then x = y.

Proof

i) x * 0 = x, by (i) of definition 2.1.

If x * 0 = 0, then x = 0, proves the result.

```
ii) ((x*z)*(y*z))*(x*y)
```

= ((x * z) * (x * y)) * (y * z)by proposition 2.3 = (y * z) * (y * z)by (ii) of definition 2.1 = 0by proposition 2.2 Hence $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$. iii) $x \le y \implies x * y = 0$. To prove $x * z \le y * z$. That is to prove (x * z) * (y * z) = 0. By (ii) of this proposition, $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$ Since x * y = 0, (x * z) * (y * z) = 0.

Similarly, (z * y) * (z * x) = 0.

Hence (iii) follows.

iv) x * (x * (x * y)) = (x * 0) * (x * (x * y))

	by (i) of definition 2.1
= (x * y) * 0	by (ii) of definition 2.1
= x * y	by (i) of definition 2.1
v) $0*(x * y) = (x*x)*(x* y)$	by proposition 2.2
= y * x	by (ii) of definition 2.1
= (0 * x) * (0 * y)	by (ii) of definition 2.1
vi) $(x * (x * y)) * y = (x * y) * (x * y)$	y) by proposition
2.3	
= 0	by (iii) of
proposition 2.2	
vii) $x = x * 0 = x * (x * y) = y$	(or)
y = y * 0 = y * (y * x) = x.	

Recently, Ahn & Kim introduced the notion of QS-algebras.

A QS-algebra is obviously a TM-algebra, But a TM- algebra is said to be a QS-algebra if it satisfies the additional relations,

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$$
 and $y * z = z * y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

2.5 Example

Let *Z* be the set of all integers, and let $nZ = \{nx: x \in Z\}, n \in Z$. Then (Z, -, 0) and (nZ, -, 0) are TM-algebras (where "—" is the usual subtraction).

Solution

x - 0 = x for all $x \in Z$, and (x - y) - (x - z) = z - y, for all $x, y, z \in Z$. Hence (Z, -, 0) is a TM –algebra. Similarly we prove (nZ, -, 0) is a TM–algebra.

2.6 Example

Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with cayley table (Table 1).

IJCA Special Issue on "Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications" CASCT, 2010.

Table 1					
*	0	1	2	3	
0	0	1	2	3	
1	1	0	3	2	
2	2	3	0	1	
3	3	2	1	0	

Then (X, *, 0) is a TM-algebra.

The relations between TM-Algebra and other algebras are investigated and presented below.

2.7 Theorem

Every BCK-algebra is a TM-algebra but the converse

is not true.

The above example in 2.6 is a TM-algebra but not BCK algebra since $0 * x \neq 0$ for all x = 1, 2, 3.

2.8 Theorem

Every TM -algebra is a BH - algebra, but the converse is not true. Similarly, every TM- algebra is a Qalgebra, but the converse is not true, as shown in Table 2.

Let, $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Table 2

Note that (X, *, 0) is a Q-algebra.

The condition (x * y) * (x * z) = z * y is not satisfied as

 $(1 * 2) * (1 * 3) = 0 * 0 = 0 \neq 3 = 3 * 2.$

2.9 Theorem

Every TM-algebra is a BCH-algebra. Every BCHalgebra satisfying (x * y)*(x * z) = z * y is a TM-algebra.

2.10 Theorem

Every TM- algebra is a BCI-algebra.

2.11 Theorem

Every TM-algebra X satisfying x * z = z is a trivial algebra.

Proof

Put x = z in x * z = z, then z * z = z which implies 0 = z

Hence X is a trivial algebra.

2.12 Lemma

Let (X, * 0) be a TM-algebra. Then a * b = a * c for all $a,b,c \in X$ implies 0 * b = 0 * c.

Proof

Since (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y (a * b) * a = (a * a) * b = 0 * band (a * c) * a = (a * a) * c = 0 * c.Therefore $a * b = a * c \implies 0 * b = 0 * c.$

2.13 Definition

Define $G(X) = \{ x \in X : 0 * x = x \}.$

For any TM- algebra (X, *, 0) the set $B(X) = \{ x \in X : 0 \le x \}$ is called the *p*-radical of *X*. If $B(X) = \{0\}$, then *X* is said to be a *p*-semisimple TM-algebra. Note that $G(X) \cap B(X) = \{0\}$

2.14 Proposition.

X is *p*-semisimple if and only if 0 * (0 * x) = x for all $x \in X$.

Proof

Let X be p- semisimple. Then $B(X) = \{ 0 \}$. That is 0 * 0 = 0 and $0 * x \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$. Now, 0 * (0 * x) = (0 * 0) * (0 * x) = x * 0 = x. Conversely, let 0 * (0 * x) = x. Then 0 * (0 * x) = x implies $0 * x \neq 0$.

If 0 * x = 0 for $x \neq 0$, then 0 * 0 = x which implies x = 0.

Hence X is p-semisimple.

2.15 Proposition

If (x, *, 0) is a TM-algebra and $x, y \in X$ then

 $y \in B(X) \iff (x * y) * x = 0.$

Proof

Let $y \in B(X)$. Then 0 * y = 0.

=0 ***** y

Now (x * y) * x = (x * y) * (x * 0)

 $= 0, \qquad \text{since } y \in B(X).$

Conversely,

let (x * y) * x = 0. Then 0 = (x * y) * x = (x * y) * (x * 0) = 0 * y $\implies y \in B(X).$

2.16 Proposition

Let (X, *, 0), be a TM-Algebra. If G(X) = X, then X

is p-semi-simple.

Proof

Let G(X) = X. Also $G(X) \cap B(X) = \{0\}$.

So $X \cap B(X) = \{0\}$. That is $B(X) = \{0\}$.

Hence X is P-semi simple.

Note that a TM-Algebra in which G(X) = X is a **d-algebra**.

2.17 Definition

Let (X, *, 0) be a TM-algebra. A non-empty subset I

of X is called an **ideal** of X if it satisfies

i) $0 \in I$

ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Any ideal *I* has the property that $y \in I$ and $x \leq y$ imply $x \in I$.

Table 3

*	0	а	b	c
0	0	0	с	b
a	а	0	c	b
b	b	b	0	c
c	с	с	b	0

Then the set $I = \{0, a\}$ is an ideal of *X*.

2.18 Proposition

Let (X, *, 0) be a TM-algebra. Then B(X) is an ideal of X.

Proof

by (ii) of definition 2.1

Since $0 * (0 * 0) = 0, 0 \in B(X)$.

Let $x * y \in B(X)$ and $y \in B(X)$, then

0*(x*y) = 0 and 0*y = 0

Now, by proposition (2.14) $y \in B(X)$ implies (x * y) * x = 0

and
$$x * y \in B(X)$$
 implies $(x * (x * y)) * x = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (x * (x * y)) * (x * 0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * (x * y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (0 * x) * (0 * y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (0 * x) * 0 = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow (0 * 0) * x = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * x = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow x \in B(X).$

Therefore B(X) is an ideal of X.

2.19 Definition

A non-empty subset S of a TM-algebra (X, *, 0) is said to be a sub-algebra of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$.

2.20 Definition

An ideal A of a TM-algebra (X, *, 0) is said to be

closed if $0 * x \in A$ for all $x \in A$.

2.21 Proposition

Every closed ideal of a TM-algebra is a TM- subalgebra.

Proof

Let A be a closed ideal of a TM-algebra (X *, 0).

Let $x, y \in A$ Then

 $0 * x, \ 0 * y \in A.$

As $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y), 0 * (x * y) \in A$.

Hence $x * y \in A$. So A is a sub-algebra of TM-algebra.

Note that the converse of the above proposition is not true.

2.22 Definition

An ideal A of a TM-algebra (X, *, 0) is said to be **translational ideal** of X if whenever $x * y \in A$, $y * x \in A$, then $(x*z)*(y*z) \in A$ and $(z * x)*(z*y) \in A$ for all x, y, $z \in X$.

2.23 Proposition

If S is a sub algebra of a TM-algebra (X *, 0) then $G(X) \cap S = G(S)$.

Proof

Obviously $G(X) \cap S \subseteq G(S)$.

We know $G(S) = \{x \in S \subseteq X: 0 * x = x\}.$

Let $x \in G(S)$. Then 0 * x = x and $x \in S \subset X$ which implies

 $x \in G(X) \cap S.$

Hence $G(S) \subseteq G(X) \cap S$, which proves the result.

2.24 Theorem

If (X, *, 0) is a TM- algebra of order 3, then

i) $G(X) \neq X$

ii) G(X) is an ideal of X if |G(X)| = 1.

Proof

i) Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a TM-algebra. Assume G(X) = X. Then 0 * 0 = 0, 0 * a = a, 0 * b = b. Also we know $x \ast x = 0$ and $x \ast 0 = x$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore a * 0 = a, a * a = 0 and b * b = 0. Let a * b = 0. It is argued for b * a = 0, a, b. Now if b * a = 0, then a * b = 0 = b * a and (a * b) * a = (a * b) * (a * 0) = 0 * b = b and (b * a) * a = 0 * a = a.Since (a * b) * a = (b * a) * a, it follows that a = b, a contradiction. So $b \ast a \neq 0$. If b * a = a, then a = b * a = (0 * b) * a= (0 * a) * b= a * b= 0, a contradiction. If b * a = b, then b = b * a = (0 * b) * a= (0 * a) * b= a * b= 0, a contradiction. Next if a * b = a, then $(a * (a * b)) * b = (a * a) * b = 0 * b = b \neq 0,$ contradicting (vi) of proposition (2.4) Finally, let a * b = b. It is argued for b * a = 0, a, b. If b * a = 0, then b = a * b= (0 * a) * b= (0 * b) * a= b * a

= 0, a contradiction.

If b * a = a, then

b = a * b

= (0 * a) * b

= (0 * b) * a

= b * a

= a, a contradiction.

If b * a = b, then

a = 0 * a

= (b * b) * a

= (b * a) * b

$$= b * b$$

0, a contradiction.

Thus it is concluded that there exist some other elements in

G(X), which is not in X.

(ii) Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a TM-algebra of order 3.

If the order of G(X) is 1, then

 $G(X) = \{0\}$, is the trivial ideal of *X*.

Conversely,

assume G(X) is an ideal of X.

By (i) of this proposition

$$|G(X)| = 1$$
 or $|G(X)| = 2$.

Suppose |G(X)| = 2. Then

 $G(X) = \{0, a\}$ or $G(X) = \{0, b\}.$

If $G(X) = \{0, a\}$, since G(X) is an ideal of X,

 $b * a \notin G(X)$ so b * a = b.

Now, a = 0 * a

$$= (b * b) * a = (b * a) * b$$

=b * b

= 0, a contradiction.

Hence $|G(X)| \neq 2$ and so |G(X)| = 1.

2.25 Definition

Let (X, *, 0) and $(Y, \Delta, 0^1)$ be TM-algebras. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **homomorphism** if

 $f(x * y) = f(x) \Delta f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Note that $f^{-1}(Y) = \{ x \in X : f(x) = y \text{ for some } y \in Y \}$ and

 $f(X) = \{ f(x) : x \in X \}$ is called the image of f.

2.26 Proposition

Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a homomorphism of TM-algebras. Then

(*i*)
$$f(0) = 0^1$$

(ii) If x * y = 0, for all $x, y \in X$ then $f(x) \Delta f(y) = 0^{1}$.

Proof

f (0) = f (0 *0) = f(0) $\Delta f(0) = 0^{1}$. ii) Let x, y \in X and x * y =0. Then f (x) $\Delta f(y) = f(x*y) = f(0) = 0^{1}$.

2.27 Theorem

Let (X, *, 0), $(Y, \Delta, 0')$ be TM-algebras and let B be an ideal of Y. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism. Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an ideal of X.

Proof

We know $f^{-1}(B) = \{ x \in X : f(x) = y \text{ for } y \in B \}.$ Since $0^1 \in B$ and $f(0) = 0^1$, $0 \in f^{-1}(B)$. Assume $x * y \in f^{-1}(B)$ and $y \in f^{-1}(B)$, then $f(x * y) \in B$ and $f(y) \in B$. Since f is a homomorphism, $f(x*y) = f(x) \Delta f(y) \in B$ Since B is an ideal of $Y, f(x) \in B$, so $x \in f^{-1}(B)$. Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is an ideal of X.

2.28 Definition

Let (X, *, 0), $(Y, \Delta, 0')$ be TM-algebras. Let $f: X \to Y$

be a homomorphism. Then the set $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0^1\}$ is

called the *kernel* of f and is denoted by *ker f*.

2.29 Theorem.

Let $f: X \to Y$ is a homomorphism of TM-algebras. Then ker f is an ideal of X.

Proof

Obviously $0 \in kerf$, since $f(0) = 0^1$.

Let $x * y \in ker f$, and $y \in ker f$.

So $f(x * y) = 0^1$ and $f(y) = 0^1$.

That is $f(x) \Delta f(y) = 0^1$

$$\implies f(x) \Delta 0^1 = 0^1$$

$$\implies f(x) = 0^1$$

Hence $x \in ker f$,

So ker f is an ideal of X.

CONCLUSION

Concept of TM-algebra proposed, in the present work, has been evaluated against well established algebraic theorems. It has been observed that the TM-algebra satisfy the various conditions stated in the Q/BCH/BCI/BCK algebras and can be considered as the generalization of all these algebras.

REFERENCES

- J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim., On d-algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999), 9-26
- [2] J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim. On Q-algebras, IJMMS 27 (2001), 749-757.
- [3] K. Iseki, On BCI –algebras, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 8 (1980), 25-130.
- [4] K.Iseki and S.Tanaka. An introduction to the theory of BCk- algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1-26
- [5] Q.P. Hu and X. Li. On BCH-algebras, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 2 (1983), 313-320.
- [6] Q.P. Hu and X. Li. On proper BCH-algebras, Math. Japon.30 (1985), 659- 661.
- [7] Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh and H.S. Kim. On BH algebras, Sci.
 Math 1 (1998), 347-354.
- [8] S.S. Ahn and H.S. Kim, On QS –algebras, J. Changcheong Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 33-41