# Performance of Optimized Generalized Weighted **Estimator ICA algorithm on Biomedical Signals Contaminated by Noise**

S.D.Parmar **ECE** Department U.V.Patel College of Engineering Ganpat Vidyanagar, Kherva. India

Bhuvan Uhhelkar School of Computing and Mathematics University of Western Sydney, Australia

# ABSTRACT

This paper evaluates the performance of OGWE (Optimized Generalized Weighted Estimator) ICA (Independent Component Analysis) algorithm in a biomedical blind source separation problem. Independent signals representing Fetal ECG (FECG) and Maternal ECG (MECG) are generated and then mixed linearly in the presence of white or pink noise to simulate a recording of electrocardiogram. While ICA has been used to extract FECG, very little literature is available on its performance in clinical environment. So there is a need to evaluate performance of these algorithms in Biomedical. To quantify the performance of OGWE algorithm, two scenarios, i.e., (a) different amplitude ratios of simulated maternal and fetal ECG signals, (b) different values of additive white Gaussian noise or pink noise, were investigated. Higher order and second order performances were measured by performance index and signal-to-error ratio respectively. The selected ICA algorithm separates the white and pink noises equally well. This paper reports on the performance of the ICA algorithm.

#### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

I.1.2 [Algorithms]: Analysis of Algorithm, I.5.4 Applications-Signal Processing

# **General Terms**

Algorithm, Performance, Experimentation.

# Keywords

BSS, ICA, Biomedical Signal Processing.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Electro Cardiogram (ECG) of an adult describes the electrical activity of the heart. This ECG is an important tool for the physician for identifying abnormalities in the heart activity. Similarly, it is important to obtain the Fetal Electro Cardiogram (FECG) of an unborn baby to trace possible problems in its heart activity. Most methods for acquiring the FECG are invasive which require placing an electrode on the fetal scalp. This procedure is available during delivery time only. It is important to try and find non-invasive techniques for earlier diagnosis. Obtaining FECG from recordings of electrodes on the mother's skin is fundamentally equivalent to the adult ECG with additional difficulties. The FECG is generated from a very small heart so the signal amplitude is low. Noise from electromyograpic activity affects the signal due to its low voltage.

Another interesting source is the maternal ECG (MECG), which can be 5-1000 times higher in its intensity. There is no place to put an electrode on the mother's skin and to receive just the fetal signal without the signal being addled by the mother's signal. In all cases where the FECG is observed, the MECG is higher in magnitude. Hence eliminating the MECG from the recorded signal is very important [1] for good fetal reading.

Technically, the above problem can be thought of as a set of desired and undesired signals linearly mixed to produce another set of body surface signals. These signals are assumed to be non-Gaussian (except the random noise signal) and independent. ICA decomposes the mixed signals into as many statistically independent components as possible. ICA has been used to extract FECG [2][3][4][5]. However, very little literature is available on its performance in clinical environment. This needs an evaluation of its performance in clinical environment. Several ICA algorithms have been proposed. In this paper, we evaluate the performance of OGWE algorithm [6] in a biomedical blind source separation problem. The signals, which are best suited for ICA, are designed to be biologically motivated for independent FECG and MECG. They are linearly mixed. The ICA separation produces independent FECG and MECG estimates.

# 2. METHODOLOGY

We consider the classical ICA model with instantaneous Mixing  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{n}$ (1)

where the sources  $s = [s_1, s_2... s_n]^T$  are mutually independent random variables and Anxn is an unknown invertible mixing matrix and noise  $n = [n_1, n_2, ..., n_n]^T$ . The goal is to find only from observations, **x**, a matrix W such that the output

is an estimate of the possible scaled and permutated source vector s.

Preprocessing for ICA:

**y** =

Some preprocessing is useful before attempting to estimate W.

The observed signals should be centered by i. subtracting their mean value  $E\{x\}$ 

(2)

- $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E} \{\mathbf{x}\}$ (3) Then they are whitened, which means they are linearly ii. transformed so that the components are uncorrelated and has unit variance.
- Whitening can be performed via eigenvalue iii. decomposition of the covariance matrix,  $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ , V is the matrix of orthogonal eigenvectors and  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix with the corresponding eigenvalues.

The whitening is done by multiplication with the transformation matrix  ${\bf P}$ 

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(4)  
$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$$
(5)

This is closely related to principal component analysis. The covariance of the whitened data  $E\{\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_T\}$  equals the identity matrix. For simplicity, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be the centered mixed vector  $x^{\wedge}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{x} = x^{\wedge}$ 

Jutten and Herault provided one of the first significant approaches to the problem of blind separation of instantaneous linear mixtures [7]. Since then, many different approaches have been attempted by numerous researches using neural networks, artificial learning, higher order statistics, minimization of mutual information, beam forming and adaptive noise cancellation, each claiming various degrees of success. Several algorithms exist for blind source separation. We evaluate the performance of OGWE algorithm [6] in a blind source separation problem. This section presents a brief description of the respective approaches of the algorithm.

#### 2.1 OGWE Algorithm

In OGWE (Optimized Generalized Weighted Estimator) [6], the marginal entropy contrast function ( $\Phi^{ME}$ ) is written in terms of second-order and fourth-order cumulants, and then it is minimized for all possible distributions for the sources **s**, it follows that

$$\Phi^{\text{ME}}(\mathbf{y}) \approx \frac{1}{48} \Phi_{24}^{\text{ME}}(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{48} \sum_{i} (\mathbf{C}_{iiii}^{\text{y}})^2 \qquad (6)$$

where, for zero-mean signals,  $C_{iiii}^{y} = E[y_{i}^{4}] - 3E[y_{i}^{2}]$  are the marginal cumulants or autocumulants. In the two dimensional case, the pair of normalized sources  $s_{1} = [s_{p}(t)s_{q}(t)]^{T}$  in polar coordinates may be written as  $(r(t), \alpha(t))$  so that the outputs yield

$$y_p(t) \\ y_q(t) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \begin{pmatrix} r(t)\cos\left(\beta(t)\right) \\ r(t)\sin\left(\beta(t)\right) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(Z_t)$$

where  $Z_t = [Z_p(t)Z_q(t)]^T$  are the whitened mixtures, and matrix **V** performs a rotation of **\theta** so that  $\rho(t) = \theta + \beta(t)$  is the angle of vector y. Note that ideally, at separation  $\theta + \beta(t) = \alpha(t)$ .

1. The whitening matrix **P** is computed to whiten the vector **x** and the vector  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}$  is formed.

2. One Sweep. For all g = m(m - 1)/2 pairs, i.e., for  $1 \le p \le q \le m$ , the following steps have to be done:

- a. The Given angle  $\theta_{pq} = \theta_{GWE}$  is computed [6].
- b. If  $\theta_{pq} > \theta_{min}$ , the pair  $(Z_p, Z_q)$  is rotated by  $\theta_{pq}$ according to Eq.(6) and also the rotation matrix **R** is updated. The value of  $\theta_{min}$  is selected in such a way that rotations by a smaller angle are not statistically significant. Typically  $\theta_{min} = 10^{-2}/\sqrt{N}$  where N is the number of samples.

3. End if the number of iterations  $n_{it}$  satisfies  $n_{it}$ ,  $1+\sqrt{n}$  or no angle  $\theta_{pq}$  has been updated, stop. Otherwise go to step (2) for another sweep.

4. Then the demixing matrix W = RP and the independent sources are estimated as  $\mathbf{\hat{s}} = W\mathbf{x}$ 

#### 3. EXPERIMENT SETUP

As the different noise in the biomedical system grows, it becomes necessary to improve the efficiency of common biomedical resources. Independent Component Analysis (ICA) use as an advanced tool for blind suppression of interfering FECG and MECG signals contaminated by noise. The role of ICA is to provide a mitigated FECG signal to the conventional analysis. As the different noise in the biomedical system grows, also the ratio of FECG and MECG varies in amplitude; it becomes necessary to measure the performance of ICA algorithm.

#### **3.1 Signal generation**

The observed signals (Fig 1) at the electrodes were simulated by taking two different ECG signals from the MIT-BIH (Massachusetts Institute of Technology-Beth Israel Hospital Arrhythmia Laboratory) database [8]. These signals are sampled at 360 HZ. The FECG is generated from a very small heart so the signal amplitude is low. Noise from electromyograpic activity affects the signal due to its low voltage. Another interesting source is the maternal ECG (MECG), which can be 5-1000 times higher in its intensity. To simulate real conditions, the second signal (assumed as FECG) was 10 or 1000 times less in amplitude and with double the number of cycles/second compared to the first (assumed as MECG). We remove the mean of the original ECG signals and normalize the two ECG signals to unity. As a result, the desired maternal to fetal amplitude ratio can be obtained by multiplying the signal with that constant.



Figure 1 Generation of MECG and FECG signals

#### **3.2 Linear mixing and Noise**

We set the mixing coefficient between the MECG and FECG signals to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1430 & -2.2008 \\ 0.9943 & -0.8061 \end{pmatrix}$$

The additive white Gaussian noise was generated by MATLAB. In the body many electrical signals are time correlated and would be modeled better by colored noise instead of white noise [9]. The pink noise was created with a Fourier domain generator with the power spectrum given by

$$P_n(f) \alpha f^{-\beta}, \beta > 0$$

These noise records (consider as n in Equation 1) were added to the mixed signals with a specified signal to noise ratio (SNR) (which is measured with respect to the mixed signals in the given channel). In this way, the SNR in both channels are the same, but the amplitudes are quite different. The results in our two channels are the simulated FECG and simulated MECG.

#### **3.3 Performance evaluation**

To quantify the higher order performance of the demixing we use the performance index, *PI*. This is a measure on the global system matrix P=WA suitable for the degeneracy conditions  $W=A^{-1}$  and is calculated as

$$PI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|p_{ik}|^2}{max_{j|p_{ij}|^2}} - 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|p_{ki}|^2}{max_{j|p_{ji}|^2}} - 1 \right) \right\}$$

where  $p_{ij}$  is the (i, j)th element of the global system matrix P = WA and  $\max_j p_{ij}$  represents the maximum value among the elements in the ith row vector of P,  $\max_j p_{ji}$  does the maximum value among the elements in the ith column vector of P. When perfect signal separation is carried out, the performance index *PI* is zero. Since we are looking at the estimation of the FECG and MECG signals, we also consider the *SER*, which is a second order measure. The *SER* was obtained by using the following relation

$$SER = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{E|s(t)|^2}{E|e(t)|^2} \right)$$

where s(t) is the desired signal and  $e(t) = \hat{s}(t) - s(t)$  is the error. Here  $\hat{s}(t)$  is the estimated source signal and  $\hat{s}(t)$  and s(t) should be at the same energy level and phase while calculating e(t).

# 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The output SNR results for MECG from the OGWE algorithm separation of our simulation are shown for Gaussian noise in Fig 2, 3, and for pink noise in Fig 4, 5. As MECG to FECG ratio varies from 10 to 100 the output SNR of MECG increases. To make more robust, when the ratio varies from 100 to 1000, results show that there is no changes in output SNR for 0 to 20 dB input SNR, but gives different output SNR for 20 to 30 dB input SNR.

The output SNR results for FECG from the OGWE algorithm separation of our simulation shown for Gaussian noise in Fig 6, 7, and for pink noise in Fig 8, 9. As MECG to FECG ratio varies from 10 to 100 the output SNR of FECG decreases. To make more robust, ratio varies from 100 to 1000, results show that there is no changes in output SNR for 0 to 5 dB input SNR, but gives different output SNR decrease for 5 to 30 dB input SNR.

OGWE algorithm separates the white and pink noise equally well. By processing the data we clearly achieve a better second order estimate of the FECG independent of the noise color. In fact, the SNR of the extracted FECG is equivalent to the SNR specified for the added noise up to 30 dB level. In this duration, OGWE algorithm performs well. The OGWE ICA algorithm is able to extract FECG considerably if the amount of input SNR is of the order of 10 dB or less. Even if the SNR approach 0 dB the OGWE algorithm is still able to extract the R wave.

The Performance Index results from the OGWE algorithm separation of our simulation are shown in Fig 10, 11, and for pink noise in Fig 12, 13. The output performance index results show a peak at in between 0 to 8 dB input SNR, but as the MECG to FECG ratio increase from 10 to 100 this peak shift to other input SNRs. Finally peak decrease for more MECG to FECG ratio and performance index becomes lower.

The performance index of the performance matrix P=WA indicates the same decay in higher order separation. As the noise contamination becomes dominant, the demixing performance is poorer between 0 to 5 dB. Performance index

zero indicates a good separation of the two signals. OGWE algorithm has good performance index as the MECG to FECG ratio increase.

### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have calculated the performance of the OGWE algorithm in a simple electro physiologically motivated Blind Source Separation (BSS) problem to extract the FECG. Using simulated independent signals from the skin of a pregnant woman (FECG and MECG); we observe that the BSS performance are unaffected by noise as long as the added noise does not exceed the corruption due to mixing. By processing the data, we clearly achieve a better estimate of the FECG independent of the noise color. We also observe that MECG to FECG sources amplitude ratio increase affects the BSS performances of the OGWE algorithm. For amplitude of interference sources increase, OGWE algorithm is able to extract the sources but with different performance index. Even for high value of performance index, quality of separated signals is quite satisfactory.



Figure 2 show extracted output SNR of MECG for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 3 show extracted output SNR of MECG for 100 to 1000 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 4 show extracted output SNR of MECG for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.



Figure 5 show extracted output SNR of MECG for 100 to 1000 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.



Figure 6 show extracted output SNR of FECG for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 7 show extracted output SNR of FECG for 100to 1000 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 8 show extracted output SNR of FECG for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.



Figure 9 show extracted output SNR of FECG for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.



Figure 10 show output Performance Index for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 11 show output Performance Index for 100 to 1000 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of Gaussian noise.



Figure 12 show output Performance Index for 10 to 100 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.



Figure 13 show output Performance Index for 100 to 1000 amplitude ratios of simulated MECG and FECG signals in presence of pink noise.

# 5. REFERENCES

- Amit Kam and Arnon Cohen, "Maternal ECG ellimination and Foetal ECG detection-Comparision of several Algorithms," Procee. of the 20th annual international conference of the IEEE Engineeing in Medicine and Biology Society., vol. 20, No.1, pp-174-177, 1998.
- [2] V.Zarzoso and A.Nandi, "Noninvasive fetal ECG extraction: Blind separation versus adaptive noise cancellation," IEEE trans, Biomed Engg., vol 48, No1, pp. 12-18, 2001. Seungjin choi, A.Chichocki, s.Amari, "flexible independent component analysis", journal of VLSI Signal Processing, kluwer academic publishers., boston, 2000.
- [3] S.D.Parmar and J.S.Sahambi, "A Comparative Survey on removal of MECG artifacts from FECG using ICA algorithms," Proceeding of International Conference on Intelligent Sensing and Imformation Processing-2004, Chennai-India, ICISIP-2004, pp-88-91.
- [4] Seungjin choi, A.Chichocki, S.Amari, "Flexible independent component analysis", journal of VLSI Signal Processing, kluwer academic publishers. boston, 2000.
- [5] S.D.Parmar and Bhuvan Unhelkar, "Separation Performance of ICA Algorithms in FECG and MECG signals contaminated by Noise", International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking (ICCCN-2008), 18<sup>th</sup> -20<sup>nd</sup> December 2008, Karur-TN, India.
- [6] Juan J. Murillo-Fuentes and Rafael Boloix-Tortosa, Francisco J. Gonzt'alez-Serrano, "Initialized Jacobi Optimization in Independent Component Analysis". 4th International Symposium on Independent Component Analysis and Blind Signal Separation (ICA2003), Nara, Japan, pp 1053-1058, April 2003.
- [7] C.Jutten and J.Herault, "Blind separation of sources part I: An adaptive algorithm based on neuromimatic architecture," Signal Processing., vol.24, pp. 1-10, July 1991.
- [8] MIT-BIH Database Distribution. [Online]. "Available: <u>http://ecg.mit.edu</u>".
- [9] M.Potter, N.Gadhok, and W.Kinsner, "Separation performance of ICA on simulated EEG and ECG signals contaminated by noise," Proc. of the 2002 IEEE canadian conf. on Electrical and computing engg., pp.1099-1104, 2002.