

# Structures on Q-Fuzzy Left N-Subgroups of Near Rings under Triangular Norms

S.Subramanian

Assistant Professor

Department of Mathematics  
 Saranathan College of Engineering  
 Trichy-620 012  
 Tamil Nadu, India

Dr.B.Chellappa

Associate Professor

Department of Mathematics  
 Alagappa Government Arts College  
 Karaikudi-630 003  
 Tamil Nadu, India

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the notion of Q-Fuzzification of left N-Subgroups in a near ring and investigate some related properties, characterization of Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroups with respect to a triangular norm are given.

*Ams subject classification (2000):* 03F055, 03E72, 20N25

*Keywords:* Q-Fuzzy set, Q-Fuzzy left N-subgroup, sub near rings, Homomorphisms, Sup property, t-norm.

## SECTION-1 INTRODUCTION

The theory of Fuzzy sets which was introduced by Zedah [7] is applied to many mathematical branches. Abou-Zoid [1], introduced the notion of a fuzzy sub near ring and studied Fuzzy ideals of near ring. This concept discussed by many researchers among Cho, Davvaz, Dudek, Jun, Kim [2], [3], [4]. In [5], considered the intuitionistic Fuzzification of a right crisp left R.Subgroup in a near ring. A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan [6] introduced a notion of Q-Fuzzy Groups. Also cho.et.al in [4] the notion of normal intuitionistic Fuzzy R-Sub group in a near ring is introduced and related are investigated. The notion of intuitionistic Q-Fuzzy semi primality in a semi group is given by Kim [3]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of Q-Fuzzification of left N-Subgroups in a near ring and investigate some related properties. Characterization of Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroups are given.

### 1.1.1 Section-2 PRELIMINARIES:

**Definition 2.1 :** A non empty set with two binary operations '+' and '.' is called a near ring if it satisfies the following axioms;

- (i)  $(S, +)$  is a group.
- (ii)  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup.
- (iii)  $x.(y + z) = x.y + x.z$

for all  $x,y,z \in S$ .

Precisely speaking it is a left near ring. Because it satisfies the left distributive Law. As N-Subgroup of a near ring 'S' is a subset 'H' of 'S' such that

- (i)  $(H, +)$  is a Sub group of  $(S, +)$
- (ii)  $SH \subset H$
- (iii)  $HS \subset H$ .

If 'H' satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called left N=Subgroup of 'S' and if 'N' satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called right N-Subgroup of S.

A map  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is called homomorphism if  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x,y$  in S.

### Definition 2.2 :

Let 'S' be a near ring. A Fuzzy set I in 'S' is called Q-Fuzzy sub near ring in S if

- (i)  $\mu(x-y, a) \geq \min \{ \mu(x,a), \mu(y,a) \}$
- (ii)  $\mu(xy, a) \geq \min \{ \mu(x,a), \mu(y,a) \}$  for all  $x,y$  in S.

### Definition 2.3 :

A mapping  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ , where X is an arbitrary non empty set and is called Fuzzy Set in X.

### Definition 2.4 :

Let Q and N a set and group respectively. A mapping  $\mu: N \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called Q-Fuzzy set in N.

### Definition 2.5 : ( T-norm )

A triangular norm is a function  $T: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  that satisfies the following conditions for all  $x,y,z$  in  $[0,1]$ .

- (T<sub>1</sub>)  $T(x,1) = x$
- (T<sub>2</sub>)  $T(x,y) = T(y,x)$
- (T<sub>3</sub>)  $T[x, T(y,z)] = T(T(x,y), z)$
- (T<sub>4</sub>)  $T(x,y) \leq T(x,z)$  when  $y \leq z$ .

### Definition 2.6 :

A Q-Fuzzy Set ' $\mu$ ' is called a Q-Fuzzy left N-Sub group of S over Q if  $\mu$  satisfies

- (i)  $\mu(n(x-y,q)) \geq T \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \}$
- (ii)  $\mu(nx,q) \geq \mu(x,q)$   
for all  $x,y,n \in S$  and  $q \in Q$ .

### 1.1.2 Section.3

#### Properties of Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroups

#### Proposition 3.1 :

Let 'T' be a t-norm then every imaginable Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup ' $\mu$ ' of a near ring 'S' is a Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S.

**Proof:** Assume 'μ' is imaginable Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S, then we have  
 $\mu ( n(x-y),q) \geq T \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \}$  and  $\mu ( nx,q) \geq \{ \mu(x,q) \}$   
 for all x,y in S.

Since 'μ' is imaginable, We have  

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}\{\mu(x,q), \mu(y,q)\} &= T [\text{min} \{ \mu(x,q), \\ &\mu(y,q) \}, \text{min} \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \}] \\ &\leq T \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \} \\ &\leq \text{min} \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \} \\ &\text{and so} \\ T \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \} &= \text{min} \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mu ( n(x-y),q) \geq T \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \}$   
 $= \text{min} \{ \mu(x,q), \mu(y,q) \}$  for all x,y ∈ S.  
 Hence 'μ' is a Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S.

**Proposition 3.2 :**

If 'μ' is Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroups of a near ring 'S' and Q is an endomorphism of S, then  $\mu_{(Q)}$  is a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S.

Proof : For any x,y ∈ S, We have

(i)  $\mu_{(Q)} ( n(x-y),q) = \mu ( Q n(x-y),q)$   
 $= \mu \{ (Q(x,q), Q(y,q)) \}$   
 $\geq T \{ \mu ( Q(x,q), \mu ( Q(y,q)) \}$   
 $= T \{ \mu_{(Q)} ( x,q), \mu_{(Q)} ( y,q) \}$   
 (ii)  $\mu_{(Q)} ( nx,q) = \mu ( Q(nx,q) ]$   
 $\geq \mu ( Q(x,q) ]$   
 $\geq \mu_{(Q)} ( x,q) ]$

Hence  $\mu_{(Q)} ( x,q)$  is Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S.

**Proposition 3.3 :**

An onto homomorphism of a Q-Fuzzy left N-subgroup of near ring 'S' is G-Fuzzy left N Subgroup.

**Proof:** Let  $f : S \rightarrow S^1$  be an onto homomorphism of near rings and '□' be a Q-Fuzzy left N- Subgroup of  $S^1$  and 'μ' be the pre image of '□' under f then We have

(i)  $\mu ((n(x-y),q) ] = \square ( f (n(x-y),q)$   
 $= \square ( f (x,q) ,f(y,q) )$   
 $\geq T \{ \mu (f(x,q), \mu (f(y,q) )$   
 $\geq T \{ (f(x,q), (f(y,q) )$   
 (ii)  $\mu (nx , q) = \square ( f(nx,q) ]$   
 $\geq \square ( f(x,q) ]$   
 $\geq \mu ( x,q)$

**Proposition 3.4 :** An onto homomorphic image of a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup with the supremum property is a Q-Fuzzy left N-subgroup.

**Proof:** Let  $f : S \rightarrow S^1$  be an onto homomorphic of near rings and let 'μ' be a supremum property of Q-Fuzzy N- Subgroup of  $S^1$ .

Let  $x^1, y^1 \in S^1$  and  $x_0 \in f^{-1} (x^1), y_0 \in f^{-1} (y^1)$  be such that

$$\mu (x_0 ,q) = \text{Sup}_{(h,a) \in f^{-1}(x^1)} \mu ( h,q),$$

$$\mu (y_0 ,q) = \text{Sup}_{(h,q) \in f^{-1}(y^1)} \{ \mu ( h,q) \} \text{ respectively.}$$

Then we can deduce that

(i)  $\mu^f ( n(x^1 - y^1) ,q ) = \text{Sup}_{(z,a) \in f^{-1} ( n(x^1 - y^1),q)}$   
 $\mu ( z , q )$   
 $\geq T \{ \mu(x_0,q), \mu(y_0,q) \}$   
 $\geq T \{ \text{Sup}_{(h,a) \in f^{-1}(x^1)} \mu ( h,q), \text{Sup}_{(h,a) \in f^{-1}(y^1)} \mu ( h,q) \}$   
 $= T \{ \mu^1(x^1,q) , \mu^1(y^1,q) \}$   
 (ii)  $\mu^f (nx,q) = \text{Sup}_{(z,q) \in f^{-1} (nx,q)} \mu ( z , q )$   
 $\geq \mu (y_0,q)$   
 $= \text{Sup}_{(t,q)} \mu ( t , q )$   
 $(h,a) \in f^{-1} (y^1,q)$   
 $= \mu^1(y^1,q)$

Hence  $\mu^1$  is a Q-Fuzzy left N-subset of  $S^1$ .

**Proposition 3.5:**

Let 'T' be a continuous t-norm and let 'f' be a homomorphism on a near ring 'S' if 'μ' is Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S, then  $\mu^f$  is a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of f(S).

**Proof :** Let  $A_1 = f^{-1} (y_1,q)$ , and  $A_2 = f^{-1} (y_2,q)$  and  $A_{12} = f^{-1} (n(y_1-y_2,q))$  where  $y_1, y_2 \in f(S), q \in Q$ .

Consider the set  $A_1 - A_2 = \{ x \in S / (x,q) = (a_1,q) - (a_2,q) \}$  for some  $(a_1,q) \in A_1$  and  $(a_2,q) \in A_2$

If  $(x,q) \in A_1 - A_2$ , then

$(x,q) = (x_1,q) - (x_2,q)$  for some  $(x_1,q) \in A_1$  and  $(x_2,q) \in A_2$   
 so that We have  
 $f(x,q) = f(x_1,q) - f(x_2,q)$   
 $= y_1 - y_2$   
 $\therefore (x,q) \in f^{-1} [(y_1,q) - (y_2,q)] = f^{-1} (n(y_1 - y_2),q)$   
 $= A_{12}$  Thus  
 $A_1 - A_2 \subset A_{12}$

It follows that  
 $\mu^f [n(y_1 - y_2), q] = \text{Sup}_{(x,q) \in f^{-1} (y_1 - y_2), (y_2 - y_1) } \{ \mu(x,q) / (x,q) \}$   
 $= \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x,q) / (x,q) \in A_{12} \}$   
 $\geq \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x,q) / (x,q) \in A_1 - A_2 \}$   
 $\geq \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_1,q) - \mu(x_2,q) / (x_1,q) \in A_1 \text{ and } (x_2,q) \in A_2 \}$

Since T is continuous, For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

We see that If

$\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_1,q) / (x_1, q) \in A_1 \} - \mu(x_1^*,q) \in A_2 \} \leq \delta$  and  
 $\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_2,q) / (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} - \mu(x_2^*,q) \in A_2 \} \leq \delta$   
 $T \{ \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_1,q) / (x_1, q) \in A_1 \},$   
 $\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_2,q) / (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} - T \{ \mu(x_1^*,q),$   
 $\mu(x_2^*,q) \} \leq \epsilon$

Choose  $(a_1,q) \in A_1$  and  $(a_2,q) \in A_2$  such that  $\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_1,q) / (x_1, q) \in A_1 \} - \mu(a_1,q) \leq \delta$  then we have  
 $T \{ \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_1,q) / (x_1, q) \in A_1 \},$   
 $\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x_2,q) / (x_2, q) \in A_2 \}$

$$-T \{ \mu(a_1, q) - \mu(a_2, q) \} \leq \epsilon$$

Consequently We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^f(n(y_1 - y_2, q)) &\geq \sup \{ T(\mu(x_1, q), \mu(x_2, q)) / \\ &\quad (x_1, q) \in A_1 \text{ and } (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} \\ &\geq T \{ \sup \{ \mu(x_1, q) / (x_1, q) \in A_1 \cdot \sup \{ \mu(x_2, q) / (x_2, q) \in A_2 \} \\ &\quad \geq T \{ \mu^f(y_1, q), \mu^f(y_2, q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, We can show  $\mu^f(nx, q) \geq \mu^f[(x, q)]$ .  
Hence  $\mu^f$  is a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of  $f(S)$ .

**Proposition 3.6**

Let ‘ $\mu$ ’ be a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S. then the Q-Fuzzy subset  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S generated by ‘ $\mu$ ’ more over  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is the smallest Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup containing it.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in N$  and let  $\mu(x, q) = t_1$ ,  
 $\mu(y, q) = t_2$  and  $\mu(n(x-y), q) = t$   
Let it possible  $t = \langle \mu \rangle(n(x-y), q)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq T \{ \langle \mu \rangle(x, q), \langle \mu \rangle(n(y), q) \} \\ &= T \{ t_1, t_2 \} = t_1 \text{ (say)} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $t_1 = \langle \mu \rangle(x, a) = \sup \{ k / x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} \geq t$   
Therefore there exist  $k_1$ , such that  $x \in \langle \mu_{k_1} \rangle$ . Also  
 $t_2 = \langle \mu \rangle(y, q) = \sup \{ k / y \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} \geq t$ ,  
Therefore there exist  $k_2 > t$  such that  $y \in \langle \mu_{k_2} \rangle$   
without loss of generality, We may assume that  $k_1, k_2$  so  
that  $\langle \mu_{k_1} \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{k_2} \rangle$ . Then  $x, y \in \langle \mu_{k_2} \rangle$  that is  $n(x-y)$  which  
is a contradiction since  $k_2 > t$  Therefore  $t \geq t_1$   
Consequently ,

$$\mu(n(x-y), q) \geq T \{ \langle \mu \rangle(x, q), \langle \mu \rangle(y, q) \} \text{-----} \textcircled{1}$$

Now let, if possible,

$$t_3 = \{ \langle \mu \rangle(nx, q) \leq \langle \mu \rangle(x, q) \} = t_1$$

Then  $t_1 = \langle \mu \rangle(x, q) = \sup \{ k / x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} > t_3$   
Therefore there exists  $k$  such that  $x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$  and  $t_1 > k > t_3$   
so that  $nx \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{t_3} \rangle$  which is a contradiction.  
Hence  $t_3 = \{ \langle \mu \rangle(nx, q) \geq \langle \mu \rangle(x, q) \} = t_2 \text{---} \textcircled{2}$

Consequently Conditions  $\textcircled{1} \textcircled{2}$  yield that  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of S.

Finally to show that  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is the smallest Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup containing  $\mu$ , let as assume that Q to be a Q-fuzzy left N-subgroup of S such that  $\mu \subset Q$  and show that  $\langle \mu \rangle \subset Q$ .

Let it possible,

$$t = \langle \mu \rangle(x, q) \geq Q(x, q) \text{ for some } x \in N, q \in Q.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, then  $t = \mu_t = \sup \{ k / x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \}$   
and  $t - \epsilon \leq k \leq t$

$$\text{so that } x \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{k-t+\epsilon} \rangle, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Now  $\alpha = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$ ,  $\alpha_i \in N$ ,  
 $x_i$  belongs to  $t - \epsilon$ .

$$x_i \in \mu_{t-\epsilon} \text{ implies } \mu(x_i, q) \geq t - \epsilon,$$

i.e.)  $Q(x_i, q) \geq t - \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore Q(x, q) &\geq T \{ Q(x_1, q), Q(x_2, q), \dots, Q(x_n, q) \} \\ &\geq t - \epsilon \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Q(x, q) = t$  which is a contradiction to our supposition.

**Proposition 3.7 :**

Let ‘Q’ be a Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup near ring S and let  $\mu +$  be a Q-Fuzzy set in N defined by  $\mu + (x, a) = \mu(x, a) + 1 - \mu(0, q)$  for  $x \in N$ . Then  $\mu +$  is a normal Q-Fuzzy left N-subgroup of S containing ‘ $\mu$ ’.

**Proof :** For any  $x, y \in N$  and  $q \in Q$ ,

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \mu^{-1}(n(x-y), y) &= \mu(n(x-y), q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\ &\geq \{ \mu(x, q), \mu(y, q) \} + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\ &\geq T \{ \mu(x, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q), \mu(y, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \} \\ &= T \{ \mu^{-1}(x, q) \cdot \mu^{-1}(y, q) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \mu + (nx, q) &= \mu(nx, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\ &\geq \mu(x, q) + 1 - \mu(0, q) \\ &= \mu + (x, q) \end{aligned}$$

**CONCLUSION**

OsmanKozanci, Sultanyamark and Serifeyilmaz (5) introduced the intuitconistic Q-Fuzzy R-Subgroups of near rings. In this paper we investigate the notion of Q-Fuzzy left N-Subgroup of near ring with respect to t-norm and characterization of them.

**REFERENCES**

1. S.Abou.Zoid "On Fuzzy sub near rings and ideals “, Fuzzy sets and systems, 44(1991),139-146.
2. Y.U. Cho, Y.B.Jun, ‘On Intuitionistic Fuzzy R-Subgroup of near rings”. J.Appl.Math. and Computing, 18 (1-2) (2005), 665-677.
3. K.H. Kim Y.B. Jun, “ On Fuzzy R.Subgroups of near rings”, J.Fuzzy math 8(3)(2000) 549-558.
4. K.H.Kim, Y.B. Jun, “Normal Fuzzy R-Sub groups of near rings, “J.Fuzzy Sets, Syst. 121 (2001) 341-345.
5. Osman Kazari, Sultan Yamark and Scrife Yincz, “On Intuitionistic Q-Fuzzy R Subgroups of near rings”, International Mathematical Forum, 2,2007, 59 (2899-2910).
6. A Solai Raju and R.Nagarajan, “ New Structure and Construction of Q-Fuzzy group”.Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 4(1) (2009), 23-29.
7. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, inform Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.