A Study on Anti Fuzzy Sub-Bigroup

R.Muthuraj Department of Mathematics PSNA CET, Dindigul. – 624 622 M.Rajinikannan Department of MCA PSNA CET, Dindigul. – 624 622 M.S.Muthuraman Department of Mathematics PSNA CET, Dindigul. – 624 622

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup and anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group and discussed some of its properties with counter example.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22F05, 06F10.

Keywords

Fuzzy set, anti fuzzy subgroup, anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup, anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group, bi-lower level subset, homomorphism, anti-homomorphism.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh. Then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, social science, graph theory etc. Rosenfeld gave the idea of fuzzy subgroups and Ranjith Biswas gave the idea of anti fuzzy subgroups.

The notion of bigroup was first introduced by P.L.Maggu in 1994. W.B. Vasantha Kandasamy and D.Meiyappan introduced concept of fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup and fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup and bi-lower level subset of an anti fuzzy subbigroup and anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group and prove some results with counter examples. Also we establish the relationship between anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group and anti fuzzy subgroup of a group.

1. Preliminaries

This section contains some definitions and results to be used in the sequel.

1.1 Definition

Let S be a set. A fuzzy subset A of S is a function A: $S \rightarrow [0,1]$.

1.2 Definition

Let G be a group. A fuzzy subset A of G is called an anti fuzzy subgroup if for x, y ϵ G,

(i)
$$A(xy) \le \max \{ A(x), A(y) \}$$

(11)
$$A(x^{-1}) = A(x).$$

1.3 Definition

Let A be a fuzzy subset of S. For t ε [0,1], the lower level subsets of A is the set,

$$\bar{A}t = \{ x \in S : A(x) \le t \}.$$

1.4 Definition

Let G be a finite group of order n. and A be an anti fuzzy subgroup of G .

Let Im (A) = { ti : A(x) = ti for some $x \in G$ }. Then { \overline{A} ti } are the only lower level subgroups of A.

1.5 Definition

A set (G, +, •) with two binary operation '+' and '•' is called a bigroup if there exist two proper subsets G1 and G2 of G such that

A non-empty subset H of a bigroup (G , + , •) is called a subbigroup, if H itself is a bigroup under '+' and '• ' operations defined on G.

1.6 Definition

Let $G = (G, +, \bullet)$ be a bigroup. Then $A : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup G if there exists two fuzzy subsets A1 of G1 and A2 of G2 such that

i.
$$A = A_1 \cup A_2$$

ii. $(A_1, +)$ is an anti fuzzy subgroup of $(G_1, +)$.

iii. (A_2, \bullet) is a an anti fuzzy subgroup (G_2, \bullet) .

1.1 Example

Let G1 = { 0 , a , b , a + b } be a group under the operation '+ ' with a + a = b + b = 0 and a + b = b + a

Let G2 = { 1 , -1 , i , - i } be a group under the operation $\bullet\,$. Define A : G \rightarrow [0 ,1] by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{for } x = 0 \\ 0.3 & \text{for } x = a, 1 \\ 0.4 & \text{for } x = b, a+b, -1 \\ 0.5 & \text{for } x = i, -i \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup G, for we can find $A1: G1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$A_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{for } x = 0 \\ 0.3 & \text{for } x = a \\ 0.4 & \text{for } x = b, a+b \end{cases}$$

and A2 : G2 \rightarrow [0,1] is given by

$$A_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & \text{for } x = 1 \\ 0.4 & \text{for } x = -1 \\ 0.5 & \text{for } x = i, -i \end{cases}$$

Clearly A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup G.

1.1 Theorem

Every t – lower level subsets of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A of a bigroup G need not in general be a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G.

Proof: We prove this by an example.

Take $G = \{-1,0,1\}$ to be a bigroup under the operation '+ ' and '• ' where $G1 = \{0\}$ and $G2 = \{-1,1\}$ are groups respectively with respect to usual addition and usual multiplication.

Define A : G \rightarrow [0,1]

A (x) =
$$\begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{for } x = -1, 1 \\ 0.75 & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 2 – No.1, May 2010

Clearly $(A,+, \bullet)$ is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup $(G, ,+, \bullet)$. Now consider the lower level subset $\bar{A}t$ for t = 0.5 of the anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A

For
$$t = 0.5$$
,

$$\bar{A}_t = \{ x \in G : A(x) \le 0.5 \}$$

$$\bar{A}_t = \{ -1, 1 \}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\{-1,1\}$ is not a sub-bigroup of the bigroup $(G, ,+, \bullet)$. Hence the lower level subset $\overline{A}t$ for t = 0.5 of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A is not a sub-bigroup of the bigroup $(G, ,+, \bullet)$.

We introduce the notion of bi-lower level subset of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup.

1.7 Definition

Let $G = (G1 \cup G2, +, \bullet)$ be a bigroup and $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup G. The bi-lower level subset of the anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A of the bigroup G is defined as

 $\overline{A}t = \overline{A}1t \cup \overline{A}2t$ for every $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$.

Where e1 denotes the identity element of the group (G1, +) and e2 denotes the identity element of the group $(G2, \bullet)$.

Remark

The condition t ϵ [max {A1(e1), A2(e2) },1] is essential for the bi-lower level subset to be a sub-bigroup, for if t \notin [max {A1(e1), A2(e2) },1], the bi-lower level subset need not in general be a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G which is evident from the following example.

1.2 Example

Consider Example 1.1, the bi-lower level subset $\bar{A}t$ for t = 0.2 of the anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A is given by $\bar{A}t = \{0\}$ which is not a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G. Therefore the bi-lower level subset $\bar{A}t$ for t = 0.2 is not a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G.

1.2 Theorem

Every bi-lower level subset of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A of a bigroup G is a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G.

Proof

Let A = (A1 \cup A2) be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G = (G1 \cup G2 ,+, •). Consider the bi-lower level subset $\bar{A}t$ of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A for every t ε [max {A1(e1), A2(e2) },1], where e1 denotes the identity element of the group (G1, +) and e2 denotes the identity element of the group (G2, •). Then $\bar{A}t = \bar{A}1t \cup \bar{A}2t$ where $\bar{A}1t$ and $\bar{A}2t$ are subgroups of G1 and G2 respectively. Hence by the definition of sub-bigroup $\bar{A}t$ is a sub-bigroup of the bigroup (G, ,+, •).

We illustrate the following by an example.

1.3 Example

Let $G = \{0,\pm 1, \pm i\}$ is a bigroup with respect to addition modulo 2 and multiplication. Clearly $G1 = \{0,1\}$ and $G2 = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ are groups with respect to addition modulo 2 and multiplication respectively. Define $A : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{for } x = 0\\ 0.5 & \text{for } x = \pm 1\\ 0.7 & \text{for } x = \pm i \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of the bigroup G s there exist two anti fuzzy subgroups A1 : $G1 \rightarrow [0,1]$, A2 : G2 $\rightarrow [0,1]$ such that A = (A1 \cup A2) where

$$A_1 (x) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{for } x = 0 \\ 0.4 & \text{for } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_2 \,(\,x\,) \;=\;\; \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & 0.5 \ \mbox{ for } \ x=\pm 1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & 0.7 \ \mbox{ for } \ x=\pm i \end{array} \right.$$

We now calculate the bi-lower level subset $\bar{A}t$ for t = 0.5, $\bar{A}_t = \bar{A}_{1t} \cup \bar{A}_{2t} = \{ x \in G_1 : A_1(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \le 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{ x \in G_2 : A_2(x) \ge 0.5 \} \cup \{$

$$\begin{array}{ll} = & \{ \ 0,1 \} \cup \{ \text{-1,1} \ \} \\ & = \{ \ 0,\pm 1 \} \\ \\ \bar{A}_t \ = \ \{ \ 0 \ ,\pm 1 \ \} \end{array}$$

It is easily verified that \bar{A}_t is a sub-bigroup of the bigroup G.

1.3 Theorem

Let G be a bigroup and A1, A2 be fuzzy subsets of A such that $A = (A1 \cup A2)$. The bi-lower level subset $\overline{A}t$ of A is a subbigroup of G, $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$, where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively. Then A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G.

Proof

Let
$$G = (G_1 \cup G_2)$$
 be a bigroup.

Given that the bi-lower level subset $\bar{A}t = \bar{A}1t \cup \bar{A}2t$ is a subbigroup of G.

Clearly \overline{A} 1t is a subgroup of G1, A1 is an anti fuzzy subgroup of G1.

Clearly $\overline{A}2t$ is a subgroup of G2 , A2 is an anti fuzzy subgroup of G2.

Hence $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ and hence A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

1.8 Definition

Let $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup $G = (G1 \cup G2)$. The sub-bigroups $\overline{A}t$, for $t \in [max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$, where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively, are called bi-lower level sub-bigroups of A.

1.4 Theorem

Let $A=(A1 \cup A2)$ be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup $G=(G1 \cup G2$). Two bi-lower level subgroups $\bar{A}\alpha$, $\bar{A}\beta$, α , $\beta \in [max {A1(e1), A2(e2) },1]$, where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively with $\alpha < \beta$ are equal iff there is no x in G such that $\alpha < A(x) \leq \beta$.

Proof

Let A = (A1 \cup A2) be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G = (G1 \cup G2).

Consider the two bi-lower level subgroups $\bar{A}\alpha$, $\bar{A}\beta$, α , $\beta \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively with $\alpha < \beta$.

Let
$$\bar{A}_{\alpha} = \bar{A}_{\beta}$$
.

We have to prove that there is no x in G such that

$$\alpha < A(x) \leq \beta$$
.

Suppose that there is an x in G such that $\alpha < A(x) < \beta$ then $x \in \bar{A}\beta$ and $x \notin \bar{A}\alpha$.

This implies $\bar{A}\alpha \subset \bar{A}\beta$, which contradicts the assumption that $\bar{A}\alpha = \bar{A}\beta$.

Hence there is no x in G such that $\alpha < A(x) \le \beta$.

Conversely, suppose that there is no x in G such that

 $\alpha < A(x) \leq \beta.$

Then, by definition, $\bar{A}\alpha \subset \bar{A}\beta$.

Let $x \in \overline{A}\beta$ and there is no x in G such that $\alpha < A(x) \le \beta$.

Hence $x \in \overline{A}\alpha$.

(i.e) $\bar{A}\beta \subset \bar{A}\alpha$.

Hence $\bar{A}\alpha = \bar{A}\beta$.

1.5 Theorem

A fuzzy subset A of G is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of G iff the bilower level subsets $\bar{A}t$, $t \in Image A$, are sub-bigroups of G.

Proof

It is clear.

1.6 Theorem

Any sub-bigroup H of a bigroup G can be realized as a bi-lower level sub-bigroup of some anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G.

Proof: Let $G = (G1 \cup G2, +, \bullet)$ be a bigroup.

Let
$$H = (H1 \cup H2, +, \bullet)$$
 be a sub-bigroup of G.

Let A1 and A2 be a fuzzy subsets of A defined by

$$A_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x \in H_{1} \\ t \text{ if } x \notin H_{1} \end{cases}; \qquad A_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x \in H_{2} \\ t \text{ if } x \notin H_{2} \end{cases}$$

where $t \in [\max \{A1(\ e1),\ A2(\ e2)\ \},1]$, and e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively.

We shall prove that $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G.

Suppose x , $y \in H$, then

i. $x, y \in H_1 \implies x+y \in H_1 \text{ and } x+(-y) \in H_1$.

 $A_1(x) = 0$, $A_1(y) = 0$ and $A_1(x + (-y)) = 0$, then $A_1(x + (-y)) \le \max \{A_1(x), A_1(y)\}$.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i} \left(-\frac{y}{y} \right) \right) = \max \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i} \right), \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} \right) \right).$$

$$A_2(x) = 0$$
 , $A_2(y) = 0$ and $A_2(xy^{-1}) = 0$,

Then $A_2(xy^{-1}) \leq \max \{ A_2(x), A_2(y) \}.$

$$\text{iii.} \qquad x \in H_1 \text{ and } y \notin H_1 \quad \Longrightarrow \ x+y \ \notin H_1 \\$$

and $x + (-y) \notin H_1$.

 $A_1(x) = 0$, $A_1(y) = t$ and $A_1(x + (-y)) = t$, then $A_1(x + (-y)) \le \max \{A_1(x), A_1(y)\}.$

iv. $x \in H_2$ and $y \notin H_2 \implies xy \notin H_2$ and $xy^{-1} \notin H_2$.

$$A_2\,(\;x\;)=0 \quad , A_2\,(\;y\;)\;=t \text{ and } A_2\,(\;xy^{\text{-}1}\;)\;=t \quad , \quad$$

Then $A_2(xy^{-1}) \leq \max \{ A_2(x), A_2(y) \}.$

Suppose x , $y \notin H$, then

i. $x, y \notin H_1$ then $x + y \in H_1$ or $x + y \notin H_1$. $x, y \notin H_1$ then $x + (-y) \in H_1$ or $x + (-y) \notin H_1$. $A_1(x) = t, A_1(y) = t$ and $A_1(x + (-y)) = 0$ or t, then $A_1(x + (-y)) \leq max \{A_1(x), A_1(y)\}$.

ii.
$$x, y \notin H_2 \implies xy \in H_2 \text{ or } xy \notin H_2.$$

 $x, y \notin H_2 \implies xy^{-1} \in H_2 \text{ or } xy^{-1} \notin H_2.$
 $A_2(x) = t, A_2(y) = t \text{ and } A_2(xy^{-1}) = 0 \text{ or } t,$
then $A_2(xy^{-1}) \leq \max \{A_2(x), A_2(y)\}.$

Thus in all cases,

(A1, +) is an anti fuzzy subgroup of (G1, +) and
(A2,
$$\bullet$$
) is an anti fuzzy subgroup of (G2, \bullet).

Hence $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup G. For this anti fuzzy sub-bigroup, $\overline{At} = \overline{A1t} \cup \overline{A2t} = H$.

Remark

As a consequence of the above Theorems, the bi-lower level subbigroups of an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A form a chain. Since A(e1) $\leq A(x)$ for all x in G1 or A(e2) $\leq A(x)$ for all x in G2, therefore $\overline{A}t0$, where t0 = max {A1(e1), A2(e2)}, is the smallest and we have the chain :

 $\{ e1, e2 \} = \overline{A}t0 \subset \overline{A}t1 \subset \overline{A}t2 \subset ... \subset \overline{A}tn = G$, where t0 < t1 < t2 < ... < tn.

Now we proceed on to define the anti fuzzy bigroup of a group.
 Definition

A fuzzy subset A of a group G is said to be an anti fuzzy subbigroup of the group G if there exist two anti fuzzy subgroups A1 and A2 of A (A1 \neq A and A2 \neq A) such that A = A1 \cup A2.

2.1 Theorem

Every anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of a group G is an anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G but not conversely.

Proof

It is clear. Since the union of any two anti fuzzy subgroup is an anti fuzzy subgroup.

Converse part is not true in general and is proved by the following example.

2.1 Example

Consider the group G = { 1,-1, i, -i} under usual multiplication. Define A : G \rightarrow [0,1] by

A (x) =
$$\begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } x = \{ 1, -1 \} \\ \\ 1 \text{ for } x = \{ i, -i \} \end{cases}$$

Clearly A is an anti fuzzy subgroup as all of its lower level subsets are subgroups of G. Further o(Im(A)) = 2.

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 2 – No.1, May 2010

If Ak is an anti fuzzy subgroup of A such that Ak is a subset of A.($Ak \neq A$). Then Ak takes following form.

$$A_{k}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x = \{1, -1\} \\ \\ \\ \alpha_{k} & \text{for } x = \{i, -i\} \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq \alpha_k < 1$ for every i \in I.

It is easy to verify that $Aj \cup Ak \neq A$ for any j,k \in I. Thus there does not exist two anti fuzzy subgroups A1 and A2 of A (A1 \neq A and A2 \neq A) such that A = A1 \cup A2

2.2 Theorem

Let A be an anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G with $3 \le o(Im(A))$ < ∞ then there exist two anti fuzzy subgroups A1 and A2 of A (A1 \neq A and A2 \neq A) such that A = A1 \cup A2

Proof

Let A be an anti fuzzy subgroup of a group G. Suppose $Im(A) = \{a1,a2,...,an\}$ with $a1 \le a2 \le ... \le an$ and $3 \le n \le \infty$.

Choose b1 , b2 ε [0 1] be such that b1 $\leq~$ a1 <~ b2 <~ a2 ~< < an and define A1 , A2 : G $\rightarrow~$ [0 ,1] by ,

$$A_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} a_{1} & \text{for } x \in \bar{A}_{a1} \\ b_{2} & \text{for } x \in \bar{A}_{a2} - \bar{A}_{a1} \\ A(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

	ſ	b_1	for 2	$x \in \ \bar{A}_{a1}$	
=	$\left\{ \right.$	a ₂	for 2	$x \in \bar{A}_{a^2}$ - \bar{A}_{a^3}	l
	Ĺ	A(x)	otherwise		

Clearly A_1 and A_2 are anti fuzzy subgroups.

2.3 Theorem

 $A_2(x)$

Let A be a fuzzy subset of a group G with $3 \le o(Im(A)) < \infty$. Then A is an anti fuzzy subgroup of the group G iff A is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

Proof

It is clear from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2

References

- Biswas .R, Fuzzy subgroups and Anti Fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 35(1990) 121-124.
- [2] Das. P.S, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J.Math.Anal.

Appl, 84 (1981) 264-269.

- [3] Maggu, P.L., On introduction of Bigroup concept with its applications in industry, Pure App. Math Sci., 39, 171-173 (1994).
- [4] Mohamed Asaad, Groups and Fuzzy subgroups Fuzzy sets and systems 39 (1991) 323-328.
- [5] Palaniappan.N , Muthuraj.R , Anti Fuzzy Group and Lower level subgroups, Antarctica J.Math., 1(1)(2004), 71 – 76.
- [6] Prabir Bhattacharya, Fuzzy Subgroups: Some Characterizations, J.Math. Anal. Appl.128 (1987) 241 – 252.
- [7] Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J.Math.Anal.Appl, 35 (1971) 512 - 517.
- [8] P.Sundararajan, N.Palaniappan, R.Muthuraj, Anti M-Fuzzy subgroup and anti M-Fuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-group, Antartica J.Math., 6(1)(2009), 33-37.
- [9] R.Muthuraj, P.M.Sithar Selvam, M.S.Muthuraman, Anti Qfuzzy group and its lower Level subgroups, International journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887), Volume 3no.3, June 2010, 16-20.
- [10] R.Muthuraj, M.Sridharan ,M.S.Muthuraman and P.M.Sitharselvam , Anti Q-fuzzy BG-idals in BG-Algebra, International journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887), Volume 4, no.11, August 2010, 27-31.
- [11] P.Sundararajan, R.Muthuraj, Anti M-fuzzy subgroup and its lower level M-subgroups, International Journal of Computer Applications, (0975 –8887), Vol.23, No.3, 32- 35, July 2011.
- [12] P.Sundararajan, R.Muthuraj, Anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup and its bi lower level M-sub bigroups, International Journal of Computer Applications, (0975 –8887), Vol.26, No.8, 1-4, July 2011.
- [13] Vasantha Kandasamy, W. B. and Meiyappan, D., Bigroup and Fuzzy bigroup, Bol. Soc. Paran Mat, 18, 59-63 (1998).
- [14] Vasantha Kandasamy W. B., "Bialgebraic Structures and Smarandache Bialgebraic Structures", American Research press, Rehoboth, 2003.