# Anti M-Fuzzy Sub-Bigroup and its Bi Lower Level M-Sub Bigroups

P.Sundararajan Department of Mathematics Arignar Anna Govt. Arts College, Namakkal-637 002. R.Muthuraj Department of Mathematics H.H.The rajah's College, Pudukkottai.-622 001.

# ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the concept of anti M-fuzzy subbigroup of an M-bigroup and bi lower level subset of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup and discussed some of its properties.

# Keywords

M-group, anti M-fuzzy subgroup, anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-bigroup, bi lower level subset.

# AMS Subject Classification (2000): 20N25, 03E72, 03F055 ,

# 06F35, 03G25.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh[12]. Then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, social science, graph theory etc. Rosenfeld[6] gave the idea of fuzzy subgroups and Ranjith Biswas[1] gave the idea of anti fuzzy subgroups.

The notion of bigroup was first introduced by P.L.Maggu in 1994. W.B. Vasantha Kandasamy and D.Meiyappan introduced concept of fuzzy sub-bigroup of a bigroup. Author N. Jacobson[5] introduced the concept of M-group, M-subgroup.

# **2. PRELIMINARIES**

This section contains some definitions and results to be used in the sequel.

# 2.1 Definition

A set (G, + ,  $\bullet$  ) with two binary operations  $\ + \ and \ \bullet \ is called a$ 

bigroup if there exist two proper subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of G such that

i.  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ ,

- ii.  $(G_1, +)$  is a group,
- iii.  $(G_2, \bullet)$  is a group.

A non-empty subset H of a bigroup (G, +, •) is called a sub-

bigroup, if H itself is a bigroup under the operations + and  $\bullet$ 

defined on G.

# 2.2 Definition

A group with operators is an algebraic system consisting of a group G , a set M and a function defined in the product set  $M\times G$ 

and having values in G such that, if ma denotes the element in G determined by the element a of G and the element m of M, then m(ab) = (ma)(mb) holds for all a,  $b \in G$  and  $m \in M$ . We shall use the phrases "G is an M-group" to a group with operators. A subgroup H of an M-group G is said to be an M-subgroup if  $mx \in H$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $x \in H$ .

## 2.3 Definition

A set (G, +, •) with two binary operation + and • is called an M-bigroup if there exist two proper subsets G1 and G2 of G such that

i. 
$$G = G_1 \cup G_2$$

ii. 
$$(G_1, +)$$
 is an M-group.

iii.  $(G_2, \bullet)$  is an M-group.

A non-empty subset H of an M-bigroup (G, +,  $\bullet$ ) is called an M-sub bigroup, if H itself is a M-bigroup under + and  $\bullet$  operations defined on G.

#### 2.4 Definition

Let G be a group. A fuzzy subset A of G is called an anti fuzzy subgroup if for x,  $y \in G$ ,

i. 
$$A(xy) \le \max \{ A(x), A(y) \},$$
  
ii.  $A(x^{-1}) = A(x).$ 

# 2.5 Definition

Let A be a fuzzy subset of S. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the lower level subset of A is the set,  $\bar{A}t = \{ x \in S : A(x) \le t \}$ .

#### 2.6 Definition

Let G be a finite group of order n and A be an anti fuzzy subgroup of G.

Let Im (A) = { ti : A(x) = ti for some  $x \in G$  }. Then {  $\overline{A}$ ti } are the only lower level subgroups of A.

#### 2.7 Definition

Let G be an M-group and A be an anti fuzzy subgroup of G. Then A is called an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G if for all  $x \in G$ and  $m \in M$ , then  $A(mx) \leq A(x)$ .

#### 2.8 Definition

Let G be an M-group and A be an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G. Let Im (A) = { ti : A(x) = ti for some  $x \in G$  }. Then {  $\overline{A}$ ti } are the only lower level M-subgroups of A.

#### 2.9 Definition

Let G = (G1  $\cup$  G2, +, •) be a bigroup. Then a fuzzy subset A is said to be an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of G if there exist two fuzzy subsets A1 of G1 and A2 of G2 such that

i.  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \ .$  ii.  $(A_1, +) \text{ is an anti fuzzy subgroup of } (G_1, +)$ 

iii.  $(A_2, \bullet)$  is a an anti fuzzy subgroup  $(G_2, \bullet)$ .

#### 2.10 Definition

Let  $G = (G1 \cup G2, +, \bullet)$  be an M-bigroup. Then an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup A of G is said to be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G if

 $i. \qquad A \ (m+x) \leq \ A(x) \ \ \text{for all} \ x \in G_1 \ \text{and} \ m \in M.$ 

ii.  $A(m \bullet x) \leq A(x)$  for all  $x \in G_2$  and  $m \in M$ .

# **3. PROPERTIES OF BI LOWER LEVEL SUBSETS OF AN ANTI M-FUZZY SUB-BIGROUP OF AN M-BIGROUP**

In this section, we introduce the concept of lower level subset of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-bigroup and discuss some of its properties.

# 3.1 Definition

Let  $G = (G1 \cup G2, +, \bullet)$  be an M-bigroup and  $A = (A1 \cup A2)$  be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G. The bi lower level subset of the anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup A of G is defined as

 $\overline{A}t = \overline{A}1t \cup \overline{A}2t$ , for every  $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , where e1 denotes the identity element of the group (G1, +) and e2 denotes the identity element of the group (G2, •). **Remark** 

# The condition $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ is essential for the bi lower level to be an M-sub bigroup, for if $t \notin [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , the bi lower level subset need not in general be an M-sub bigroup of an M-bigroup G.

# 3.1 Theorem

Every bi lower level Subset of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup A of an M-bigroup G is an M-sub bigroup of G.

# Proof

Let  $A= (A1 \cup A2)$  be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an Mbigroup  $G = (G1 \cup G2, +, \bullet)$ . Consider the bi lower level subset  $\overline{A}t$  of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup A, for every  $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , where e1 denotes the identity of (G1, +) and e2 denotes the identity element of the group  $(G2, \bullet)$ . Then  $\overline{A}t = \overline{A}1t \cup \overline{A}2t$  where  $\overline{A}1t$  and  $\overline{A}2t$  are M-subgroups of G1 and G2 respectively. Hence by the definition of M-sub bigroup  $\overline{A}t$  is an M-sub bigroup of G.

# 3.2 Theorem

Let G be an M-bigroup and A1, A2 be fuzzy subsets of A such that  $A = (A1 \cup A2)$ . The bi lower level subset  $\overline{A}t$  of A is an M-sub bigroup of G,  $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively. Then A is an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

# Proof

Let  $G=\ (G1\ \cup G2$  ) be an M-bigroup.

Given that the bi lower M-level subset  $\bar{A}t = \bar{A}1t \cup \bar{A}2t$  is an M-sub bigroup of G.

Clearly  $\overline{A}$ 1t is an M-subgroup of G1 , A1 is an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G1.

Clearly  $\overline{A}2t$  is a subgroup of G2 , A2 is an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G2.

Clearly A =  $(A1 \cup A2)$  and hence A is an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

## 3.1 Definition

Let  $A = (A1 \cup A2)$  be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an Mbigroup  $G = (G1 \cup G2)$ . The M-sub bigroups  $\overline{A}t$ , for  $t \in [max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively, are called bi lower level M-sub bigroups of A.

# 3.3 Theorem

Let  $A=(A1 \cup A2)\,$  be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-bigroup  $G=(G1 \cup G2\,).$  Two bi lower level M-sub bigroups  $\bar{A}\alpha,$  $\bar{A}\beta$ ,  $\alpha,\beta\in[\max$  {A1( e1), A2( e2) },1], where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively with  $\alpha<\beta$  are equal iff there is no x in G such that  $\alpha< A(x)\leq\beta.$ 

## Proof

Let  $A = (A1 \cup A2)$  be an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of an Mbigroup  $G = (G1 \cup G2)$ . Consider the two bi lower level M-sub bigroups  $\bar{A}\alpha$ ,  $\bar{A}\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$  where e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively with  $\alpha < \beta$ .

Let  $\bar{A}\alpha = \bar{A}\beta$ .

We have to prove that there is no x in G such that  $\alpha < A(x) \le \beta$ .

Suppose that there is an x in G such that  $\alpha < A(x) < \beta$  , then  $x \in \bar{A}\beta$  and  $x \notin \bar{A}\alpha$  .

This implies  $\bar{A}\alpha \subset \bar{A}\beta$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\bar{A}\alpha = \bar{A}\beta$ .

Hence there is no x in G such that  $\alpha < A(x) \leq \beta$ .

Conversely, suppose that there is no x in G such that  $\alpha < A(x) \le \beta$ .

Then, by definition,  $\bar{A}\alpha \subset \bar{A}\beta$ .

Let  $x \in \overline{A}\beta$  and there is no x in G such that  $\alpha < A(x) \le \beta$ .

Hence  $x \in \overline{A}\alpha$ .

That is,  $\bar{A}\beta \subset \bar{A}\alpha$ .

Hence  $\bar{A}\alpha = \bar{A}\beta$ .

# 3.4 Theorem

A fuzzy subset A of G is an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G iff the bi lower level Subsets  $\bar{A}t$ ,  $t \in Image A$ , are M-sub bigroups of G.

#### **Proof**: It is clear.

#### 3.5 Theorem

Any M-sub bigroup H of an M-bigroup G can be realized as a bi lower level M-sub bigroup of some anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G. Proof

Let  $G = (G_1 \cup G_2, +, \bullet)$  be an M-bigroup.

Let  $H = (H_1 \cup H_2, +, \bullet)$  be an M-sub bigroup of G.

Let A1 and A2 be a fuzzy subsets of A defined by

$$A_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in H_{1} \\ \\ t & \text{if } x \notin H_{1} \end{cases}$$
$$A_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in H_{2} \\ \\ t & \text{if } x \notin H_{2} \end{cases},$$

where  $t \in [\max \{A1(e1), A2(e2)\}, 1]$ , and e1 denotes the identity element of G1 and e2 denotes the identity element of G2 respectively.

We shall prove that A = (A1  $\cup$  A2) is an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

Suppose x ,  $y\in H$  , then

 $i. \hspace{1cm} x \text{ , } y \in H_1 \Longrightarrow x + y \ \in H_1 \ \text{ and } x + (\text{-}y) \in H_1 \text{ .}$ 

 $A_1(x)=0$ ,  $A_1(y)=0$  and  $A_1(x + (-y)) = 0$ , then  $A_1(x + (-y)) \le \max \{A_1(x), A_2(y)\}.$ 

ii.  $x, y \in H_2 \implies xy \in H_2$  and  $xy^{-1} \in H_2$ .

 $A_{2}\left(x\right)=\!\!0$  ,  $A_{2}\left(y\right)\!\!=\!\!0$  and  $A_{2}\left(xy^{\text{-}1}\right)=0$  , then

 $A_2(xy^{-1}) \le \max \{ A_2(x), A_2(y) \}.$ 

- iii.  $x \in H_1 \text{ and } y \notin H_1 \implies x + y \notin H_1 \text{ and } x + (-y) \notin H_1$ .  $A_1(x) = 0$ ,  $A_1(y) = t$  and  $A_1(x + (-y)) = t$ , then  $A_1(x + (-y)) \leq \max \{ A_1(x), A_2(y) \}.$
- $\text{iv.}\qquad x\,\in\,H_2\text{ and }y\not\in\,H_2\quad\Longrightarrow\quad xy\ \not\in\,H_2\ \text{ and }xy^{\text{-1}}\not\in\,H_2.$

 $A_2\left( \ x \ \right)=0 \quad \text{, } A_2\left( \ y \ \right) \ =t \ \text{and} \ A_2\left( \ xy^{-1} \ \right) \ =t \ \text{, then}$ 

$$A_2(xy^{-1}) \le \max \{ A_2(x), A_2(y) \}.$$

Suppose x ,  $y \notin H$  , then

i.  $x, y \notin H_1$  then  $x + y \in H_1$  or  $x + y \notin H_1$ .

 $x, y \notin H_1$  then  $x + (-y) \in H_1$  or  $x + (-y) \notin H_1$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} A_1(x)=t\;,\;A_1(y)=t\; and\;\;A_1(x\;+(-\;y))=0\; or\;t\;,\; then\;\;A_1\\(\;x\;+(-\;y)\;)\;\;\leq\;\;max\;\{\;A_1\;(\;x\;)\;\;,\;A_2\;(\;y\;)\;\;\}. \end{array}$ 

ii.  $x, y \notin H_2 \implies xy \in H_2 \text{ or } xy \notin H_2.$ 

 $x, y \notin H_2 \implies xy^{-1} \in H_2 \text{ or } xy^{-1} \notin H_2.$ 

 $A_2(x) = t$ ,  $A_2(y) = t$  and  $A_2(xy^{-1}) = 0$  or t, then  $A_2(xy^{-1}) \le \max \{A_2(x), A_2(y)\}$ .

Thus in all cases,

(  $A_{\mathrm{l}},+$  ) is an anti fuzzy subgroup of (  $G_{\mathrm{l}}$  , + ) and

 $(A_2, \bullet)$  is an anti fuzzy subgroup of  $(G_2, \bullet)$ .

Hence  $A = (A_1 \cup A_2)$  is an anti fuzzy sub-bigroup of G. Suppose, for  $m \in M$  and  $x \in H_1$ , we have  $m + x \in H_1$ . Then,  $A_1(x) = 0$  and  $A_1(m + x) = 0$ . Hence,  $A_1(m + x) \leq A_1(x)$ .

Now, for  $m \in M$  and  $x \notin H_1$  , we have  $m + x \in H_1$  or  $m + x \notin H_1 \quad .$ 

Then,  $A_1(x) = t$  and  $A_1(m + x) = 0$  or t.

Hence,  $A_1(m + x) \le A_1(x)$ .

Clearly, A<sub>1</sub> is an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G<sub>1</sub>.

Suppose, for  $m \in M$  and  $x \in H_2$ , we have  $mx \in H_2$ .

Then,  $A_2(x) = 0$  and  $A_2(mx) = 0$ .

Hence,  $A_2(mx) \leq A_2(x)$ .

Now, for  $m \in M$  and  $x \notin H_2$ , we have  $mx \in H_2$  or  $mx \notin H_2$ 

Then,  $A_2(x) = t$  and  $A_2(mx) = 0$  or t.

Hence,  $A_2(mx) \leq A_2(x)$ .

Clearly, A2 is an anti M-fuzzy subgroup of G2.

Hence  $A = (A_1 \cup A_2)$  is an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup of G.

For this anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup,  $\bar{A}_t = \bar{A}_{1t} \cup \bar{A}_{2t} = H$ .

# Remark

As a consequence of the Theorem 2.3, the bi lower level M-sub bigroups of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup A form a chain. Since  $A(e_1) \leq A(x)$  or  $A(e_2) \leq A(x)$  for all x in G, therefore  $\bar{A}_{t0}$ , where max  $\{A_1(e_1), A_2(e_2)\} = t_0$  is the smallest and we have the chain :

 $\{ e_1, e_2 \} = \bar{A}_{t0} \subset \bar{A}_{t1} \subset \bar{A}_{t2} \subset \ldots \subset \bar{A}_{tn} = G,$ 

where  $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n.}$ 

# **4. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we define a new bialgebraic structure of of anti Mfuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-bigroup and bi lower level subset of an anti M-fuzzy sub-bigroup.Futher, we wish to define the relation between of anti M-fuzzy normal sub-bigroup of an Mbigroup and bi lower level subset of an anti M-fuzzy normal subbigroup also the same in Intuitionistic fuzzy and other some groups are in progress.

# **5. REFERENCES**

- [1] Biswas .R, Fuzzy subgroups and Anti Fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 35(1990) 121-124.
- [2] Das. P.S, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J.Math.Anal. Appl, 84 (1981) 264-269.
- [3] K.H.Kim, on intuitionistic Q- fuzzy semi prime ideals in semi groups, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, 1 (1) (2006) 15-21.
- [4] Mohamed Asaad, Groups and Fuzzy subgroups Fuzzy sets and systems 39(1991) 323-328.
- [5] N. Jacobson , Lectures in Abstract Algebra , East West Press , 1951.
- [6] A.Rosenfeld, fuzzy groups, J. math. Anal.Appl. 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [7] N.Palaniappan , R.Muthuraj , Anti fuzzy group and Lower level subgroups, Antartica J.Math., 1 (1) (2004) , 71-76.

- [8] R.Muthuraj, P.M.Sithar Selvam, M.S.Muthuraman, Anti Q-fuzzy group and its lower Level subgroups, International journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887), Volume 3no.3, June 2010, 16-20.
- [9] R.Muthuraj, M.Sridharan, M.S.Muthuraman and P.M.Sitharselvam, Anti Q-fuzzy BG-idals in BG-Algebra, International journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887), Volume 4, no.11, August 2010, 27-31.
- [10] P.Sundararajan , N.Palaniappan , R.Muthuraj , Anti M- Fuzzy subgroup and anti M-Fuzzy sub-bigroup of an M-group , Antartica J.Math., 6(1)(2009), 33-37.
- [11] Vasantha Kandasamy, W. B. and Meiyappan, D., Bigroup and Fuzzy bigroup, Bol. Soc. Paran Mat, 18, 59-63 (1998).
- [12] ZADEH.L.A , Fuzzy sets , Information and control ,Vol.8, 338-353 (1965).