

*G α O-Kernel in the Digital Plane

R. Devi
Associate Professor and Head
Department of Mathematics
Kongunadu arts and Science
College (Autonomous)
Coimbatore – 641 029
Tamilnadu, India.

M. Vigneshwaran
Assistant Professor
Department of Mathematics
Kongunadu arts and Science
College (Autonomous)
Coimbatore – 641 029
Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

Digital topology was first studied in the late 1960's by the computer image analysis researcher Azriel Rosenfeld[9]. The digital plane is a mathematical model of the computer screen. In this paper we investigate explicit forms of *G α O-kernel and *g α -closed sets in the digital plane. Also we prove that the digital plane is an $\alpha T_{1/2}$ ** space.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 68U05,68U10

Keywords: *g α -closed sets, *g α -open sets, *G α O-kernel, $\alpha T_{1/2}$ ** space, digital plane

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital line, the digital plane and the three dimensional digital spaces are of great importance in the study of applications of point set topology to computer graphics. Digital topology consists in providing algorithmic tools for Pattern Recognition, Image Analysis and Image processing using a discrete formalism for geometrical objects. It is applied in image processing.

First we recall the related definitions and some properties of the digital plane. The digital line or the so called Khalimsky line is the set of the integers Z , equipped with the topology k having $\{ \{2n+1, 2n, 2n-1\} / n \in Z \}$ as a subbase. This is denoted by (Z, k) . Thus, a subset U is open in (Z, k) if and only if whenever $x \in U$ is an even integer, then $x-1, x+1 \in U$. Let (Z^2, k^2) be the topological product of two digital lines (Z, k) , where $Z^2 = Z \times Z$ and $k^2 = k \times k$. This space is called the digital plane in the present paper (cf.[2],[3],[4],[5]). We note that for each point $x \in Z^2$ there exists the smallest open set containing x , say $U(x)$. For the case of $x = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = \{2n+1\} \times \{2m+1\}$; for the case of $x = (2n, 2m)$, $U(x) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$; for the case of $x = (2n, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m+1\}$; for the case of $x = (2n+1, 2m)$, $U(x) = \{2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$, where $n, m \in Z$. For a subset E of (Z^2, k^2) . We define the following three subsets as follows: $E_F = \{x \in E \mid x \text{ is closed in } (Z^2, k^2)\}$; $E_k^2 = \{x \in E \mid x \text{ is open in } (Z^2, k^2)\}$; $E_{mix} = E \setminus (E_F \cup E_k^2)$. Then it is shown that $E_F = \{(2n, 2m) \in E \mid n, m \in Z\}$, $E_k^2 = \{(2n+1, 2m+1) \in E \mid n, m \in Z\}$ and $E_{mix} = \{(2n, 2m+1) \in E \mid n, m \in Z\} \cup \{(2n+1, 2m) \in E \mid n, m \in Z\}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Definition

A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called an α -open set [8] if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$ and an α -closed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq A$.

2.2 Definition

A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called

1. a generalized α -closed (briefly g α -closed) set [7] if $\text{acl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open in (X, τ) ,
2. a *g α -closed set [4] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g α -open in (X, τ) and
3. a *g α -open set [4] if $U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ whenever $U \subseteq A$ and U is g α -closed in (X, τ) .

2.3 Definition

A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called an $\alpha T_{1/2}$ ** space[4] if every *g α -closed set is closed.

3. *G α O-KERNEL IN THE DIGITAL PLANE

We prepare the following notations:

For a subset A of (X, τ) ,

$$G\alpha O(X, \tau) = \{U \mid U \text{ is g}\alpha\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\} [4];$$

$$*G\alpha O(X, \tau) = \{U \mid U \text{ is *g}\alpha\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\};$$

$$\text{ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid U \in \tau \text{ and } A \subseteq U\} [3];$$

$$G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid U \in G\alpha O(X, \tau) \text{ and } A \subseteq U\} [4].$$

$$*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid U \in *G\alpha O(X, \tau) \text{ and } A \subseteq U\}.$$

3.1 Theorem

Let A and E be subsets of (Z^2, k^2) .

- (i) If E is non - empty g α -closed set, then $E_F \neq \phi$.
- (ii) If E is g α -closed and $E \subseteq B_{mix} \cup B_k^2$ holds for some subset B of (Z^2, k^2) then $E = \phi$.
- (iii) The set $U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$ is a *g α -open set containing A .

Proof

(i): Let y be a point in E . Then, $y \in \text{cl}(E) = E = E_F \cup E_{mix} \cup E_k^2$. We consider the following three cases for the point y .

Case1: $y \in E_k^2$: Let $y = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $cl(\{y\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\} \subseteq E$. Thus there exists a point $x = (2n, 2m) \in E_F$.

Case2: $y \in E_{mix}$: Let $y = (2n+1, 2m)$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $cl(\{y\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m\} \subseteq E$. Thus there exists a point $x = (2n, 2m) \in E_F$. The proof for $y = (2n, 2m+1)$ is similar to the above, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case3: $y \in E_F$: Then $E_F \neq \emptyset$.

Now we shown that $E_F \neq \emptyset$ for all the three cases.

(ii): Suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$. By (i), $E_F \neq \emptyset$. Since $E_F \subseteq (B_{mix} \cup B_k^2)_F = \emptyset$, we have a contradiction.

(iii): We claim that $A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$ is a $^*g\alpha$ -open set. Let F be any non-empty $g\alpha$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$. Then by (ii), $F = \emptyset$. Thus, we have that $F \subseteq Int(A_{mix} \cup A_k^2)$ then $A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$ is $^*g\alpha$ -open. But we know that $U(A_F)$ is a open set. Then $U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$ is $^*g\alpha$ -open (cf., Remark 3.18 [4]). But $A = A_F \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$, then $A \subseteq U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$. This implies that $^*g\alpha$ -open set contains A .

3.2 Theorem

Let A be a subset of (Z^2, k^2) . The $^*G\alpha O$ -kernel of A and the $G\alpha O$ -kernel of A are obtained precisely as follows:

(i) $^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$,
where $U(A_F) = \cup \{U(x) \mid x \in A_F\}$.

(ii) $G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U(A)$,
where $U(A) = \cup \{U(x) \mid x \in A\}$.

Proof

(i): Let $U_A = U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_k^2$. By Theorem 3.1 (iii), $^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) \subseteq U_A$. To prove $U_A \subseteq ^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$, it is claimed that (*) if there exists a $^*g\alpha$ -open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq U_A$ then $V = U_A$. Indeed, let x be any point of U_A . There are three cases for the point x .

Case (1):

$x \in (U_A)_F$: We note that $(U_A)_F = (U(A_F))_F \cup (A_{mix} \cup A_k^2)_F = A_F$.

Then we have that $x \in A_F \subseteq A \subseteq V$. Implies $x \in V$.

Case (2):

$x \in (U_A)_k^2$: We note that $(U_A)_k^2 = (U(A_F))_k^2 \cup (A_{mix})_k^2 \cup (A_k^2)_k^2 = (U(A_F))_k^2 \cup A_k^2$. Firstly suppose that $x \in U(A_F)$, then $x \in U(y)$ for some $y \in A_F$. Since $y \in A_F \subseteq A \subseteq V$ and V is $^*g\alpha$ -open, we have $\{y\} \subseteq Int(V)$. Then $U(y) \subseteq Int(V)$, because $Int(V)$ is open. Thus we have that $x \in V$.

Secondly, suppose $x \in A_k^2$, then we have $x \in V$, because $x \in A_k^2 \subseteq A \subseteq V$.

Case (3):

$x \in (U_A)_{mix}$: We note that

$$(U_A)_{mix} = (U(A_F))_{mix} \cup (A_k^2)_{mix} \cup (A_{mix})_{mix} \\ = (U(A_F))_{mix} \cup A_{mix}$$

First, suppose that $x \in U(A_F)$. Then $x \in U(y)$ for some $y \in A_F$. Then y be a $g\alpha$ -closed since every closed point is $g\alpha$ -closed. Since $y \in A_F \subseteq A \subseteq V$, $\{y\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed and V is $^*g\alpha$ -open set, we have $\{y\} \subseteq Int(V)$. Then $U(y) \subseteq Int(V) \subseteq V$ and so $x \in V$.

Secondly, suppose that $x \in A_{mix}$. Then $x \in A_{mix} \subseteq A \subseteq V$ implies $x \in V$.

For all cases we assume that $x \in U_A$ then we show that $x \in V$, then $U_A \subseteq V$. But we know that $V \subseteq U_A$. From the above cases we conclude that $V = U_A$. Thus we shown (*).

Let $^*G\alpha O(A)$ be the family of all $^*g\alpha$ -open sets containing A . Then, we have that $U_A \subseteq W$ for each $W \in ^*G\alpha O(A)$, using (*) above and properties that $A \subseteq W \cap U_A \subseteq U_A$ and $W \cap U_A$ is $^*g\alpha$ -open set. [4, Theorem 3.17].

Hence, we show that $U_A \subseteq \cap \{W \mid W \in ^*G\alpha O(A) \text{ and } A \subseteq W\} = ^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$.

That is $U_A \subseteq ^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$. Therefore $^*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U_A$.

(ii): Since $U(A)$ is open, $G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) \subseteq U(A)$ holds. To prove $U(A) \subseteq G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$, it is prove that (**) if there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq U(A)$. Let W be any $^*g\alpha$ -open sets containing A . Then, we have that $U(A) \subseteq W$, using (**) above and properties that $A \subseteq W \cap U(A) \subseteq U(A)$ and $W \cap U(A)$ is $g\alpha$ -open. Hence, we show that $U(A) \subseteq \cap \{W \mid W \in G\alpha O(A) \text{ and } A \subseteq W\} = G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$. Therefore $G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U(A)$.

3.3 Theorem

Let E be a subset of (Z^2, k^2) .

(i) If E is a non-empty $^*g\alpha$ -closed set, then $E_F \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) If E is $^*g\alpha$ -closed set and $E \subseteq B_{mix} \cup B_k^2$ holds for some subset B of (Z^2, k^2) , then $E = \emptyset$.

Proof

(i): We recall that a subset E is $^*g\alpha$ -closed if and only if $cl(E) \subseteq G\alpha O\text{-ker}(E)$ [4, Theorem 3.21]. Let y be a point of E .

We consider the following three cases for the point

y :

Case (1):

$y \in E_k^2$: Let $y = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $cl(\{y\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\} \subseteq cl(E) \subseteq G\alpha O\text{-ker}(E)$. Thus there exists a point $(2n, 2m) \in G\alpha O\text{-ker}(E)$, say $y_1 = (2n, 2m)$. Using Theorem 3.2(ii), we have that $y_1 \in U(z)$ for some $z \in E$.

If $z \in E_{mix}$, say $z = (2s+1, 2t)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $U(z) = \{2s+1\} \times \{2t-1, 2t, 2t+1\}$ and $y_1 \notin U(z)$. This is a contradiction.

Next if $z \in E_k^2$, say $z = (2s+1, 2t+1)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $U(z) = \{(2s+1, 2t+1)\}$ and $y_1 \notin U(z)$. This is also a contradiction.

Thus we have that $z \in E_F$ and hence $E_F \neq \emptyset$ for case 1.

Case (2):

$y \in E_{mix}$: Let $y = (2n+1, 2m)$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $cl(\{y\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m\} \subseteq cl(E) \subseteq G\alpha O\text{-ker}(E)$. Thus there exists a point $(2n, 2m) \in G\alpha O\text{-ker}(E)$, say $y_1 = (2n, 2m)$. Using Theorem 3.2(ii), we have that $y_1 \in U(z)$ for some $z \in E$.

If $z \in E_{mix}$, say $z = (2s+1, 2t)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $U(z) = \{2s+1\} \times \{2t-1, 2t, 2t+1\}$ and $y_1 \notin U(z)$. This is a contradiction.

Next if $z \in E_k^2$, say $z = (2s+1, 2t+1)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $U(z) = \{(2s+1, 2t+1)\}$ and $y_1 \notin U(z)$. This is also a contradiction.

Thus we have that $z \in E_F$ and hence $E_F \neq \emptyset$ for case 2.

Case (3):

$y \in E_F$. Then $E_F \neq \emptyset$.

We shown that $E_F \neq \phi$ for all the three cases.

(ii): Suppose that $E \neq \phi$. Then using (i) we have that $E_F \neq \phi$. It follows from assumption and definition that $E_F \subseteq (B_{mix} \cup B_k^2)_F = \phi$. We have a contradiction.

3.4 Theorem

The digital plane (Z^2, k^2) is an ${}_{\alpha}T_{1/2}^{**}$ space.

Proof

Let x be a point of (Z^2, k^2) .

Case (1): $x = (2m+1, 2n+1)$, where $n, m \in Z$: The singleton $\{x\}$ is open in (Z^2, k^2) .

Case (2): $x = (2m, 2n)$, where $n, m \in Z$: The singleton $\{x\}$ is closed in (Z^2, k^2) and also it is $g\alpha$ -closed set [7].

Case (3): $x = (2m, 2n+1)$ where $n, m \in Z$: Let be U any α -open set containing $\{x\}$, that is $\{x\} \subseteq U$. Then $\alpha cl(\{x\}) = \{x\} \cup cl(int(cl(x))) = \{x\} \cup cl(int(\{2m\} \times \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\})) = \{x\} \cup cl(\phi) = \{x\} \subseteq U$. Therefore $\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed set in (Z^2, k^2) .

Case (4): $x = (2m+1, 2n)$, where $n, m \in Z$: The proof is similar that of case(3).

Thus we prove that every singleton $\{x\}$ of (Z^2, k^2) is either $g\alpha$ -closed or open in all the four cases.

Therefore the statement "If (X, τ) is ${}_{\alpha}T_{1/2}^{**}$ space if every singleton of $\{x\}$ is either $g\alpha$ -closed set or open" [4, Theorem 4.2] is true.

Therefore the digital plane (Z^2, k^2) is an ${}_{\alpha}T_{1/2}^{**}$ space.

3.5 Theorem

Let E be a subset of (Z^2, k^2) . If E is ${}^*g\alpha$ -closed and dense set in (Z^2, k^2) , then (Z^2, k^2) is the only $g\alpha$ -open set containing E .

Proof

Let U be a $g\alpha$ -open set containing E . Then, $cl(E) \subseteq U$, since E is ${}^*g\alpha$ -closed set in (Z^2, k^2) . Then $(Z^2, k^2) \subseteq U$, since E is dense in (Z^2, k^2) . Therefore (Z^2, k^2) is the only $g\alpha$ -open set containing E .

4. CONCLUSION

In this work we derived some of the properties of ${}^*G\alpha O$ -kernel and ${}^*g\alpha$ -closed sets in the digital plane. Also we have proved that the digital plane is an ${}_{\alpha}T_{1/2}^{**}$ space.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] R.Devi, K.Balachandran and H.Maki, Generalized α -closed maps and α -generalized closed maps, Indian J. Pure.Appl.Math, **29**(1)(1998),37-49.
- [2] R.Devi, K.Bhuvaneshwari and H.Maki, Weak form on $g\rho$ -closed sets, where $\rho \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{**}\}$ and the digital plane, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. (Math.), Vol.25(2004), 37-54.
- [3] R.Devi, S.N.Rajappriya, K.Muthukumaraswamy and H.Maki, ξ -closed sets in topological spaces and digital planes, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae online e-2006, 615-631.
- [4] R.Devi and M.Vigneshwaran, On $G\alpha O$ -kernel in the digital plane(submitted).
- [5] E.D.Khalimsky, R.Kopperman and P.R.Meyer, Computer graphics and connected topologies in finite ordered sets, Topology Appl., **36**(1990), 1-17.
- [6] T.Y.Kong, R.Kopperman and P.R.Meyer, A topological approach to digital topology, Amer. Math. Monthly, **98**(1991), 901-907.
- [7] H.Maki, R.Devi and K.Balachandran, Generalized α -closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 42(1993),13-21.
- [8] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math, **15**(1965), 961- 970.
- [9] A.Rosenfeld, "Digital Topology", Amer.Math.Monthly 86(1979), 621-630.